रजिस्टर्ड नं० ल० 33/13-14/93.



राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्यशासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

खण्ड 41 | शिमला, शनिवार, 22 मई, 1993/1 ज्येष्ठ, 1915 | संख्या 21

विषय सूची

भाग 1	वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़ कर हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल और हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट द्वारा अधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि	806—830
भाग 2	वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़ कर विभिन्न विभागों के अध्यक्षों और जिला मैजिस्ट्रेटों द्वारा अधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि ..	830 तथा 866
भाग 3	अधिनियम, विधेयक और विधेयकों पर प्रवर समिति के प्रतिवेदन, वैधानिक नियम तथा हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट, फाईनैंशियल कमिश्नर तथा कमिश्नर आफ इन्कम टैक्स द्वारा अधिसूचित आदेश इत्यादि ..	831—836
भाग 4	स्थानीय स्वायत शासन, म्युनिसिपल बोर्ड, डिस्ट्रिक्ट बोर्ड, नोटिफाइड और टाउन एरिया तथा पंचायती राज विभाग ..	—
भाग 5	वैयक्तिक अधिसूचनाएं और विज्ञापन	836—866
भाग 6	भारतीय राजपत्र इत्यादि में से पुनः प्रकाशन	—
भाग 7	भारतीय निर्वाचन आयोग (Election Commission of India) की वैधानिक अधिसूचनाएं तथा अन्य निर्वाचन सम्बन्धी अधिसूचनाएं	—
—	अनुपूरक	—

22 मई, 1993/1 ज्येष्ठ, 1915 को समाप्त होने वाले सप्ताह में निम्नलिखित विज्ञप्तियां 'असाधारण राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश' में प्रकाशित हुईं :—

विज्ञप्ति की संख्या	विभाग का नाम	विषय
संख्या गृह (ए) ए (9)24/92, दिनांक 17 मई, 1993.	गृह विभाग	जांच आयोग अधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 60) के अधीन तथ्य निष्कर्ष रिपोर्ट की अवधि को 30-6-1993 तक बढ़ाने हेतु अधिसूचना, (इसके अंग्रेजी रूपान्तर सहित)।
—	Directorate of State Lotteries	Result of Different Lotteries held at Shimla *w. e. f.* 26-4-1993 to 28-4-1993.
संख्या ए० एच० वाई०-एफ० (5)-3/85-II, दिनांक 12 मई, 1993.	पशु पालन विभाग	डीन, वैटनरी कालेज (हि० प्र० कृ० वि०) पालमपुर को राज्य पशु चिकित्सा परिषद् में पदेन सदस्य नियुक्त करने हेतु अधिसूचना।
संख्या आवास-6 (एफ) 6-3/91, दिनांक 11 मई, 1993.	आवास विभाग	गांव शिलाकिपड़, तहसील व जिला मण्डी में आवास बस्ती के निर्माण हेतु भूमि अर्जन की अधिसूचना।
संख्या 4438-4518, दिनांक 21 अप्रैल, 1993.	कार्यालय जिला दण्डाधिकारी कांगड़ा स्थित धर्मशाला	जिला दण्डाधिकारी, कांगड़ा स्थित धर्मशाला द्वारा पाम गैस सर्विस, पालमपुर के विक्री निर्धारित करने हेतु अधिसूचना।
संख्या सी० एस० 34-690/77-7, दिनांक 14 मई, 1993.	कार्यालय जिला दण्डाधिकारी, जिला सिरमौर	जिला दण्डाधिकारी सिरमौर द्वारा जिले में समस्त करों सहित खाद्य सामग्री के थोक व परचून मूल्यों के निर्धारण हेतु अधिसूचना।

भाग 1—वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़कर हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल और हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट द्वारा अधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि

हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट

NOTIFICATIONS

Shimla-1, *the 5th May*, 1993

No. HHC/GAZ/14-196/89-7029.—Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to grant *Ex-post facto* sanction of 7 days commuted leave with effect from 2-4-1993 to 8-4-1993 with permission to suffix holiday, second Saturday and Sunday falling on 9th, 10th and 11th April, 1993 in favour of Shri K. K. Sharma, Sub Judge-*cum*-JMIC (II), Nurpur.

Certified that Shri K. K. Sharma has joined the same post and at the same station from where he proceeded on leave, after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Shri K. K. Sharma would have continued to hold the post of Sub Judge-*cum*-JMIC (II), Nurpur, but for his proceeding on leave for the above period,

Shimla-1, *the 5th May*, 1993

No. HHC/GAZ/14-139/82-7036.—Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to grant *Ex-post facto* sanction of 5 days commuted leave with effect from 16-4-1993 to 20-4-1993 with permission to prefix gazetted holidays falling on 14th and 15th April, 1993 in favour of Shri George, Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-*cum*-Sub Judge 1st Class, Dharamshala.

Certified that Shri George has joined the same post and at the same station from where he proceeded on leave after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Shri George, would have continued to hold the post of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-*cum*-Sub Judge 1st Class, Dharamshala, but for his proceeding on leave for the above period.

Shimla-1, *the 7th May*, 1993

No. HHC/GAZ/14-186/88-7016.—Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to grant 6 days earned leave with effect from 10-5-1993 to 15-5-1993 with permission to prefix second Saturday and Sunday falling on 8th and 9th May, 1993 and to suffix Sunday falling on 16th May, 1993 in favour of Shri M. R. Chaudhary, District and Sessions Judge, Shimla.

Certified that Shri Chaudhary is likely to join the same post and at the same station from where he proceeds on leave, after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Shri Chaudhary would have continued to hold the post of District and Sessions Judge, Shimla, but for his proceeding on leave for the above period.

By order,
Sd/-
Additional Registrar (Admn.).

Shimla-1, *the 7th May*, 1993

No. HHC/GAZ/1-1/74-6963.—Hon'ble the Chief Justice, in exercise of the powers vested in him under Article 229 of the Constitution of India, read with the High Court of Himachal Pradesh (Recruitment, Conditions of Service and Conduct) Rules, 1992, is pleased to appoint/promote Shri Bhag Chand Sharma, P. A. to Registrar as Private Secretary in the pay scale of Rs. 2200-70-2550-75-3000-100-4000 plus Rs. 300/- special pay on temporary basis *w. e. f.* 1st May, 1993.

By order,
K. C. SOOD,
Registrar,
High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Shimla-1, *the 11th May*, 1993

No. HHC/GAZ/14-156/83-7127.—Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to grant *Ex-post facto* sanction of 27 days earned leave with effect from 29-3-1993 to 24-4-1993 with permission to prefix and suffix Sundays falling on 28th March, 1993 and 25th April, 1993 and 10 days earned leave with effect from 28-4-1993 to 7-5-1993 with permission to suffix second Saturday and Sunday falling on 8th and 9th May, 1993 *in favour* of Shri D. S. Khenal, Sub Judge-*cum*-Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate (I), Paonta Sahib.

Certified that Shri Khenal has joined the same post and at the same station from where he proceeded on leave, after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Shri Khenal would have continued to hold the post of Sub Judge-*cum*-Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate (I), Paonta Sahib, but for his proceeding on leave for the above period.

Shimla-1, *the 11th May*, 1993

No. HHC/Admn. 6(23)/74-VIII-7119.—Hon'ble the Chief Justice in exercise of the powers vested in him under rule 1.26 of Himachal Pradesh Financial Rules, 1971 Volume-I is pleased to declare Shri Rajeev Bhardwaj, Sub Judge-*cum*-JMIC (II), Paonta Sahib as Drawing and Disbursing Officer in respect of the Court of Sub Judge-*cum*-Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate (I), Paonta Sahib and also the Controlling Officer for the purpose of T. A. etc., in respect of Class-III and IV establishment attached to the aforesaid Court under head "2014—Administration of Justice" during the leave period of Shri D. S. Khenal, Sub Judge-*cum*-S. D. J. M. (I), Paonta Sahib with effect from 28-4-1993 to 7-5-1993 with permission to suffix Second Saturday and Sunday falling on 8th and 9th May, 1993 or until he returns from leave.

By order,
Sd/-
Additional Registrar (Admn.).

HIMACHAL PRADESH PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171002, *the 4th February*, 1993

No. 4-76/84-PSC.—In continuation of this Commission's Notificttion of even number dated 31-8-1992 and in consultation with the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, the Chairman, Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission is pleased to order the continuation of Shri Surinder Kumar Sharma, to the post of Research Officer (Class-II Gazetted) in the pay scale of Rs. 2000—3500 purely on *ad hoc* basis with effect from 21-2-1993 for a period of six months or till the R & P Rules are finalised whichever is earlier.

2. The Chairman, Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission is further pleased to order that the above mentioned *ad hoc* appointment is purely on temporary basis and the said officer has no right for seniority, regular promotion and confirmation on the basis of these orders.

Sd/-
Secretary,
Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission.

OFFICE ORDER

Shimla-171002, *the 26th February*, 1993

No. 4-30/86-PSC.—On the recommendations of the Departmental Promotion Committee, Shri Ram Pal Shukla,

Section Officer of this *Commission's office* is hereby confirmed against the post of Section Officer (Class-II Gazetted) in the pay scale of Rs. 2200-50-2400-60-2700-75-3000-100-4000+ Rs. 200/. Special Pay with effect from 1st August, 1992.

Sd/-
Chairman,
Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission.

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

PERSONNEL (AP-I) DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATIONS

Shimla-2, the 26th April, 1993

No. 3-17/66-DP-Apptt.—The President of India is pleased to appoint Shri S. K. Chauhan, IAS (HP-1962) as Chief Secretary to the Government of Himachal Pradesh with effect from the afternoon of 29th April, 1993.

———

Shimla-2, the 26th April, 1993

No. 3-17/66-DP-Apptt.—In partial moification of this Department's Notification of even number, dated the 27th March, 1993 and in cosideration of the request made by Shri M. S. Mukherjee, IAS Chief Secretary to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, the President of India is pleased to order that Shri M. S. Mukherjee, IAS (HP : 1962) Chief Secretary to the Government of Himachal Pradesh shall retire from Government service at his own request with efect from the 29th April, 1993 (A.N.) in pursuance of the provisions contained in Rule 16(2) of the All India Service (Death-*cum*-Retirement Benefits) Rules, *1958.*

By order,

S. VIJAY KUMAR,
*Commissioner-*cum-*Secretary.*

विद्युत विभाग

शुद्धि पत्र

शिमला-2, 7 मई, 1993

संख्या विद्युत-छ(5)-24/92.—इस विभाग की सम-संख्यक, अधिसूचना, दिनांक 30-3-1993 के पृष्ठ 2 पर वर्णित मुहाल प्राहनूई में नीचे दी गई विवरणी के अनुसार उस अधिसूचना में शुद्धि की जाए :—

जिला : चम्बा तहसील : चम्बा

मुहाल	खसरा नं0	क्षेत्र बी0	वि0	बिस्वा0
1	2	3	4	5
प्राहनूई	735	0	1	0
	736	0	2	0

आदेशानुसार,
हस्ताक्षरित/-
वित्तायुक्त एवं सचिव ।

HOME-B DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATIONS

Shimla-2, the 26th April, 1993

No. Home-II (B) 2-3/81. Vol. I.—In exercise of powers conferred by proviso to Article 309 of the *constitution* of India, the President of India is pleased to make the following Rules further to amend the Home Guards/Civil Defence and Fire Services, H.P. Recruitment and Promotion Rules, 1988 relating to the post of Compounder (Class-III) which were notified *vide* this department Notification No. Home-II (B) 2-3-/81, dated 15-2-1989 as under :—

"The pay scale as mentioned against Sr. No. 3 relating to post of Compounder may be substituted as Rs. 1410-30-1560-40-2000-50-2400-60-2460, in place of Rs. 510-15-600/20-700/25-850-30-940".

———

Shimla-2, the 26th April, 1993

No. Home-II (B) 1-14/91.—In exercise of the powers conferred by proviso to Article 309 of the constitution of India, the President of India is pleased to make the following Rules further to amend the Home Guards/Civil Defence and Fire Services, H.P. Recruitment and Promotion Rules, 1988 relating to the post of Company Commander/Senior Instructor/Store Officer (*Class-III*) which were notified *vide* this department Notification No. Home-II (B) 2-3/81, dated 15-2-1989 as under :—

"The pay scale as mentioned against Sr. No. 4 relating to post of Company Commander/Senior Instructor/Store Officer may be substituted as Rs. 1800-40-2000-50-2400-60-2700-75-3000-100-3200 (start with Rs. 2000/-). in place of Rs. 800-25-850/30-1000/40-1200/50-1400".

Sd/-
*Financial Commissioner-*cum-*Secretary.*

सिंचाई एवं जन स्वास्थ्य विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-2, 26 अप्रैल, 1993

संख्या सिंचाई 11-54/89-ऊना.—यतः भारत के राष्ट्रपति को यह प्रतीत होता है कि हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार को सरकारी व्यय पर सार्वजनिक प्रयोजन हेतु नामतः गांव नौहण, तहसील अम्ब, जिला ऊना में उठाऊ सिंचाई योजना बैहली पम्प हाऊस के निर्माण हेतु भूमि अर्जित करनी अपेक्षित है, अतएव एतद्द्वारा यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त परिक्षेत्र में जैसा कि निम्न विवरणी में निर्दिष्ट किया गया है, उपरोक्त प्रयोजन के लिए भूमि का अर्जन अपेक्षित है ।

2 यह अधिसूचना ऐसे सभी व्यक्तियों को, जो इसमें सम्बन्धित हैं या हो सकते हैं, की जानकारी के लिये भूमि अर्जन अधिनियम, 1894 की धारा 4 के उपबन्धों के अन्तर्गत जारी की जाती है ।

3. पूर्वोक्त धारा द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, भारत के राष्ट्रपति, इस समय इस उपक्रम में कार्यरत सभी अधिकारियों, उनके कर्मचारियों और श्रमिकों को इलाके की किसी भी भूमि में प्रवेश करने तथा सर्वेक्षण करने और उस धारा द्वारा अपेक्षित अथवा अनुमत सभी अन्य कार्यों को करने के लिये सहर्ष प्राधिकार देते हैं ।

4. कोई भी हितबद्ध व्यक्ति, जिसे उक्त परिक्षेत्र में कथित भूमि के अर्जन पर कोई आपत्ति हो तो वह इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशित होने के तीस दिनों की अवधि के भीतर लिखित रूप में भू-अर्जन समाहर्ता, हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदश, लोक निर्माण विभाग, के समक्ष अपनी आपत्ति दायर कर सकता है ।

विस्तृत विवरणी

जिला : ऊना तहसील : अम्ब

गांव	खसरा नं0	क्षेत्र हैक्टेयरों में		
1	2	3	4	5
नोहण	90	0	01	89
	92	0	03	08

1	2	3	4	5
	93	0	01	35
	95	0	00	58
कित्ता	4	0	06	90

आदेश द्वारा,
एस0 एस0 सिद्धु,
वित्तायुक्त एवं सचिव ।

श्रम विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला–171002, 2 अप्रैल, 1993

संख्या 19-8/90-श्रम-V.––भारत के राष्ट्रपति, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 17(1) में निहित शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए पीठासीन अधिकारी, श्रम नयायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हिमाचल प्रदेश द्वारा निम्नलिखित मामलों में दिए गए अवार्डज को हिमाचल प्रदेश राजपत्र में प्रकाशित करने के सहर्ष आदेश देते हैं :—

क्र0 सं0	केस नं0	सम्बन्धित पक्षों का नाम	धारा	टिप्पणी
1	2	3	4	5
1.	रैफ–46/92	सरन दास बनाम अधिक्षण अभियन्ता प्रथम वृत, एच0 पी0 पी0 डब्ल्यू0 डी0, मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।	धारा–10	प्रकाशन हेतु ।
2.	रैफ-38/92	सुनील गौतम बनाम प्रबन्ध निदेशक, हिमाचल प्रदेश इलैक्ट्रोनिक्स विकास निगम, शिमला ।	—"—	—"—
3.	रैफ-66/91	हवन सिंह बनाम अधिशासी अभियन्ता, सिंचाई एवं जन स्वास्थ्य, सब डिविजन पौंटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर हिमाचल प्रदेश ।	—"—	—"—
4.	रैफ-105/91	चन्दू खान बनाम मैसर्ज हिम सिलैण्डर प्रा0 लि0, अम्ब, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।	—"—	—"—
5.	रैफ-91/91	अश्वनी कुमार बनाम अध्यक्ष, कण्ड सोआयल कन्जरवेशन कोआपरेटिव सोसाईटी लि0, कण्ड , तह0 व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।	—"—	—"—
6.	रैफ-59/91	वृज लाल बनाम मैसर्ज पाम्बी सपैशियलटी एण्ड टिशु पेपेरज़ लि0, बरोटीवाला, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।	—"—	—"—
7.	रैफ-74/92	अनिल सूद बनाम प्रबन्ध निदेशक, हिमाचल रोड ट्रांसपोर्ट कारपोरेशन, शिमला	—"—	—"—
8.	रैफ-18/90	हिम ईस्पात मजदूर संघ बनाम प्रबन्धन मैसर्ज हिम ईस्पात कन्दरोड़ी, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।	—"—	—"—
9.	रैफ-102/91	सर्वश्री लाल चन्द, गीता राम, व भीमा राम बनाम वन मण्डल अधिकारी, पौन्टा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।	—"—	—"—
10.	रैफ-64/92	देस राज बनाम सहायक अभियन्ता, सिविल मेन्टीनैंस सब डिविजन, गीरी पावर हाउस, गीरी नगर, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।	—"—	—"—
11.	रैफ-30/92	राकेश कुमार बनाम सहायक अभियन्ता, आई0 पी0 एच0 सब-डिविजन, नं0-1, चम्बा, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।	—"—	—"—
12.	रैफ-22/92	रघुवंश सिंह बनाम मैनेजर, मै0 रिश्भ ईस्पात लि0 नालागढ़, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।	—"—	—"—
13.	रैफ-49/91	कंकरीट पोल एण्ड पेपरज़ कन्वरशन वरकर्ज यूनियन बनाम प्रबन्ध निदेशक, स्टेट लघु उद्योग एवं निर्यात निगम, शिमला ।	—"—	—"—
14.	रैफ-58/92	अशोक कुमार बनाम मै0 संदीप मैटारजीकल वरकस लि0, प्रवाणू, जिला सोलन	—"—	—"—
15.	रैफ-73/91	अनिल कुमार बनाम मै0 विक्रम फार्मास्यूटिकल प्रा0 लि0, परवाणू, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।		
16.	रैफ-95/91	प्रीतम सिंह बनाम मैनेजर चमेरा जल विद्युत परियोजना (एन0 एच0 पी0 सी0) चरण–1; डलहौजी, जिला चम्बा, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।	—"—	—"—

1	2	3	4	5
17.	रैफ-69/92	विपन कुमार बनाम वन मण्डल अधिकारी, सोशल फॉरेस्टरी मण्डल, मण्डी, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।	—"—	—"—

आदेशानुसार,
हस्ताक्षरित/-
आयुक्त एवं सचिव ।

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Ref. Case No. 46/92

Saran Dass .. *Petitioner.*

Versus

Superintending Engineer, I Circle, H.P.P.W.D. Mandi, District Mandi, (H.P.). .. *Respondents.*

Shri Suraj Singh Pathania, Authorised Representative of the petitioner.

Shri P. C. Palia, Authorised Representative of the respondents.

AWARD

1. The Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla *vide* its notification, dated the 20th July, referred the following dispute to this court for adjudication under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act') on failure of the conciliation proceedings between the parties.—

1. whether the demand of Shri Saran Dass S/o Shri Hari Ram, Ex-Worker from the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, HP, PWD, Mandi for the regularisation of daily wages services rendered by him from 1953 to 1986 raised by him *vide* his demand notice, dated 4-4-1991, is legal and justified ? If legal, from what date and to what amount of compensation and relief Shri Saran Dass is entitled ?

2. Whether the action of the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, H.P., P.W.D. Mandi in ignoring Shri Saran Dass from the benefit of regularisasation over his junior is legal and justified ? If not, to what relief Shri Saran Dass is entitled and from what date ?

2. Shri Saran Dass, petitioner at the fag end of his life submits that he was employed by the respondent department as a daily wages worker in the year, 1953 and he was working as such till the year, 1986. His grievance is that so many persons junior to him *e.g.* Panjku Ram, Inder Ram, Amar Singh and Sidhu etc. have been regularised whereas the petitioner has been discriminated by the respondent management in the matter of regularisation. He has been running from pillar to post for justice but of no avail and ultimately he has approached this forum for the redressal of his grievances. He further avers that he met an accident in the year, 1976 as revealed from his statement made by him in this court and he has also filed an affidavit, Ex. P.W. 1/A to this effect. He also claims that he did not remain absent in the year, 1970 and short attendance in the year 1976 was beyond his control during the year, 1970 and 1976. In his claim statement, he claims the benefits of his past service also rendered by him with the respondent management from the year, 1953 to 1986. It appears that he was superannuated in May, 1986 after getting the medical opinion from the Snowdon Hospital, Shimla as he had crossed the age of 60 at that time.

3. He took up the matter with the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh at Shimla but In have been informed that the High Court did not grant him any relief but expressed sympathy with the petitioner. It may be pointed out at the very outset that the Hon'ble High Court could not go into the question of facts and the powers of the High Court and the Labour Court are different in the matter of adjudication as repeatedly held by the Appex Court *i.e.* Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

4. The case of the respondent management as revealed from the written statement filed by them is that the petitioner never worked since 1953. The respondent department admits that the petitioner worked from 1965 to 1969 *i.e.* 240 days continuously in each year and then from 1977 to 1985. The petitioner failed to complete 240 days in the year, 1970 and 1976. In the year, 1980, he worked for 184 days and in the year, 1976, he worked for 191 days only. In such a situation, the petitioner could not be considered for regularisation alongwith his juniors as there was break in his service. From the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed by me on 28-8-92 ;

1. Whether the demand of the petitioner for regularisation as Mate *w.e.f.* 1953 to 1986 is legal and justified ? ..(OPP).

2. Whether the action of the respondent management in regularising the services of the juniors to the petitioner is legal and justified as alleged ? (OPP.).

3. In case, issue No. 1 & 2 are decided in affirmative, to what relief and the benefits, the petitioner is entitled ? ,OPP).

4. Relief.

FINDINGS ON ISSUES.

5. All the above issues are interconnected and would be disposed off together in order to avoid the duplicity in discussion.

6. The petitioner has placed on record his statement by appearing himself as his own witness as P.W.1. Respondent department has adduced evidence of R.W.1. Shri P. C. Palia, Asstt. Engineers of the respondent department. P.W. 1/A is a seniority list placed on record by the petitioner and his number in the aforesaid list figures at S. No. 9. There is also on record a copy of the affidavit Ex. P.W.1/B which he placed on record in the Hon'ble High Court in the year, 1976. Respondent also placed on record the scheme prepared by the Government for regularisation of the daily wages workers who have completed five years' service as such keeping in view their seniority and qualifications. The petitioner's authorised representative Shri Suraj Singh Pathania has submitted that period of breaks in the year, 1970 and 1976 may be condoned, He further submits that there was a general strike in the year, 1970 and strike period was regularised by the Government of Himachal Pradesh at that time by way of taking the extra work from the employee/workers beyond the working hours. He further submits that the petitioner was ill in the year, 1976.

The respondent department does not dispute the working of the petitioner from 1965 to 1985 except for the year, 1970 and 1976 with less number of days and a few breaks in his service from time to time as revealed from Annexure PA the written statement of the respondents. The respondent disputes the working of the petitioner since 1953 to 1964, may be on non-availability of the record or otherwise. In my view, the petitioner has been working

with the respondent department since 1952-53 on Jogindernagar-Sarkaghat Road. He says that he used to get Rs. 1.25 per day at that time. Since the petitioner is at the fag end of his life *i.e.* about 66 when he came to the court and I do not find any reason to disbelieve him regarding the factum of his working with the respondent department since 1953. If the department is not keeping the record or the record has been destroyed for one reason or the other, the petitioner is not liable to be penalised. The petitioner is illiterate and is not supposed to maintain the record. Regarding the breaks in the years, 1970 and 1976, the plea of the petitioner that there was a general strike and on account of rains and accident in the year, 1976, it is worth believing. I would like to refer section 25(B) of the Act which lays down—"*A workman shall be said to be in continuous service for a period he is for the that period, in interrupted service, including service for a period he is or that period, in interrupted service, including service, which may be interrupted on account of sickness or authorised leave or an accident or a strike which is not illegal or a lock out or cessation of work which is not due to any fault on the part of the workman*". I fail to understand as to why the respondent department did not serve him a notice if he was not coming on duty in the year, 1970 and 1976 for months together and later on why he was again kept. The obvious inference is that the respondent department must have found his explanation correct for his absence or his absence was due to the circumstances beyond his control. In the facts circumstances of this case, the break in the year, 197. and 1976 is ordered to be condoned and the Supreme Court Ruling has deprecated the practice of short breaks on the part of the employers to deprive the workers from the benefit of regularisation. It is also mentioned under Schedule V, item 10 of the Act as an unfair Labour Practice." *To employ workmen as 'badlies' casuals or temporaries and to continue them as such for years, with the object of depriving them of the status and privileges of permanent workmen.*" In my view, the petitioner was working with the respondent department right from the year, 1953 continuously and he deserves to be regularised with all the consequential benefits from that year without back wages but with the continuity of all his past service rendered by him but would be entitled for the benefit of the difference of back wages as a result regularisation with the respondent Department since 1953. The persons if any regularised prior to his regularisation and are junior to him, will rank below him in the seniority list in accordance with the scheme prepared by the respondent department for regularisation of the daily wages staff. In view of my above findings and discussion, I order accordingly. All the issues are, therefore, decided accordingly in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent management.

7. RELIEF.

In view of my findings on issues and the reasons recorded, I pass an award accordingly in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent department in terms of discussion and findings on all the above issues. The petitioner is ordered to be regularised by the respondent department after taking into consideration his service right from the year, 1953 and it is further ordered that the juniors, if any, regularised prior to the petitioner, would rank junior to him. He is also entitled to all consequential benefits excluding back wages but with the continuity of service. Reference is answered accordingly in affirmative. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2, for its due publication in the official gazettee, *i.e.* H.P. Rajpatra in accordance with the law. A copy of this award be given to the parties free of costs, if applied for by them. The parties are, however, left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file be consigned to the record room after its due completion. The petitioner would however, be entitled for the difference of back wages as a result of his regularisation in persuance of this award.

Announced on this 24th day of February, 1993, at Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla. 24-2-1993.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.

Ref-38/92

Sunil Gautam .. *Petitioner.*

Versus

Managing Director, H.P. State Electronics Development Corporation, Shimla .. *Respondents.*

Shri J. C. Bhardwaj, Authorised Representative of the petitioner.

Non for the respondents.

AWAWD

The Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla *vide* its notification, dated the 25th July, 1992, referred the following dispute to this court for adjudication under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act') on failure of the conciliation proceedings between the parties:—

"Whether the termination of Shri Sunil Gautam, Daily wages Assembly operator by the Managing Director, H.P. State Electronics Development Corporation, Ltd., Shimla on account of his absence *w.e.f.* 1-4-1991 without holding any enquiry is legal and justified ? If illegal, to what service benefits and relief, Shri Sunil Gautam is entitled ?".

2. The petitioner alleges that he was employed by the respondent Corporation on 1-1-1990 as Assembly operator on a monthly wages of Rs. 600/- per month. His grievance is that his services were terminated by the respondent Corporation without any rhyme and reasons. In fact, the petitioner as per his allegations gone on leave with the prior permission of the administrative head of his department and the resopondent made it a case of absence from duty from 21-12-1990. The petitioner alleges the termination of his services on 1-4-1991 as illegal and unjustified as no enquiry was conducted and no chargesheet was served on him. The petitioner alleges that he did not accept the demand draft of Rs. 600/-*i.e.* on account of one month's pay allegedly under section 25(F) of the Act. Respondent was served and participated in the proceedings on 4-11-1992 but later on did not show any interest and was proceeded *ex-parte* on 21-12-1992 and upto this date, no interest has been shown by the respondent for the best reasons known to him. I have recorded the evidence of the petitioner *ex-parte* and the petitioer himself appeared as his own witness as PW. 1. He has placed on record *Ex.* P.W. 1/A showing that he could not join duty due to sickness from 10-12-1990 to 13-12-1990 and has also placed on record the medical certificate showing to be under treatment from 13-12-1990 to 22-12-1990. This application was addressed to the Senior Manager. In my view, there is non compliance of section 25(F) of the Act as retrenchment compensation was not paid to the petitioner. Secondly, no enquiry was held against him in consonance with the principle of natural justice by affording sufficient opportunity to him for showing causes of his absence. it is a basic principle of law that non-compliance of section 25(F) (B) of the Act *i.e. if a retrenchemnt compensation has not been paid equal to 15 days' pay for every completed year of service, the termination would be would abinitio.* On these two grounds alone, the termination is bad in law and the same is quashed and set aside. The petitioner is ordered to be restored in the service of the respondent management in such a manner as if no such termination order was ever passed against him. The petitioner is not entitled to any back wages. However he is ordered to be paid a sum of Rs. 6,000 (Six thousand) only as compensation in lieu of back wages. He will, however, be entitled for the continuity of past service. I pass an *ex parte* award accrodingly in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent Corporation. The amount of compensation shall be payable within two months from the date of publication of this award in the

official gazette *i.e.* H.P. Rajpatra in acordance with the law failing which in interest of 18% will be payable to the petitioner by the respondent Corporation. Reference is answered accordinly. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2, for its due publication in the official gazettee *t.e.* H.P. Rajpatre in accordance with the law. A copy be given to the parties free of costs, if applied for by them. The parties are, however, left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file be consigned to the record room after its due completion.

Announced on this 9th day of March, 1993, in open court at Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,
Presidng Officer,
Labour Court, H.P.
Shimla-9-3-1993.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Ref-66/91

Hawan Singh ..Petitioner.

Versns

Executive Engineer, Irrigation and Public Health, Sub-Division, Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur (H.P.). ..Respondents.

Shri J. C. Bhardwaj, Authorised Respresentative of the respondents.

Shri Jai Bhagwan Dass Goel Authorised Representative of the respondents.

AWARD

1. The Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla *vide* its notification, dated the 8th August, 1991, referred the following dispute to this court for adjudication under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act') on failure of the conciliation proceedings between the parties:—

1. Whether the action of the Executive Engineer, Irrigation and Public Health Sub-Division, Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur (H.P.) by ignoring the claim of Shri Hawan Singh for the post of Pump Operator from the post of chowkidar and promption of Shri Narinder Singh who was junior to Shri Hawan Singh, is legal and justified ? If illegal, from what date and to what amount of relief and compensation, Shri Hawan Singh is entitled ?".

2. The petitioner, Shri Hawan Singh claims that he was appointed as a chowkidar by the respondent on daily wages *w.e.f.* 3-1-1983. It is alleged by him that Chowkidar category was separated after some time and he was appointed as helper on 11-2-1985 as Pump Helper. He worked for two or three months and later on, he was discharged from duty of pump operator and one Shri Narinder Singh was promoted as a pump operator ignoring the claim of the petitioner. He has described the promotion of Shri Narinder Singh as illegal and unjustified. He prays for his promotion from the date of promotion of his junior with all the consequential benefits including the back wages.

3. The plea of the respondent management is that the petitioner was kept as chowkidar and not as a pump operator a alleged. There are no standing instructions that the chowkidars are to be promoted as pump helpers/operators. Shri Narinder Singh was promoted keeping in view his qualifications as matric and his ability to perform the duties of a pump operator. It is further alleged that the seniority is circlewise according the seniority list circulated by the Superintending Engineer, Irrigation and Public Health, Nahan, the petitioner is much junior to the others, Even in Paonta Sub-Division, there are 19 Chowkidars senior to him and the petitioner stands no where. From the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed by me on 26-8-1992:—

1. Whether the appointment of Shri Narinder Singh junior to Shri Hawan Singh, petitioner as Pump helper by ignoring the claim of the petitioner by the Superintending Engineer, I.P.H. Paonta Sahib is legal and justified ? If illegal from what date, Shri Hawan Singh is entitled to be appointed as pump helper and to what relief and amount of compensation, Shri Hawan Singh is entitled ?".
2. Relief.

4. Findings on Issues ;

Issue No. 1 :

Mr. Bhardwaj, representative of the petitioner has submitted that no chargesheet was given to the petitioner and no enquiry was conducted in consonance with the principles of natural justice before he was reverted to the post of chowkidar after working for two or three months as pump helper. Mr. Goel, representative of the respondents has submitted that there was no need to conduct the enquiry and to serve the chargesheet on the petitioner as sometime chowkidars are put on make shif arrangements to rum the pumps till suitable arrangements are made. The petitioner has placed on record the evidence of P.W. 1 by appearing himself as his own witness P. 1, stating that assurance was given to him by the respondents to him that he would be made the pump helper as and when the vacancies were available with him but instead his junior Shri Narinder Singh was promoted as Pump Helper by ignoring his claim by the respondents. The respondent adduced the evidence of the respondents by producing Shri Goel himself as R.W. 1 stating that more qualified persons have been appointed as compared to the pettitoner. I am of the view that since it was a make shift arrangement and when the respondent management found the suitable persons for the post, Shri Narinder Singh was appointed. Merely that the person has functioned for two or three months as a helper, does not confer upon him the vested right to be appointed as such when suitable persons later on were made available. It is also on record that the petitioner is junior chowkidar and there are so many chowkidars senior to him, waiting for their turn to be appointed as pump helpers depending upon their qualifications and experience. Duty of a pump operator is to run a pump and if he is not expert, he may cause a damage to the machinery. I would direct the respondent department to frame suitable rules for promotion of the pump helpers/operators and also to give them necessary training before they are put on job. The petitioner in this case has, therefore, no force in the claim petition filed by him and the same is dismissed. Besides this matter has also been raised by him after a pretty long time. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded decide this issue accordingly in favour of the respondent management and against the petitioner.

5. Relief :

In view of my findings on issues and the reasons recorded, I pass an award accordingly in favour of the respondent management and against the petitioner. The petitioner is not entitled to any relief at this stage. Reference is answered in negative. Award is passed. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2, for its due publication in the official gazette *i.e.* H.P. Rajpatra in accordance with the law. A copy of this award be given to the parties free of costs, if applied for by them. The parties are, however, left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file be consigned to the record room after its due completion.

Announced on this 15th Day of February, 1993, at Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh,
Shimla. 15-2-1993.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla, Camp Amb, (District Una, (H.P.)

Ref-105/91-

Chandu Khan .. *Petitioner.*

Versus

M/S Him Cylinders, pvt. ltd., Amb, District Una, (H.P.) ..*Respondents.*

Shri Chandu Khan, petitioner in person with Shri Birbal, Vice President of the workers' union.

Shri Hans Raj for the respondents.

AWARD

The Government of Himachal Pradesh *vide* its notification dated the 28th September, 1991, referred the following dispute to this court for adjudication under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act') on failure of the conciliation proceedings between the parties:—

"Whether the termination of services of the petitioner by the management of M/s Him Cylinders pvt. Ltd, Amb, District Una, H.P. *w. e. f.* 1-6-1990, without any reasons and notice is legal and justified? If illegal to what amount of compensation and service benefits, Shri Chandu Khan is entitled ?".

2. After visiting the factory today at Amb by the undersigned, the settlement has been arrived at between the parties before this court. Practically, there is no alternative job in the factory which can be offered to the petitioner. He cannot work on the old machine as it requires the pressure of both the hands. His four fingers of one hand are not in working order due to an accident . The petitioner has agreed to the amount of Rs. 15, 000/- (Fifteen thousand) only in full and final settlement of his claim in respect of his service and other legal dues etc. This amount would be besides the amount which he will get under the Workmen Compensation Act, 1936 and for which a case is pending before the competent Court. Both the parties are directed to get it expedited according to the rates and norms fixed in the schedule of the Act by recent amendment. The amount of Rs. 15,000/- (Fifteen thousand, would be paid by the company within a period of one month from today failing which an interest of 18% would be payable on this amount also by the company to the petitioner. The company will deposit Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with the help of the worker for one year in the fixed deposit in any scheduled Bank at Amb an amount of Rs. 5,000/- (Five thousand would be paid in cash to the petitioner. The amount would be in the fixed deposit for a period of one year. In view of this settlement arrived at between the parties, the reference is answered accordingly. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2, for its due publication in the official gazettee *i.e.* H.P. Rajpatra in accordance with the law. A copy be given to the parties free of costs if applied for by them. The parties are, however, left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file be consigned to the record room after its due completion.

Announce on this 17th day of January, 1993, in open Court at Amb District Kulu, (H.P.).

R. K. MAHAJAN,

Seal. *Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-Camp, Amb, District Una (H. P.),* 17-1-1993.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.

Ref.91/91—

Ashwini Kumar .. *Petitioner.*

Versus

President, Kand Soil Conservation Co-operative Society, Ltd., Kand, Tehsil and District Una, (H.P.) ..*Respondent.*

Shri Ashwini Kumar, petitioner in person.

Shri Baldev Chand, for the respondents.

AWARD

The Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla *vide* its notification, dated the 22nd August, 1991, has referred the following dispute to this court for adjudication under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act') on failure of the conciliation proceedings between the parties:—

"Whether the termination of the petitioner Shri Ashwini Kumar by the management of Kand Soil Conservation Co-operative Society, Ltd. Kand, Tehsil and District Una on demand of the minimum wages by him is legal and justified ? If illegal, to what amount of compensation and relief, Shri Ashwini Kumar is entitled ?".

2. The petitioner claims that he was appointed as Secretary by the respondents @ Rs. 100/- per month *vide* letter, Ex. P.W. 1/A. His duty was to maintain, the accounts of the society. He received a training at the stance of the society for four months and he was promised by the respondent management that he would be paid the minimum wages on completion of the training. He demanded the minimum wages on completion of the training but his services were terminated by the respondent management without any chargesheet or enquiry against him in consonance with the principles of natural Justice as alleged by him. He further claims that he worked with the respondent society *w. e. f.* 3-11-1985 to 27-10-1988. He claims re-instatement with all the consequential benefits including the back wages.

3. The plea of the respondent management is that there was no sufficient work with the respondent society for the petitioner and as such his rate was fixed @ Rs. 130/- per month. There was no need to conduct enquiry as his services were terminated on the grounds of embezzlement. The petitioner raised the demand for the minimum wages after his termination. The claim of the petitioner has been described by the respondents as groundless. From the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed by me on 16-6-1992.:—

1. Whether the termination of the petitioner by the management on demand of the minimum wages is legal and justified ? (OP Parties).

2. Whether the respondent has no sufficient work to appoint the petitioner as Secretary on enhanced wages ? (OPR).

3. Relief.

Findings on Issues :

Issue No. 1 *and* 2:

4. Both the above issues are inter-connected and would be disposed off together in order to avoid the duplicity in discussion.

5. The petitioner has placed on record the evidence of the following witnesses. P.W. 1 himself as his own witness. Respondent has adduced witness of R.W. 1, Shri Baldev Chand, Up-Pradhan of the respondent

Society and R. W. 2, Shri Shyam Sunder and Shri Ved Parkash another witness respectively. The respondent society has also placed on record the proceedings of the meeting with respect to the proposed action against the petitioner regarding the embezzlement resorted to by him as alleged, and letting him off after depositing the money stated to have been embezzled by him. It is in evidence of RW 2 Shyam Sunder, Pardhan of the respondent society from 1988 to 1992 that the petitioner committed serious irregularities and since he was of young and raw age, he was let off and no case of criminal type was registered against him with the police. According to Ved Parkash, R. W. 2, the petitioner embezzled the amount of Rs. 5200/-. The responsible persons of the village let him off after he admitted his faults. No chargesheet was issued against him in view of admission of the fault by the petitioner. The petitioner has submitted that no enquiry was conducted and as such his termination is bad in law. The respondent has submitted that in view of the admission of his fault by the petitioner before the respectable persons of the village, no necessity was felt to conduct enquiry against him nor was felt any necessity to chargesheet him or to lodge a criminal case against him with the police. It is admitted fact by the petitioner that he took the money of Rs. 5200/- from the contractor and did not record the entries in the cash book by mistake and later on he deposited this amount in the account of the respondent society after six months. It is also admitted by him that money was lying with the cashier as it was entrusted to him by the petitioner. He used to maintain the accounts of the respondent society running into business of four lakhs per annum. It is also argued by him that the punishment inflicted upon him by the respondent management is too harsh in the facts and circumstances of this case. I am of the view after hearing the parties that there was no need to conduct the enquiry in view of the admission of the fault by the petitioner himself in the court as well as before the respondent society. It is immaterial that it was not recorded in writing. It is admitted by him that he received the amounts and deposited the same after six months which amount to a temporary embezzlement. He has not produced the cashier in support of his defence. It is interesting to note that the respondent society was paying Rs. 100/- only to the petitioner per month for the work done by him, He was not getting the minimum wages. Temptation naturally arises to meet out the expenses by one way or the other of daily life. The respondent society has also imparted him the necessary training of four months. Besides there is no sufficient full time work with the society to be made available to the petitioner as stated by them. In fact, the services of the petitioner were not terminated on the demand of the minimum wages but his services were dispensed with on the grounds of embezzlement. In view of this, I am of the view that there are extenuating circumstances to grant the petitioner lesser punishment under section 11(A) of the Industrial Disputes Act on the following reasonings. The petitioner was new to his job and deposited the amount without hesitation. The petitioner deserves to be given an opportunity to reform while in service. The petitioner was not getting a good salary and only Rs. 100/- per month and his economic necessity must have compelled him to retain the money for sometime. Dismissal from service will be a death penality to the petitioner in the facts and circumstances of this case, I, therefore, for the reasons recorded re-instate the petitioner on the following conditions by awarding the lesser punishment firstly, he will be kept on probation for two years and will be paid according to the rates of minimum wages depending upon the work and its duration. This is not a fit case for allowing the back wages to the petitioner. However, continuity of service is ordered to be given to him from the date of his termination as if his services were not terminated by the respondent management. In view of my findings, the other issue has itself become redundant. Both these issues are, therefore, decided accordingly in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent management.

6. Relief

In view of my findings on issues and the reasons recorded, I pass an award accordingly in favour of the petitioners and against the respondent management ordering the re-instatement of the petitioner in service of the respondents in the manner as if no termination order was ever passed against the petitioner by the respondent management. He is not entitled for any back wages. However, continuity of service is ordered to be given to him in the facts and circumstances of this case besides minimum wages from time to time as prescribed by the Government of Himachal Pradesh under the Minimum Wages Act, 1948. Reference is answered accordingly. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2, for its due publication in the official gazette *i.e.* H.P. Rajpatra in accordance with the law. A copy of this award be given to the parties free of costs, if applied for by them. The parties are, however, left to bear their own coss of these proceedings. The file be consigned to the record room after its due completion.

Announced on this 16th day February, 1993, at Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,
Presiding Officer,
Ladour Court, Himachal,
Pradesh, Shimla, 16-2-1993.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Ref.Case-59/91

Brij Lal .. *Petitioner.*

Versus

M/s. Pamwi Speciality and Tissue Papers, Ltd., Barotiwala, District Solan, (H.P.) .. *Respondents.*

Shri J. C. Bhardwaj, Authorised Representative of the petitioner.

Shri V. K. Gupta, Authorised Representative of the respondents

AWARD

The Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla *vide* its notification, dated the 1st May, 1991, referred the following dispute to this court for adjudication under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act') on failure of the conciliation proceedings between the parties:—

> "Whether the termination of Shri Brij Lal s/o Shri Beli Ram, Ex-worker by the management of M/s. Pamwi Speciality and Tissue Papers, Ltd. Brotiwala, District Solan (H.P.). for remaining absent *w.e.f.* 12-6-89 to 16-6-1989 is legal and Justified ? If Illegal to what relief and service benefits, Shri Brij Lal is entitled ?".

2. The petitioner alleges that he joined the respondent factory as helper in the year, 1983. His services were terminated without any justification on 5-12-1989. He had gone to his native village after obtaining one day's casual leave in connection with the illness of his mother He also sent an application to the Factory Manager Mr. Chhiber through one co-worker Shri Dharam Pal for extension of the leave beyond one day's leave already sanctioned to him. He happened to visit the respondent factory on 17-6-1989 and was not allowed to enter into the factory to join duty as alleged by him. The petitioner further alleges that he had been working with the respondent management till 5-12-1989 and enquiry conducted by Shri Sultan Chowdhery is not fair and impartial as the enquiry officer was interested and able to influence the witnesses. It is further alleged that the respondent management is in the habit of committing unfair la practices by way of not allowing the workers to

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla, Camp Amb, (District Una, (H.P.)

Ref-105/91-

Chandu Khan .. *Petitioner.*

Versus

M/S Him Cylinders, pvt. ltd., Amb, District Una, (H.P.) ..*Respondents.*

Shri Chandu Khan, petitioner in person with Shri Birbal, Vice President of the workers' union.

Shri Hans Raj for the respondents.

AWARD

The Government of Himachal Pradesh *vide* its notification dated the 28th September, 1991, referred the following dispute to this court for adjudication under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act') on failure of the conciliation proceedings between the parties:—

"Whether the termination of services of the petitioner by the management of M/s Him Cylinders pvt. Ltd, Amb, District Una, H.P. *w.e.f.* 1-6-1990, without any reasons and notice is legal and justified? If illegal to what amount of compensation and service benefits, Shri Chandu Khan is entitled ?".

2. After visiting the factory today at Amb by the undersigned, the settlement has been arrived at between the parties before this court. Practically, there is no alternative job in the factory which can be offered to the petitioner. He cannot work on the old machine as it requires the pressure of both the hands. His four fingers of one hand are not in working order due to an accident. The petitioner has agreed to the amount of Rs. 15, 000/- (Fifteen thousand) only in full and final settlement of his claim in respect of his service and other legal dues etc. This amount would be besides the amount which he will get under the Workmen Compensation Act, 1936 and for which a case is pending before the competent Court. Both the parties are directed to get it expedited according to the rates and norms fixed in the schedule of the Act by recent amendment. The amount of Rs. 15,000/- (Fifteen thousand, would be paid by the company within a period of one month from today failing which an interest of 18% would be payable on this amount also by the company to the petitioner. The company will deposit Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with the help of the worker for one year in the fixed deposit in any scheduled Bank at Amb an amount of Rs. 5,000/- (Five thousand would be paid in cash to the petitioner. The amount would be in the fixed deposit for a period of one year. In view of this settlement arrived at between the parties, the reference is answered accordingly. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2, for its due publication in the official gazettee *i.e.* H.P. Rajpatra in accordance with the law. A copy be given to the parties free of costs if applied for by them. The parties are, however, left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file be consigned to the record room after its due completion.

Announce on this 17th day of January, 1993, in open Court at Amb District Kulu, (H.P.).

R. K. MAHAJAN,

Seal. *Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-Camp, Amb, District Una (H. P.), 17-1-1993.*

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.

Ref.91/91—

Ashwini Kumar .. *Petitioner.*

Versus

President, Kand Soil Conservation Co-operative Society, Ltd., Kand, Tehsil and District Una, (H.P.) ..*Respondent.*

Shri Ashwini Kumar, petitioner in person.

Shri Baldev Chand, for the respondents.

AWARD

The Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla *vide* its notification, dated the 22nd August, 1991, has referred the following dispute to this court for adjudication under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act') on failure of the conciliation proceedings between the parties:—

"Whether the termination of the petitioner Shri Ashwini Kumar by the management of Kand Soil Conservation Co-operative Society, Ltd. Kand, Tehsil and District Una on demand of the minimum wages by him is legal and justified ? If illegal, to what amount of compensation and relief, Shri Ashwini Kumar is entitled ?".

2. The petitioner claims that he was appointed as Secretary by the respondents @ Rs. 100/- per month *vide* letter, Ex. P.W. 1/A. His duty was to maintain, the accounts of the society. He received a training at the stance of the society for four months and he was promised by the respondent management that he would be paid the minimum wages on completion of the training. He demanded the minimum wages on completion of the training but his services were terminated by the respondent management without any chargesheet or enquiry against him in consonance with the principles of natural Justice as alleged by him. He further claims that he worked with the respondent society *w.e.f.* 3-11-1985 to 27-10-1988. He claims re-instatement with all the consequential benefits including the back wages.

3. The plea of the respondent management is that there was no sufficient work with the respondent society for the petitioner and as such his rate was fixed @ Rs. 130/- per month. There was no need to conduct enquiry as his services were terminated on the grounds of embezzlement. The petitioner raised the demand for the minimum wages after his termination. The claim of the petitioner has been described by the respondents as groundless. From the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed by me on 16-6-1992.:—

1. Whether the termination of the petitioner by the management on demand of the minimum wages is legal and justified ? (OP Parties).

2. Whether the respondent has no sufficient work to appoint the petitioner as Secretary on enhanced wages ? (OPR).

3. Relief.

Findings on Issues :

Issue No. 1 and 2:

4. Both the above issues are inter-connected and would be disposed off together in order to avoid the duplicity in discussion.

5. The petitioner has placed on record the evidence of the following witnesses. P.W. 1 himself as his own witness. Respondent has adduced witness of R.W. 1, Shri Baldev Chand, Up-Pradhan of the respondent

Society and R. W. 2, Shri Shyam Sunder and Shri Ved Parkash another witness respectively. The respondent society has also placed on record the proceedings of the meeting with respect to the proposed action against the petitioner regarding the embezzlement resorted to by him as alleged, and letting him off after depositing the money stated to have been embezzled by him. It is in evidence of RW 2 Shyam Sunder, Pardhan of the respondent society from 1988 to 1992 that the petitioner committed serious irregularities and since he was of young and raw age, he was let off and no case of criminal type was registered against him with the police. According to Ved Parkash, R. W. 2, the petitioner embezzled the amount of Rs. 5200/-. The responsible persons of the village let him off after he admitted his faults. No chargesheet was issued against him in view of admission of the fault by the petitioner. The petitioner has submitted that no enquiry was conducted and as such his termination is bad in law. The respondent has submitted that in view of the admission of his fault by the petitioner before the respectable persons of the village, no necessity was felt to conduct enquiry against him nor was felt any necessity to chargesheet him or to lodge a criminal case against him with the police. It is admitted fact by the petitioner that he took the money of Rs. 5200/- from the contractor and did not record the entries in the cash book by mistake and later on he deposited this amount in the account of the respondent society after six months. It is also admitted by him that money was lying with the cashier as it was entrusted to him by the petitioner. He used to maintain the accounts of the respondent society running into business of four lakhs per annum. It is also argued by him that the punishment inflicted upon him by the respondent management is too harsh in the facts and circumstances of this case. I am of the view after hearing the parties that there was no need to conduct the enquiry in view of the admission of the fault by the petitioner himself in the court as well as before the respondent society. It is immaterial that it was not recorded in writing. It is admitted by him that he received the amounts and deposited the same after six months which amount to a temporary embezzlement. He has not produced the cashier in support of his defence. It is interesting to note that the respondent society was paying Rs. 100/- only to the petitioner per month for the work done by him, He was not getting the minimum wages. Temptation naturally arises to meet out the expenses by one way or the other of daily life. The respondent society has also imparted him the necessary training of four months. Besides there is no sufficient full time work with the society to be made available to the petitioner as stated by them. In fact, the services of the petitioner were not terminated on the demand of the minimum wages but his services were dispensed with on the grounds of embezzlement. In view of this, I am of the view that there are extenuating circumstances to grant the petitioner lesser punishment under section 11(A) of the Industrial Disputes Act on the following reasonings. The petitioner was new to his job and deposited the amount without hesitation. The petitioner deserves to be given an opportunity to reform while in service. The petitioner was not getting a good salary and only Rs. 100/- per month and his economic necessity must have compelled him to retain the money for sometime. Dismissal from service will be a death penality to the petitioner in the facts and circumstances of this case, I, therefore, for the reasons recorded re-instate the petitioner on the following conditions by awarding the lesser punishment firstly, he will be kept on probation for two years and will be paid according to the rates of minimum wages depending upon the work and its duration. This is not a fit case for allowing the back wages to the petitioner. However, continuity of service is ordered to be given to him from the date of his termination as if his services were not terminated by the respondent management. In view of my findings, the other issue has itself become redundant. Both these issues are, therefore, decided accordingly in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent management.

6. Relief

In view of my findings on issues and the reasons recorded, I pass an award accordingly in favour of the petitioners and against the respondent management ordering the re-instatement of the petitioner in service of the respondents in the manner as if no termination order was ever passed against the petitioner by the respondent management. He is not entitled for any back wages. However, continuity of service is ordered to be given to him in the facts and circumstances of this case besides minimum wages from time to time as prescribed by the Government of Himachal Pradesh under the Minimum Wages Act, 1948. Reference is answered accordingly. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2, for its due publication in the official gazette *i.e.* H.P. Rajpatra in accordance with the law. A copy of this award be given to the parties free of costs, if applied for by them. The parties are, however, left to bear their own coss of these proceedings. The file be consigned to the record room after its due completion.

Announced on this 16th day February, 1993, at Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,
Presiding Officer,
Ladour Court, Himachal,
Pradesh, Shimla, 16-2-1993.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Ref.Case-59/91

Brij Lal .. *Petitioner.*

Versus

M/s. Pamwi Speciality and Tissue Papers, Ltd., Barotiwala, District Solan, (H.P.) .. *Respondents.*

Shri J. C. Bhardwaj, Authorised Representative of the petitioner.

Shri V. K. Gupta, Authorised Representative of the respondents

AWARD

The Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla *vide* its notification, dated the 1st May, 1991, referred the following dispute to this court for adjudication under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act') on failure of the conciliation proceedings between the parties:—

> "Whether the termination of Shri Brij Lal s/o Shri Beli Ram, Ex-worker by the management of M/s. Pamwi Speciality and Tissue Papers, Ltd. Brotiwala, District Solan (H.P.). for remaining absent *w.e.f.* 12-6-89 to 16-6-1989 is legal and Justified ? If Illegal to what relief and service benefits, Shri Brij Lal is entitled ?".

2. The petitioner alleges that he joined the respondent factory as helper in the year, 1983. His services were terminated without any justification on 5-12-1989. He had gone to his native village after obtaining one day's casual leave in connection with the illness of his mother He also sent an application to the Factory Manager Mr. Chhiber through one co-worker Shri Dharam Pal for extension of the leave beyond one day's leave already sanctioned to him. He happened to visit the respondent factory on 17-6-1989 and was not allowed to enter into the factory to join duty as alleged by him. The petitioner further alleges that he had been working with the respondent management till 5-12-1989 and enquiry conducted by Shri Sultan Chowdhery is not fair and impartial as the enquiry officer was interested and able to influence the witnesses. It is further alleged that the respondent management is in the habit of committing unfair la... practices by way of not allowing the workers to ...

duty on various occasions. So according to the petitioner, it amounts to retrenchment and the punishment inflicted upon him is harsh and unfair as no notice of proposed punishment was ever given to him by the respondent management on the basis of the enquiry findings and its results. He prays for his re-instatement with all the consequential benefits including back wages.

3. The plea of the respondent management is that the petitioner was given full opportunity to defend to himself during the enquiry proceedings. The petitioner was allowed to be represented by a co-worker as requested by him. In fact, the petitioner is a habitual absentee and the respondent management considered, that he had abandoned the job when he did not join the duties upto 15-7-1989. The respondent management then started the enquiry proceedings against the petitioner in accordance with the provisions laid down in the certified standing orders of the respondent company. It is also alleged that this court has no jurisdiction to try the present reference. From the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed by me on 27-8-1991 :—

1. Whether the termination of the petitioner is illegal and unjustified on account of remaining absent from 12-6-1989 to 16-6-1989 (O.P. Parties)
2. Whether the Government has no jurisdiction to refered the dispute on the ground as alleged ? (OPR).
3. Whether the reference under section 12(5) is bad in law ? (OPR).
4. Whether the enquiry conducted by the Management is vitiated for any reasons as alleged by the petitioner ? (OPP).
5. Relief.

4. FINDINGS ON ISSUES.

Issue Nos. 1 *and* 4.

Both the above issues are interconnected and would be disposed off together in order to avoid the duplicity in discussion.

5. The petitioner adduced evidence of P.W. 1 by appearing himself as his own witness and the respondent has adduced evidence of R. W. 1 Shri Sultan Chowdhery. The respondent management has also relied upon the enquiry report given by Shri Sultan Chowdhery, who was appointed as the enquiry officer and the report is placed on record. According to the petitioner's statement on oath before this court, his mother was ill and he could not join duty after availing one day's leave already sanctioned to him by the respondent management on 13-6-1989. The respondent management has denied that his mother was ill but in the enquiry report, the observations on this count are in favour of the petitioner which will be discussed later on. Mr. Bhardwaj, representative of the petitioner has submitted that Shri Brij Lal was chargesheeted for a period of absence from 12-6-1989 to 16-6-1989 and also for engagement elsewhere, a private truck. He further submits that copy of the chargesheet was not given in Hindi and the tussle went on and it was delivered to the petitioner after some time. The Enquiry Officer's fairness and impartiality has also been challenged on the grounds that he is an employee of the respondents. He has also submitted that application for extension of leave was sent through a co-worker which has not been purposely brought on record by the Respondents. Mr. Gupta, Authorised representative of the respondents has submitted that the petitioner was not interested to join the duty as he was employed in a truck somewher else. He did not attend the factory from 12-6-1989 to 16-6-1989 and as such the petitioner abandoned the job on his own and later on his explanation was not found vaild during the enquiry proceedings. I am of the view after hearing the parties' representatives that according to the enquiry report given by Shri Sultan Chowdhery, it was mentioned that his mother was admittedly ill (Petitioner's) but his leave application was neither received in the factory nor was the leave sanctioned to him. It has come into evidence during the course of enquiry that one Shri Ramesh Chand, Fitter had received the application for extension of leave of the petitioner and he had handed over the same to another co-worker Shri Dharam Pal for onward delivery to Shri Mathur, Manager. Later on, information was given to Shri Mathur which has not been denied. No cross examination has been made by the respondent management on this aspect. It is also in the evidence of Shri Ramesh Chand that the application was handed over by Shri Dharam Pal to Mr. Mathur when he was standing before the factory. Mr. Sultan Chowdhery, Enquiry Officer has completely misread the evidence of Shri Ramesh Chand and has adopted a wrong approach from common man point of view when he states that application was placed on the table of Mr. Mathur by Shri Dharam Pal and it was not brought to his notice. It appears from the evidence during the course of enquiry that he has not taken a fair approach in arriving at a correct conclusion and seems to be interested in the management. Thus the enquiry conducted by the respondent management is vitiated as it is based on unreasonable findings of evidence on record. The petitioner was given chargesheet after about a month in Hindi and later on he was given capital punishment of termination just for the absence of three days when there was a valid explanation as also admitted by the management. It is not a case of abandonment of job by the petitioner. Rather the respondent management was not interested to take him back in the job. The punishment also shows that the respondent management was not acting fairly. All the cummulative facts are a pointer on the part of the respondent management of showing prejudice to the petitioner. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded set aside the termination orders of the petitioner passed by the respondent management in such a manner as if no termination order was ever passed against the petitioner by the respondent management. Both the above issues are, therefore, decided accordingly in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent management.

6. *Issue No.* 2 *and* 3.

These issues have not been pressed by the parties during the course of either evidence or arguments and as such need not be answered.

7. **Relief :**

In view of my findings on issues and the reasons recorded, I pass an award accordingly in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent management. The petitioner is ordered to be re-instated in the service of the respondent management from the date of his termination in such a manner as if no termination order was ever passed by the respondent management against him. He is also ordered to be given the full back wages accordingly within one month from the date of publication of this award in the official gazette *i.e.* H.P. Rajpatra in accordance with the law failing which 18% interest on the amount due to the petitioner will be payable by the respondent management to the petitioner. Reference is answered accordingly. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2, for its due publication in the official gazette *i.e.* H.P. Rajpatra in accordance with the law. A copy of this award be also given to the parties free of costs, if applied for by them. The parties are, however, left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file be consigned to the record room after its due completion.

Announced on this 22nd day of February, 1993, at Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Himachal,
Pradesh, Shimla, 22-2-1993.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Ref. 74/92

Anil Sood .. *Petitioners.*

Versus

Managing Director, Himachal Road Transport Corporation, Shimla. .. *Respondent.*

Shri J. C. Bhardwaj, Authorised Representative of the petitioner.

Shri K. C. Chauhan, Authorised Representative of the respondents.

AWARD

1. The Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla *vide* its notification, dated the 30th November, 1992, referred the following dispute to this court for adjudication under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act') on failure of the conciliation proceedings between the patrties:—

"Whether the termination of Shri Anil Sood by the Managing Director, Himachal Road Transport Corporation, Shimla, without enquiry, notice pay and retrenchment compensation etc., is legal or illegal ? If illegal, to what relief and amount of compensation, Shri Anil Sood is entitled ?".

2. Shri Anil Sood, petitioner alleges that he was employed by the respondent management on 14-10-1986 in the regular pay scale of Rs. 325—400 as helper for repairing the VIDEOS in the Delux Buses. He alleges that he is matriculate and trained in the mechanism of the trade in which he was employed. He was shunted out from service by the respondents on 27-10-1987, without any notice, notice pay and retrenchment Compensation. He further alleges that no enquiry was conducted in consonance with the principles of natural justice for alleged inefficiency on his part which has been revealed during evidence. No chargesheet was served upon him. He claims that he can perform alternative job of marketing if there is old job available for him with the respondent management. His further grouse is that more persons have been employed , other trade after his termination in which he could also be adjusted. He seeks relief by way of re-instatement in the service of the respondents with all the consequential benefits icluding the backwages.

3. The plea of the respondent management is as revealed from the written statement filed by them is that the petitioner was performing temporary nature of the job and he was not performing the duties assigned to him satisfactorily and as such he was discharged from service as per clause 1 and 9 of his appointment order placed on record. This clause relates to the termination of the employment contract of the petitioner at any time without assigning any reasons therefor. Clasue 9 relates to the probation period given to the petitiner of two years and which specifies that his services can be terminated on account of unsatisfactory performance during the probation period.

4. It is further pleaded by the respondent management that the work and the conduct of the petitioner was not found satisfactory despite repeated warnings and punishments to him so many times but he did not improve. Ultimately a show cause notice was given to him on 7-5-1987 and thereafter he was disengaged from service. The plea of the respondent management is that he has been discharged on account of unsatisfactory performance and later on, he was disengaged *vide* letter Ex.R.* on 14-10-1986. From the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed by me on 7-1-1993:—

1. Waether the petitioner has been terminated illegally and without justification as alleged? (OPP).
2. Whether the petitioner was appointed on contractual basis, as alleged ? (OPP).
3. Relief.

5. Findings on Issues.

Issue No. 1 and 2.

6. Both the above issues are interconnected and would be disposed off together in order to avoid the duplicity in discussion.

7. The petitioner has placed on record his own witness by appering himself as his own witness as P.W.1. Respondent adduced the evidence of R.W.1 Shri Tara Singh, R.W.2, Shri Garib Dass and R.W.3, Shri Azmad Ali, Senior Assistant. The respondent has further placed on record the documents relating to termination of services of the petitioner by way of letter Ex.R.W.1/D. Mr.Chauhan, representative of the respondents has submitted that the petitioner was engaged purely on temporary basis and he has been discharged during the probation period after giving him show cause notices to improve on several times, after recording the statement of the driver on 2-2-1983 regarding the non-repairing of the T.V.at Dhalli. He further submits that since the job was of contractual nature and his T.V. was out of order and then the petitioner was disengeged. According to him, the job was for a short duration and later on the respondent management did not think to extend it. Shri J.C. Bhardwaj, representative of the petitioner has submitted that in fact it was not a discharge simpliciter and allegations were of stigmatic nature. No enquiry was conducted in consonance with the principles of natural justice and as such the termination of the petitioner is illegal and void abinitio. Now I would make a reference to the statements of R.W.1,R.W.2 and R.W.3. According to R.W.1, the petitioner's duty was of a helper and he used to repair the televisions and Videos. He used to work under the Station Supervisor. He admits that no compensation was given to the petitioner or any enquiry was held for his unsatisfactory performance. R.W.2 states that so far as his section was concerned, the petitioner was performing the duties satisfactorily and there was no complaint against him regarding his section. He performed all duties assigned to him. R.W.3, states that he received a complaint from the driver regarding non-repairing of the Video but when he contacted the petitioner, he told him that he had checked the defect at Dhalli and conducted the necessary repairs. According to him the petitioner remained absent and did not perform the duty. He has denied that the VIDEOS became defective on account of mishandling. It is interesting to note that he used to remain on duty at Taradevi from 2.P.M. to 5.P.M., and thereafter he used to go to Dhalli or at the Bus Stand. Obviously it takes time to reach Dhalli from Taradevi as the distance from Taradevi to Dhalli is not less than 15 kilometres. Judicial notice can be taken. After hering the parties and going through the record, I am of the view that in fact, it is not a case of discharge simplicitor but that it was stigmatic. The court has full powers to open the veil at the order and then to adjudge the nature of the order. There were complaints against the petitioner regarding not attending the duties and the repair work etc. and even for remaining absent. Some of the employees of the respondent Corporation *e.i.* Garib Dass, R.W.2, Electrical Mechanic has stated that he was satisfied with the work of the petitioner so far as his section was concerned. In the facts and circumstances of this case, enquiry in consonance with the principles of natural justice should have been conducted by the respondent managament for the unsatisfactory performance of the petitoner which has not been done by them. Even one month's notice was not given to the petitioner or pay in liew thereof was also not given to him. I would like to quote the Supreme Court Ruling-Anoop Jaiswal versus Government of India-1984—Labour and Industrial Cases-344.

It was observed that where the form of the order is merely camouflaged for an order of

dismissal for misconduct, it is always open to the court before which the order is challenged to go behind the form and ascertain the true character of the order, if the courts holds that the order though in form is merely a determination of employment, is in reality a cloak, for an order of punishment, the court would not be debarred, merely because of the form of the order in giving effect to the rights conferred by the law upon the employee.

8. In another case of Jarnail Singh versus State of Punjab (1986) 2 SCR: 1022: (1986 Labour and Industrial cases 1086), it has held thus:"when an allegation is made by the employee assailing the order or termination as one based on misconduct though couched in innocuous terms, it is incumbent on the court to lift the veil and to see the real circumstances, as well as the basis and the foundation of the order complained of. In other words, the court, in such a case, will, Lift the veil and will see whether the order was made on the grounds of misconduct, inefficiency or not.

9. Relying upon these ruling and the principles laid down,it cannot be said that it is a case of discharge simplicitor taking into consideration the grounds of this case. Mr. Chauhan has cited **A.I.R. Supreme Court--1958** '(Page 1059 **State of Punlab and others--Appellants versus Sukh Raj Bahadur and others--respondents.** In fact, this was a case of reversion and it is not applicable in the instant case. He has also cited another authority A.I.R.Suprem Court-1980 '(Page 1242) Oil and Natural Gass Commission and others—appellents versus Dr. M.D.S. Eskander Ali—respondents. Tnis ruling is also not applicable in the instant case in the facts and its circumstances. Mr.Chauhan has also advanced another resaon that according to the contractual terms, the services can be terminated without advancing any reason, has been looked down by the trend of recent Supre Court Authority as it would amount to arbitrary action violative of Article 14 of the constitution of India. It is not denied vehemently by the respondent management some persons have not been engaged after his termination. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded hold that the termination of the petitioner by the respondent management is illegal and unjustified and the same is quashed and set aside in such a mannet as if no such termination order was ever passed by the respondent management against the petitoner. Both the issues are decided accordingly in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent management.

10. Relief:

In view of my finding on isues and the reason recorded, I pass an award accordingly in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent managment holding the termination as illegal and unjustified. The same is quashed and set aside and the petitioner is ordered to be reinstated in the service of the respondent management from the date of his termination in such a manner as if no such termintion order was ever passed by the respondent management against him. In case, job previously assigned to the petitioner is not available to the petitioner with the respon. ent mangement, he be adjusted against the alterntive job of his status such as storekeeper etc. Reference is answered accordingly in affirmative. The respondent management is also directed to pay to the petitioner an amount of Rs.15,000/- '(Fifteen thousand) only as compensation in lieu of back wages with the continuity of his past service to him as a result of his re-instatement by this order. The compensation be paid to the petitioner within one month from the date of publication of this award in the official gazette *i.e.* H. P. Rajpatra in accordance with the law failing which an interest of 18% will also be payable by the respondent management to the petitioner on this amount. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2, for its due publication in the official gazette *i.e.* H.P. Rajpatra in accordance with the law. A copy of this award be given to the parties free of costs, if applied for by them. The parties are, however, left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file be consigned to the record room after its due completion.

Announced on this 23rd day of February, 1993 at Shimla.

Seal

R.K. MAHAJAN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh,
Shimla 23-2-1993.

Before Shri R.K.Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.

Ref. Case No.18/90.

Him Ispat Mazdoor Sangh. ..*Petitioners.*

Versus

Management of M/s Him Ispat, Kandrori, District Kangra (H.P.). ..*Respondents.*

Shri Pyare Lal Bery, Authorised Representative of the petitioners.

Shri R.C., Mehta, Authorised Representative of the respondents.

AWARD

1. The Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla *vide* its notification, dated the 8th January, 1990, has referred the following dispute to this court for adjudication under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act') on failure of the conciliation proceedings betwwen the parties :—

1. Whether the termination of services of S/Shri Beant Singh, Mill Incharge and Gagan Singh helper by the Management of M/s Him Ispat, Itd, Kandrori, District Kangra is legal and maintainable? If illegal, to what relief and service benefits, S/Shri Beant Singh and Gagan Singh are entitled ?
2. Whether the workers of M/s Him Ispat Kandrori started slow down from 20-11-89, onwards or not ? If so, whether it is jusdified or not? If not, whether it is unfair labour practice or not? If so, what penality should be imposed on the workers?
3. Whether the dismissal from service of 19 workers (List enclosed) by the Management of M/s Him Ispat, Kandrori on 29-11-1989 is legal and maintainable? If illegal, to what relief and service benefits, the abovementioned workers are entitled?.
4. Whether the action of the Management of M/s Him Ispat, Kandrori to declare lock out in the factory *w.e.f.* 1-12-1989 is justified and in order? If not, to what relief and amount of compensation, the aggrieved workers are entitled ?

2. The petitioners workmen have filed a claim alleging that slow down atter raised by the respondent management is a subject matter of reference and is not maintainable in this court as it relates to the jurisdiction of the Industrial Tribunal (III Schedule-Item No. 8. It is also alleged that One Shri Beant Singh was terminated by the respondent management without any chargesheet given or show cause notice issued to him and as such it is not in consonance with the principles of natural justice. Enquiry was also not held. In view of this, his sermination of services is liable to be held illegal. It is further alleged that Beant Singh was a trade unionist and his services were terminated on account of trade union activities. His resignation was obtained by the respondent management under duress. Termination letter placed on record is Ex.Annexure-I. Termination

of another worker, Shri Gagan Singh has also been challenged by the petitioners on the same grounds. Regardig slow down, the plea of the petitoners is that of simple denial. As regards item No.3, dismissal of 19 workers alleged by the petitoiners is that the dismissal order, dated 29-11-1989 is unreasonable and arbitrary as no domestic enquiry was held by the respondent management nor any chargesheet was given to the petitioners nor were they given the opportunity to defend them selves.Regarding workers, S/Shri Yash Pal, Uttam Chand and Chander Shekher, they were served chargesheets on 23-11-1989. The petitioners replied to the chargesheet *vide* Annexure 4 placed on record. The dismissal order has been described by them as arbitrary and unjustified. It is also alleged that the respondent management has not complied to the provisions of section 25 (F & N) of the Act and as such the dismissal of 19 workers is illegal and void abinitio.

3. It is further alleged that an agreement was arrived at between the parties *vide* Annexure 2 and there was a term in the said agreement to re-instate 4 workers, S/Shri Amrik Singh, Shashi Pal, Kehetan Parshad and Gian Chand and according to the management, they were to join duty by virtue of agreement on 6-9-1989 and Gian Chand did not goin duty and as such he was not later on allowed to join duty, as the management did not find the reasons tenable regarding marriage of his Sister. The petitioners pray that they may be reinstated with all the consequential benefits including back wages. Regarding lock out, the plea of the petitoners is that the respondent management declared the lockout on 1-12-1989 without any valid reasons. In fact, it was a tactic devised by the respondent management to demoralise the workers. There was no proper notice of the lock out to the workers as well as to the competent authorities. It was not a lock out at all as during the alleged lockout period, the management recruited new workers in place of the petitioners. The workers pray that the lockout may be declared illegal and they may be paid the wages for the lockout period.

4. The plea of the respondent is that the matter of slow down is covered within the jurisdiction of the labour Court. Shri Beant Singh was Incharge of Rolling Mill in supervisory capacity. He was getting more than Rs. 3000/- per month and as such he is not a workman under section 2 (*s*) of the Act. In view of this, the Labour Court has no jurisdiction to try this reference according to the respondent management. Regarding the dismissal of Shri Gagan Singh, the plea of the respondent management is that he was served with a chargesheet and he was given full opportunity to defend himself and if the court comes to the conclusion that enquiry is not fair and proper, the respondents management may be allowed to lead evidence on merits on the matter of enquiry. Regarding Beant Singh, it is also alleged that he was found sleeping during the working hours and he was dully chargesheeted. He has also lost confidence of the management as he cannot supervise the industry when he himself is a lazy. The allegations against Gagan Singh is that he instigated Devi Datt, petitioner to adopt slow down working. Devi Datt refused and then he 'Gaggan Singh started using abusive and filthy language to Devi Datt and threatened with dire consequencies. Shri Joshi was appointed as Enquiry Officer and full opportunity was given to the petitioner for defending himself but he did not find any fault in conducting the enquiry. He was dismissed on the report submitted by Mr.Joshi Enquiry Officer on the report subimitted against him regarding his misconduct.

5. Now the next part of the written statemet filed by the respodent management is very important that under what circumstances, the management declared the lockout. The plea of the respondent management is that the workers resorted to slow down *w.e.f.* 20-11-1989 to 30-11-1989 . They decreased the production as a result of the slow down. One motor was burnt as a result of slow down. There was law and order problem, as the petitioners raised slogans. They did not allow the eagress and ingress of the workers. They locked Senior Officers who came out with the help of police which was provided by the Deputy Superintendent of Police on 1-12-1989. It was again repeated on 2-12-1989. Sub-Divisional Magistrate also visited the spot and was not satisfied with the law and order problem. It may also be mentioned that conciliation procedings were started by the Labour Officer, Dharamshala. It appears that the Union served a demand notice on 6-11-1989 and reply was filed by the management on 16/17-11-1989 and efforts were made for conciliaton by the Labour Officer on 17-11-1989 as revealed from the statement of R.W.8 (Labour Officer). He advised the Labour leaders not to adopt the coercive methods. There is also an averment on the part of the respondent management that agreement, dated 5-7-1987 was not violated by the respondent management. Labour Officer happend to visit the factory on 23-11-1989 and found that there was a slow down. The version of the petitoners is that there was not slow down but it was attributed to certain defects in the machinery. In other words, the case of the respondent management is that there was an atmosphere of fear and violence created by the petitoners. High level officers were not allowed free eagress and ingress and free entries in the factory. As a result of slow down, the production decreased. Central Excise authorities issued notices asking the reasons for the shortfall, in the excise duties payable by the respondent management. The respondent management could not be a silent spectator too all this goondaism and rowdism and it was not practicable to hold the enquiry in such circumstances creted by the workers, Later on, lock out was lifted from 1-12-1989 to 12-1-1990. It was lifted on 13-1-1990. Due publicity was given in the newspapers but no-body responded. It is also denied by the respondent management that any parallel union was formed with the help of the respondent mangement. It is also denied that some goondas were hired from the neigbouring State of Punjab by the respondent management to haras the worker. Rather the respondent management asserted that some workers wanted to liquidate one Shri M.P. Singh Manager (Works) and others. From the pleadings of the parties. the following issues are framed:—

1. Whether the termination of the workers was not justified ? (O.P. Parties).

2. Whether the lock out by the management was justified ? If not, to what effect ? (OPP).

3. Whether Beant Singh and Chander Shekher are not covered under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as they are not workmen ? (OPR).

4. Relief.

FINDINGS ON ISSUES

Issue No. 1 Point of Reference:

6. I would deal first of all the case of S/Shri Beant Singh and Gagan Singh, petitioners. Contention of the respondent management is that Shri Beant Singh is a Supervisor and was drawing more than Rs. 3,000 per month and as such he is not a workman and reference is not competent under the Act. It is also submitted by the respondent management that Shri Gaggan Singh, pettitioner was given full opportunity to defend his case before the Enquiry Officer, Mr. Joshi regarding his alleged misconduct *i.e.* instigating the workers for slow down, abusing, thereatening the coworker, Shri Devi Datt and abusing him etc. In other words, allegations of serious misconduct and assault on Devi Datt worker have been made before the Enquiry Officer which were proved during enquiry and the petitioner was later on dismissed. It is also contended by the respondent management that Shri Beant Singh was terminted in accordance with the terms of his appointment and after proper notice of one month. The plea of the petitioners is that in fact, Shri Beant Singh was a workman and merely that he was given job of supervisor does not take him out from the categry of workman. The representative of the workman has further submitted

that resignation of Beant Singh was demanded under coercion. Mr. Bery has elucidated the plea of victimisation on the part of the respondent management against the petitioner as follows. Firstly, he has pointed out that Rajinder Singh, an active leader of the union was transferred to Pathankot from Kandrori and he was dismissed in 1986 being an union leader. According to his submissions, there was a strike in 1986 and 40 persons (Workers) went to jail. He has also told the Court orally that negotiations were held and all workers were taken back by the respondent management and thereafter the workers increased the production from 700 tonnes to 1500 tonnes by 1989. He also submits that one Shri Mewa Singh was also victimised and so Yash Paul, Chander Sheker and Uttam Chand. Later on, in a process of elimination operation, 17 workers were dismissed on 29-11-1989 in the garb of false law and order problem and to curb out the trade union activities. Now the question arises whether there was a justification to dispense with the services of Shri Beant Singh. I would refer the statement of Shri Beant Singh. He states that he was getting Rs.1700/- per month *i,e.* Rs.1200/- basic pay and Rs.500/- special allowance. He was only a machine operator with effect from 25-12-1982. He was promoted *vide* letter P.W.1/C and false allegations were made against him regarding insubordination. Since he was an active member of the union and he was compelled to resign and thereafter he made a complaint, Ex.P.W.1/E. There was no decrease of production in his time. Rather incentive scheme was invoked by the respondent mangement in November, 1989. I am inclined to agree with the submissions of the respondent management that Shri Beant Singh is not a workman under section 2 (s) of the Act *vide* EX.P.W.1/C and as such this matter is not entertainable by this court. He was described in appointment letter as the Incharge, Rolling Mill *w.e.f.* 1-1-1989 and his total pay was Rs.2850/- per month *i.e.* Rs.2361/- basic pay, Rs.300/-D.A., Special Allowance 189/-. He was put Incharge of the ministerial duties /supervisory duties *i.e.* to organise the manpower, control and co-ordination of production and to achieve higher goals of production etc. He was given these duties in addition to his Rolling Mill Incharge duties. He was also getting Rs.1700/- per month prior to his promotion as Mill operator. I would refer section 2 (s) sub-clause-IV; **workman, who being employed in a supervisory capacity draws wages exceeding Rs.1600/– per Mensum or exercises either by the nature of duties attached to the office or by reasons of powers vested in him, functions mainly of a managerial nature would not fall under the definition of a workman.** Mr.Bery, representative of the petitioners submits that merely that his pay was Rs.2850/ per month and he was given some administrative duties, does not take him out of the perview of section 2 (s) of the Act as he was performing a skilled and technical job. Admittedly, nomenclature of the job does not matter. It is the function which matters. In ths case, since the petitioner was promoted and was given higher salary and further was put on a responsible job which was of a suprvisory/administrative nature and as such he does not fall within the perview of section 2 (s) of the Act and is not a workman. I hold so, Regarding Shri Gagan Singh, petitioner, enquiry was conducted by Mr. Joshi, Personnel officer of the respondent management. After recording the evidence against the petitioner after affording him full opportunity, it was a case of misconduct and assault as described above. Mr.Bery, representative of the petitioner has submitted that Gagan Singh has also been made a victim of trade union activities. I have read the evidence as well as the enqury report and if the evidence is taken into consideration in a wider perspective, the truth remains that there was a quarrel between the petitoner and the co-worker Shri Devi Datt and calling him names and mishandling of Shri Devi Datt, cowoker from his collers and manhandling, there is no unresonable or perverse findings recorded by the Enquiry Officer with respect to Shri Gagan Singh, petitioner. Admittedly, fairness of enquiry has not been challenged also seriously by the petitioner. The petitioner has been given full opportunity to defend himself. Mr. Bery Submits that punishment is extremely harsh and the petitioner should have been dealth with lightly by the respondent management. Since the misconduct of the part of the petitioner is of a very serious nature with regard to abusing and manhandling and as such no leniency can be shown to him. No extenuating circumstances have been pointed out to award lesser punishment under section 11 (A) of the Act. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded and in the facts and circumstances of this case, decide this issue accordingly against the petitioners and in favour of the respondent management.

7. Issue No. 2 Point of reference:

Regarding slow down, the respondent managament has adduced evidence of Shri Mahinder Pal Singh, R.W. 2, Project Manager of the respondent company. He was also Deputy works Manager when slow down was resorted to by the petitioners from 20-11-1989 to 30-11-1989. According to his statement, the workers started working on the machine in an idle manner and brought the production to the lowest level. Shri Chander Shekher Uttam Chand and Yash Pal without getting the permission of their respective departments *i.e.* Office Incharge concerned, instigated the workers as well as called out the workers outside the factry to stop the work unless their demands were met. Slow down continued for ten days. In the process, filthy language was used by the workers against the respondent management. Motor was damaged to the extent of Rs. 50000/- loss as it was burnt. The mangement had to close the motor and had it not closed the motor, the loss would have gone upto 15 lakhs. Motor was not available in the world market at that time. He was placed chart of production for January, 1989 to November, 1989,Ex.R.W.2 /A on record. The respondent management approached the conciliation machinery for conciliation proceedings which were fixed by the conciliation Officer for 17-11-1989 in factory premises. It appears from the letter dated 16-11-1989 placed on record written by Shri M. C. Joshi, Personnel Officer of the respondent management to the Labour Officer, Dharamshala that there is a reference regarding the demand notice served by the workers' union to the management, the term of which was to expire on 16-11-1989 for acceptance. The Personnel Managr, expressed apprehension that the workers have started threatening and intimidating the senior officers of the respondent company and there was a likelihood of perpetration of violence and preventive action was requested. It appears from the statemet of Shri B.D. Sharma, Labour-*cum*-conciliation Offier, Dharamshala, who appeared as R.W.8 in this court that he fixed the date for conciliation on 17-11-89 and sent a meassage to the Union leaders for participation. Photostat copy of this letter is placed on record as Ex.R.W.8/A Another date was fixed on 27-11-1989, *vide* Ex.R.W.8/B. Petitioners turned on 27-11-1989 and he asked from them the causes of the slow down adopted by them and advised them to stop the slow down, if there was. He also advised the workers to have an approach of non-coercive methods for redressal of their grievances and stop the slow down *vide* letter Ex.R.W.8/D. This letter was addressed to the General Secretary of the workers' union and its copy was also endorsed to the respondent management. According to Mr. B. D. Sharma, conciliation proceedings failed. He also stated that there was a breach of the agreement, dated 5-7-1988 with regard to keeping of the harmonious relations by the workers and not to resort to coercive methods. Slow donw is really an unfair lab our practice on the part of the petitioners under Section V of the Act but this court has no jurisdiction to impose the penality.

8. Mr. Sharma's statement is more relevent when the states that when he visited the factory on 23-11-1989, he found that there was a slow down in the factory. He also admits that the workers told him that there was a defective machinery so lock out was declared on 1-12-1989, by the respondent management. He again intervened on 5th and 6th December, 1989 but he failed regarding resolution of the dispute. He then sent the failure report to the appropiate authorities. It is also in his statement that there is an agreement, dated 31-1-90 placed on record arrived at between the parties by virtue

of which four workers were to be in re-instated *i.e.* S/Sh. Amrik Singh, Khetan Parshad, Shashi Pal and Gian Chand. He did not receive complaint from Gian Chand regarding not allowing him to join the duty. The terms of the agreement in brief are mentioned as below. Firstly, matters referred to the Labour Court regarding lock out and slow down as and when decided by that court, will have binding decision on the parties. The management agreed to take back four workers as agreed above subject to the submision of the applications by them. The other petitioners except 17 workers mentioned in the order of reference, were asked to join duty by 6-2-1990 and it was the responsibility of the workers' union to inform the workers. There was also a brief reference in the agreement, dated 31-1-1990 regarding maintainance of good and harmonious relations. Now reference would be made passingly to the correspondence regrding slow down by the workers. The respondent managment addressed personal letters on so many occasions which are on record, to the Labour Commissioner, H.P. regarding the slow'down on 21-11-1989 and 23-11-1989. Letter was also addressed to Yash Pal, Uttam Chand, Chander Shekher etc. not to indulge into unfair labour practice with respect to the slow down and hurting threats or adoption of coercive methods. An appeal was made to allow the loyal workers to join the factory and carry on the work/production. Even notice was published on 23-11-1989 giving information to the workers not to hold rally without the permision of the respondent management in the premises and appeal was made to carry on the work/production otherwise their wages would be deducted in accordance with law. Again information was given on 24-11-1989 to the Labour Officer, Dharamshala regarding illegal stoppage of work of Shift "X' and the general shift. There is a mention in this letter that the workers were sitting idle near the gate of the factory and raising defamatory slogans and causing obstruction. Notices were pasted on the boards right from the beginning to 28-11-1989 on so many occasions to adopt the peaceful methods. Information was given to the Labour Officer, Dharamshala on 30-11-1989 *vide* telegram placed on record that "*Slow down is in progress*", and a lock out was declared by the respondent management as a result of this slow down.

9. The respondent management has also placed on record the statement of R.W. 3, Shri Jadgish Ram, Inspector, Central Excise, who has stated that their department received a report regarding the lock out 2-12-1989. In the month of October, 1989, the production was less than the month of September, 1989. There was no production during the period of lock out. He also visited the factorty on 20-11-1989. In September, 1989, the department received excise duty to the tune of Rs. 15 lakhs 84 thousand 169.26 paise and in October, 1989, a sum of 15,38632.20 paise was realised on account of excise duties from the respondent management. I need not discuss the unimportant evidence on this aspect which is nothing but a correspondence regarding the slow down. The plea of the respondent is that excise duties fell down as a result of less production due to slow down. The contention of Mr. Pyare Lal Bery, representative of the petitioners is that in fact that there was no slow down and decrease of production. He submitted that excise duties levied on finished products and as a matter of fact, the production decreased when the workers were shunted out summarily on 29-11-1989 by the respondent management. Mr. Joshi has denied when a question was put to him by the representative of the petitioners that production was 900 tonnes to 1500 tonnes per month but he stated that it was between 1300 tonnes to 1500 tonnes during his tennure as he has since left the service of the respondent management. There is also a charge placed on record showing the decrease in the production. The fact remains that there was a slow down by the workers as appears from the circumstances and the evidence. When there is no will to work, when the atmosphere is surcharged, the only legal inference is that there was no willingness on the part of the petitoners to continue work. No complaint was lodged with the high ups, may be, in the Labour Department or elsewhere regarding the defects in the machinery as alleged now. In my view, slow down is a slow poisoning process which ultimately leads to death in production of goods which the factory is making. It is also determental to the machinery. It is not conducive in the formation of capital. It is a serious misconduct on the part of the petitioners. I am of the view that there is sufficient evidence/material placed on record to hold that the slow down resorted to by the petitioner was illegal and unjustified. I am not persuaded by the submissions made by the representative of the petitioners. I, therefore, for the resaons recorded hold that the slow down resorted to by the petitioners was not at all justified and legal and decide this issue accordingly in favour of the respondent management and against the petitioners.

Issue No. 4 *Point of reference*

10. Reference has been made in the earlier part of this award regarding slow down aspect and the circumstances which led to the declaration of the lock out by the respondent management on 1-12-1989. Before discussing the evidence, I would like to highlight the statutory provision of declaring lock out as well as general strike. Section 23 of the Act lays down that "*No workman, who is employed in any industrial establishment shall go on strike in breach of contract and no employer of any such work, shall declare a lock out—(a) During the pendency of conciliation proceedings before the Board and seven days after the conclusion of such proceedings. (c) During any period in which a settlement or aw.rd is in operation in respect of the matter covered by the settlement or the award.*

11. The plea of the respondent management is that in fact, strike had started by the method of slow down *w.e.f.* 20-11-1989 and despite the pendency of the conciliation proceedings. The Labour Department had been apprised of the situation. The police was also apprised of the law and order situation. Telephone lines were cut off on 1-12-1989. Private security force had to be hired from Punjab and Rs. 40,000/- were paid to protect the propeity of the respondent management. Mr. Bery's submission is that in fact protection force was brought from Punjab to beat the workers and finish the trade union activities. I would now discuss salient features of the evidence placed on record regarding the problem of law and order. First of all, statement of Shri R. K. Chauhan, Sub-Divisional Officer, Nurpur at the relevant time, who appeared as R. W. 7, I find from his statement that he happened to receive in the mid of November, 1989 complaints from the respondent management regarding law and order problem arising out of the labour problems. He received a letter EX. R. W. 7/A placed on record. In fact, the letter is addressed to the Stationed House Officer with an endorsement to the Sub-Divisional Magistrate. This letter contains the threatening to the senior officers of the staff by the workers and apprehension was felt that the workers may resort to violence. In fact, in this letter, breach of settlement was mentioned as well as reference of section 23 of the Act was also mentioned. Request was made for intervention in the interest of industrial peace. It was also mentioned in the letter that senior officers could not talk to the workers as President of the Union prevented them to do so on 14-11-1989. Another letter, dated 27-11-1989 was received regarding the law and order from the respondent management by the Sub-Divisional Magistrate. He visited the factory on 2-12-1989 and stayed there for the full day with the Deputy Superintendent of Police. He held discussion with the Deputy Superintendent of Police and enquired about the cause of the general situation. Deputy Superintendent informed him that at his intervention, the officials including other people who had been locked out in the factory, came out or were taken out. Sub-Divisional Magistrate persuaded the leaders of the workers to approach the authorities of the Labour Department and shun the coerecive methods or avoid creating troubles. As a result of persuation of the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, the venue of dharna was shifted in front of the factory gate. It is also in the statement of the Sub-Divisional Magistrate that he observed some pits dug up by the workers to cause obstruction of the vehicular

traffic to the respondent management. He found the factory closed on 2-12-1989. He came to know from the management that slow down had resulted in the strike. The workers were also not happy about their dismissal. Now reference would be made to the statement of Shri Shakti Chand, Assistant Inspector, Incharge Police Post, Kotla, who has appeared as R. W. 5 and was posted at Indore Police Chowky at the relevant time within the jurisdiction of which the factory is situated. I find in his statement that when he reached the spot on 1-12-1989, he found the workers staging a dharna. There was a control on eagress and ingress of the workers. The workers who were to come out from the factory on 1-12-1989 at 5.30 A.M., were not allowed to come out and they staged a dharna. He has proved the relevant documents R.W. 8/C and R.W. 5/B. These relate to the stoppage of eagress and ingress of the workers by their leaders. It is also in his evidence that ascort was given to the officials of the factory and they were taken out from the factory with the help of the police. Additional force was called from the Headquarters Police was posted in the factory for sometime. Case was registered against the workers under Sections 147, 148, 149 and 323 I.P.C. and a FIR was lodged with the police by the respondent management. Shri Devi Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, who appeared as R. W. 6 with respect to the law and order problem, has more or less dettoed the version of R. W. 5. It is stated by him that he saw 25 to 30 workers sitting inside the factory and 250 workers sitting outside the factory gate. They were raising the slogans. According to him, situation became tense and he requested the workers not to take the law in their hands. He apprised the Sub-Divisional Magistrate. Later on, Sub-Divisional Magistrate visited the spot for taking a stock of the situation. He gave an escort to the loyal workers, who were not being allowed to come out of the factory by the petitioners. According to him, the situation was tense and when he enquired from the workers regarding cause of their agitation, he was informed by them that the agitation has cropped up due to non-fulfilment of their demands by the respondents. It is also in evidence of Mr. Joshi, Personnel Officer of the respondent management at the relevant time that he alongwith senior officers came out of the factory on 1-12-1989 with the help of the escort. He deposed as R. W. 9. It is also in the evidence of Shri Mahavir Verma, Senior Security Supervisor that the Managing Director, Pradeep Chanddera, Director I. S. Mittal and the Personnel Officer, Shri M. C. Joshi besides the Works Manager, Shri M. P. Singh were locked inside the factory by the workers. Mr. Bery has submitted that in fact, there was no locking and it is all a concocted evidence. The workers were only holding peaceful gate meetings and it is their industrial right to do so. I am not inclined to agree with Mr. Bery, representative of the petitioners in the facts and circumstances of this case on the following reasonings. Firstly, if there was any grievance regarding demands having not been met, the matter could have been resolved through the discussions, intervention by the Conciliation machinery and thereafter by way of reference later on. They should not have taken the law in their hands by digging the pits and locking the senior officers of the respondents besides others and causing hinderance in the movement of loyal workers. It is certainly detrimental to the running of the factory and it is a departure from the normal code of conduct of the industrial law. Secondly, the union also committed a breach of settlement by violating the settlement, dated 31-1-1990 which postulates the maintenance of the harmonious industrial relations and industrial peace. The union should not have precipitated the matter with respect to slow down and later on especially when the conciliation proceedings were pending. Slow down is a slow strike & itself a silent strike. It is a weapon in the hands of the workers and lock-out is the only defence of the respondent management. Management had to declare the lock out when it was compelled to do so under the circumstances *i.e.* law and order problems created by the petitioner as well as slow down discussed *ibid.* I, therefore, for the reasons recorded hold that the lock out declared by the respondent management *w.e.f.* 1-12-1989 in the facts and circumstances of this case, is fully legal and justified. I hold so. This issue is, therefore, decided in favour of the respondent management and against the petitioners.

Issue No. 3. *Point of reference.*

12. Mr. Bery, authorised representative of the petitioners has made a frontal attack on the respondent management in the following way. Firstly tha the respondent did not hold domestic enquiry before dismissing the petitioners in consonance with the principles of natural justice. Secondly, the respondent management did not allow Gian Chand, petitioner, to join the duty in persuance of the settlement arrived at between the parties on 31-1-1990. Mr. Bery has further submitted that the only methed to ventilate the feelings of the petitioners was to hold the meetings and the punishment awarded by the respondent management is extremely harsh. He has further submitted that evidence could not have been led by the respondent management in support of the misconduct of the petitioners. The representative of the respondents, Mr. Mehta has controverted the plea of the petitioners. Evidence has been discussed in the earlier part of this award regarding serious law and order situation as is evident from "Slow Down", gate meetings, digging of the pits and burring of the machines etc. The respondent management conducted a preliminary enquiry, which is placed on record. This enquiry was conducted by Shri M. C. Joshi, Manager (Personnel) of the respondent factory at the relevant time and steps taken on 24-11-1989 to inform the petitioners to take part in the enquiry and submit the list of witnesses. The purpose of the enquiry was also mentioned in the notice issued by the Enquiry Officer for the purpose. The Enquiry Officer recorded the evidence of the management as the President of the workers' union did not receive the notice when it was presented to him on the factory gate. After conducting the enquiry, it was found that 19 (Ninteen) workers mentioned in the list enclosed with the order of reference as well as in the Enquiry Report, dated 28-11-1989, were found responsible in instigating the entire work force to adopt "Go slow" tactics and damage the sophisticated machinery. Their behaviour was described as irresponsible. In my view, it was not possible to hold domestic enquiry before taking any action. Full scale domestic enquiry was not possible at all in view of the grave situation prevailing at that time. The management has a right of self defence as well as of the property and persons including the managerial and other staff of the respondents factory like the petitioners. Rather the respondent management gave a reasonable notice to the petitioners to take part in the preliminary enquiry which the petitioners did not avail. Later on, the respondent sought a permission of this court to lead the evidence in support of the misconduct. They were given the opportunity to lead the evidence in support of the misconduct of the petitioners with a due opportunity to the petitioners for reguttal. Both the parties led the evidence. Even *under Artice* 311 *of the Constitution of India, the President or the Governor, as the case may be, is to dispense enquiry where he comes to the conclusion after forming an opinion that it would not be in the interest of the security of the State to hold such enquiry before dismissal.* It envisages grave situation and the management also has a right in certain circumstances to act in this manner, before entire factory is destroyed. Now I would like to refer section 11(A) of the Act, which gives a power to this court to give the findings regarding the justification of the action of the management after appraisal of the entire evidence and the material on record. In my view, it is not a case where domestic enquiry has not been held or the enquiry is defective as discussed above in the peculiar situation. Moreover, both parties were given the time and full opportunity to lead the evidence and they led the evidence orally as well as in writing by way of affidavits or otherwise. No party has been prejudiced on this count. There is one more aspect which would be dealt in this case and relates to the re-instatement of Shri Gian Chand, one of the four petitioners agreed to be re-instated as a result of the settlement, dated 31-1-1990 and the implementation of this settlement. The dispute is only about Shri Gian Chand as others joined duties as a result

of this settlement. Shri Gian Chand did not join duty on the prescribed date and time as a result of this settlement. The respondent management has not received any application from him regarding joining duties as stipulated in the agreement, dated 31-1-1990. The respondent management also states that no card regarding the marrige of his sister was received from him alongwith any application as mentioned above. In fact, there is no convincing evidence that the respondent management ever received any application from the petitioner for joining duties by him. In fact, Shri Gian Chand, petitioner was not at all interested to join the duties and he abandoned the job on his own. As regards the other petitioners mentioned in the list enclosed with the order of reference, it was agreed between the parties in the settlement, dated 31-1-1990 that they were not to be taken back by the respondent management. Even the agreement itself debars the petitioners from claiming the benefit of re-instatement as the agreement was duly signed by their authorised representative, Shri Pyare Lal Bery on 31-1-1990 besides the representatives of the respondent management. I do not agree with the contention of the petitioners that the punishment awarded to them by the respondent management is extremely harsh in the facts and circumstances of this case and there is no violation of the Certified Standing Orders of the company in any way. As regards the punishment to Shri Gian Chand, petitioner, he did not join the duty on the prescribed time and date as stipulated in the agreement, dated 31-1-1990 and also did not submit any application for condoning the delay. The respondent management did also not receive the marriage card from him justifying the delay in joining by the petitioner. The petitioner could have produced near relations to substantiate his claim which he has not done at all. So he is also not entitled to any relief at this stage. I, therefore, for the reasons recorded decide this issue accordingly against the petitioners and in favour of the respondent management.

13. Relief :

In view of my findings on issues and the reasons recorded, I pass an award accordingly in favour of the respondent management and against the petitioners holding that the petitioners are not entitled to any relief at this stage in the facts and circumstances of this case. Award is passed in terms of my findings given above issue wise. Reference is answered accordingly in negative. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2, for its due publication in the official gazettee *i,e.* H.P. Rajpatra in accordance with the law. A copy be given to the parties free of costs, if applied for by them. The parties are, however, left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file be consigned to the record room after its due completion.

Announced on this 18th day of February, 1993, at Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla,
18-2-1993.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Himachal Pradesh Shimla

Ref-102/91-

S/Shri Lal Chand, Gita Ram & Bhima Ram ..*Petitioners.*

Versus

Divisional Forest Officer, Paonta-Sahib, District Sirmaur, (H. P.) ..*Respondent.*

Shri A.K. Gupta, Authorised Representative of the petitioner.

Shri Sudhir Riwal, Range Officer, for the respondent.

AWARD

In view of the statements of the parties representative's, the management has re-employed the petitioners S/Shri Lal Chand, Gita Ram and Bhima Ram. The management has further stated that they would not be shunted out except in accordance with law. The petitioners have withdrawn the reference in view of the relief given by the management and nothing survives for adjudication. Reference has been answered accordingly. The copy of this order be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla for its due publication in the H.P.Rajpatra. The copy of this award be given to the parties, if applied for, The file be consigned to the record room after its due completion.

Announced in the Open Court at Nahan 12th Day of January, 1993.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, H.P. Shimla,
12-1-1993, *Camp at Nahan.*

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Ref-64/92-

Des Raj ..*Petitioner.*

Versus

Assistant Engineer, Civil Maintainance Sub-Division, Giri Power House, Giri-Nagar, District Sirmaur, H.P. ..*Respondent.*

Shri Ashwani Kumar Gupta, Authorised Representative of the petitioner.

Shri V. M. Joshi, Assistant Engineer, for the respondent.

AWARD

In view of the statement of the parties representatives, the settlement has taken place. The respondent has under taken to regularies the services of the pettitioner as per the orders of the Secretary of the Electricity Board, Shimla and then post him within two months as in the orders, the offer of appointment has been given. The petitioner does not claim past wages as stated in the court and there is nothing remains to be adjudicated and the reference has been answered accordingly. The copy of this order be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh for its due publication in the official gazette *i.e.* Rajpatra. The copy of this award be given to the parties, if applied for. The file be consigned to the record room after its due completion.

Announced in Open Court at Nahan.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, H.P.
Shimla, 12-1-1993 *Camp at Nahan.*

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Ref-30/92-

Rakesh Kumar .. *Petitioner.*

Versus

Assistant Engineer (IPH), Sub-Division No. I, Chamba, (H. P.) .. *Respondent.*

None for the petitioners.

Shri S. K. Sharma, Junior Engineer, AR for the respondent management.

AWARD

The petitioner is not present today. The respondent has an application along with a settlement arrived at between the petitioner and the respondent management amicably. He states that the petitioner is no more interested to persue his claim further as all his dues are stated to have been cleared by the respondent management in full and final settlement of his claim. In fact, the petitioner is stated to be gainfully employed with the Forest Corporation, Himachal Pradesh. In view of this settlement arrived at between the parties. No dispute now survives between the parties. Reference is, therefore, answered accordingly. Let a copy of this order be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2, for its due publication in the official gazette *i.e.* H.P. Rajpatra in accordance with the law. A copy be given to the parties free of costs, if applied for by them. The parties are, however, left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file after its due completion be consigned to the record room.

Announced on this 13th day of August, 1992 in Open Court at Dalhousie.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla, Camp at Dalhousie. 13-8-1992.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Ref-22/92-

Raghuvansh Singh .. *Petitioner.*

Versus

Manager, M/s Rishab Ispat Ltd., Nalagarh, Disttrict Solan, (H.P.) .. *Respondent.*

None for the petitioner.

Shri Anil Sharma, for the respondent.

AWARD

This case has been called many times since morning but nobody is present for the parties despite knowledge of the date as the representative of the petitioner was present on the last date of hearing at Nalagarh. It is now 3.15 P. M. and nobody has turned up for the petitioner even now. It appears that the petitioner is no more interested to persue his claim. In view of non-appearance of the petitioner and further for not taking interest in persuing the claim. The reference no more survives and the same is dismissed. No orders as to the costs. Reference is answered in negative. Award is passed in terms of the aforesaid. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2 for its due publication in the official gazette i.e. H.P. Rajpatra in accordance with the law. A copy of this order be given to the parties free of costs, if applied for by them. The file be consigned to the record room after its due completion. The petitioner can raise a fresh demand notice if he is so advised.

Announced on this 25th of day February, 1993 at Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Himachal, Pradesh, Shimla. 25-2-1993.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Ref-49/91-

Concrete Pole and Papers Conversion Workers Union .. *Petitioners.*

Versus

Managing Director, State Small Industries and Export Corporation, Shimla .. *Respondent.*

Shri A. K. Sharma, for the petitioners.

Shri Rattan Lal Kaith, for the respondent.

AWARD

The case was fixed for settlement today. The respondent management is ready to arrive at an amicable settlement but it appears that the petitioners are not interested to persue this reference any more as informed by their authorised representative today. He further states that he has no instructions from his clients. In view of this, the reference is answered in negative. This award passed in terms of the aforesaid submissions of the parties. Let a copy of this order be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2, for its due publication in the official gazette *i.e.* H.P. Rajpatra in accordance with the law. A copy be given to the parties free of costs if applied for by them. The parties are left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file be consigned to the record room after its due completion.

Announced on this 5th day of January, 1993, at Shimla in Open Court.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla. 5-1-1993.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Ref-58/92-

Ashok Kumar .. *Petitioner.*

Versus

M/s Sundeep Metallurgical Works Ltd., Parwanoo, District Solan, (H.P.) .. *Respondent.*

None for the Petitioner.
Shri R. P. Sharma, for the respondents.

AWARD

The A R of the respondents states that the parties have arrived at a settlement amicably and the petitioner has been given a sum of Rs. 2300/-(Two thousand and Three hundred) only in full and final settlement of his claim. The petitioner has also given up the claim of service with the respondent management. A settlement has been shown to this court today by the representative of the respondent which is placed on record. This settlement will form part of this award. Settlement is Ex. C.1. In view of this settlement, no dispute now survives between the parties. Reference is answered accordingly. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2, for its due publication in the official gazette *i.e.* H.P. Rajpatra in accordance with the law. A copy be given to the parties free of costs, if applied for by them. The parties are, however, left to beat their own costs of these proceedings. The file be consigned to the record room after its due completion.

Announced on this 4th day of January, 1993, in Open Court at Shimla.

Seal. R. K. MAHAJAN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla, 4-1-1993.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Ref-73/91-

Anil Kumar ..*Petitioner.*

Versus

M/s Vikram Paarmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd., Parwanoo, District Solan, (H.P.) .. *Respondent.*

None for the parties.

AWARD

This case has been called many times since morning but no body has appeared for the parties despite knowledge of the date to them. It is 12.15 Noon but the parties are still absent. It appears that they are no more interested to persue this reference. In view of the indifferenet attitude of the parties, the reference is dismissed with no orders as to the costs. The parties are playing a hide seek policy as far as their appearance in the court is concerned. They appear sometimes or remain absent for most of the dates on their own. In view of this, reference is answered in negative. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2 for its due publication in the official gazette *i.e.* H.P. Rajpatra in accordance with the law. The file be consigned to the record room after its due completion.

Announced on this 30th day of January, 1993, in Open Court at Shimla.

Seal. R. K. MAHAJAN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh,
Shimla. 30-1-1993.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla, Camp at Dalhousie

Ref-95/91-

Pritam Singh .. *Pertitioner.*

Versus

Manager, Chamera Jal Vidyut Pariyojna (NHPC), Stage-I, Dalhousie, District Chamba (H.P.) ..*Respondents.*

Shri T. R. Bhardwaj, Authorised Representative of the petitioner.

Shri M. K. Kar, Authorised Representative of the respondents.

AWARD

The Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla *vide* its notification, dated the 21st September, 1991, has referred the following dispute to this court for adjudication under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act' on failure of the conciliation proceedings between the parties:—

1. Whether the action of the management of Chamera Jal Vidyut Pariyojna, Stage-I, Dalhousie, District Chamba (HP) in accepting the term "Current" of the settlement, dated 3-12-1986, *vide* para 6 after the date of settlement is legal and justified ?
2. If the interpretation of the management in regard to the term, "Current" is wrong, whether on completion of 5 years of service continuously, Shri Pritam Singh, workman is entitled to the Pay cale of Rs. 425—800 (Revised Rs. 810—1425) *w.e.f.* 1-7-1986 ?

2. Shri Pritam Singh, petitioner was employed by the respondent management according to the claim petition and he joined on 26-8-1987. In fact, he was transferred from Baira Sieul Project to the respondent project and joined on 26-8-1987 as silt Analyst in the Pay scale of Rs. 330—560 *w.e.f.* 1-1-1986. He completed five years' service on 31-5-1986 in the aforesaid grade. The memorandum of settlement was signed on 3-12-1986 between the parties at all India level *vide* which the cut off dates for promotions were fixed on first January and first July every year in respect of all persons who had completed their terms as stipulated in the aforesiaid settlement. The claim of the petitioner is that according to clause 6 of the said settlement, his promotion was due *w.e.f.* 1-07-1986 whereas the same was allowed to him *w.e.f.* 1-1-1987 as alleged by him as per annexure attached to the claim petition. He further alleges that in Salal Project and Loktak Projects, the persons who had completed five years of minimum service on 31-12-1985 and 30-6-1986, were promoted to the next higher grade *w.e.f.* 1-1-1986 and 1-7-1986 in the light of the settlement, P. 1. He has cited the examples of S/Shri Prithvi Raj, Dhani Ram, Gopal Dass and Jawaher drivers, who joined the respondent project on 27-12-1985 from Salal Project on their transfer to the Chamera Project and they were given the benefits of the promotion *w.e.f.* 1-1-1986 whereas the same has been denied to the petitioner. The grievance of the petitioner is that his active trade union activities have put him in trouble and management has discriminated him *qua* the others. He seeks relief that the directions be given to the respondents to order his promotion *w.e.f.* 1-7-1986 instead of 1-1-1987 in the Pay scale of Rs. 425—800 (Revised Rs. 810—1445).

3. The case of the respondent management is that reference has been made wrongly according to law. It should have been made under section 10 and not under 12(5) of the Act. It is not triable by the Labour Court as alleged by the respondents. He further alleges that the settlement was signed at Delhi between the representatives of the respondent management and the workers in order to evolve unform promotion policy for workers on completion of five years' service in a particular grade. This criteria was evolved subject to the availability of posts and avenues of promotions. Previously, the criteria for promotion from Rs. 330—560 to Rs. 425—800 was six years. Considering this criteria, the petitione was to get promotion of six years, whereas he got promotion by virtue of the new settlement on 1-1-1987 instead of 1-7-1987. It is also alleged that the respondent management got the clarifications from the Corporate Office, Delhi *vide* R. 1 and they mentioned new dates of promotion by virtue of settlements *i.e.* January and July of every year on first days as the cut off dates. It was also reiterated in that letter that the respondent management should take the stand on the lines as taken during the course of conciliation proceedings. From the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed by me on 13-5-1992:—

1. Whether the reference is not maintainable under Section 12(5) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 ? (OPR).
2. Whether the Labour Court has the jurisdiction to try this reference ? (OPR).
3. Whether the action of the respondent management as a result of the agreement, dated 3-12-1986 under clause 6 of the said agreement, the cut off date has been fixed properly or not ? If so, how the current year is to be defined with reference to the said clause of the said agreement and its effects ? (OPP).

4. If the action of the management is illegal, whether the petitioner Shri Pritam Singh is entitled for the pay scale of Rs. 425—800 (Revised 800—1445) *w.e.f.* 1-7-1986? (OPP)

5. Relief.

4. FINDINGS ON ISSUES.

Issue No. 3 & 4.

Both these issues would be disposed off together in order to avoid the duplicity in discussion.

5. Mr. Bhardwaj, representative of the petitioner has submitted that the management has interpreted clause 6 of the settlement, dated 3-12-1986 wrongly depriving the petitioner from his ligitimate right of promotion *w.e.f.* 1-7-1986. He further submits that *current year* means 1986 and not 1987 as interpreted by the respondent management. I would like to quote clause 6 of the settlement, dated 3-12-1986 in verbatum in order to appreciate the arguments of the representative of the petitioner. *"Promotion policy for work charged category"* Draft promotion policy will be formulated by the management within a period of three months, which will be discussed with the representative of the workmen in March, 1987. Till formulation of new policy and for the current year, promotion of the workcharged employees in their line of promotion at various places will be considered on completion of period of eligibility of five years in the existing grades. In case of Loktak Project, the matter will be discussed between the representatives of the workmen and the management with a view to resolve this issue. The Management, in order to promote the industrial peace fixed two cut off dates for such promotions as discussed above and agreed to between the parties. The date of the promotion of the petitioner was advanced by six months as previously there was criteria of six years' qualifying service for promotion to the next higher grade in the respondent project. Thus in view of the aforesaid settlement, the petitioner got his promition six months earlier than he was to get according to the old criteria. The main criteria behind the new settlement was to rationalise the promotion policy by prescribing the qualifying period of 5 years' for all the projects under the NHPC as it was causing so many administrative difficulties on transfer etc. besides heart burning to the workers in different categories. The idea to fix two cut off dates was also rational as a line has to be drawn somewhere. The settlement cannot be given the retrospective effect and in such a way that instead of promoting the industrial peace, it may destabilise the entire administrative process. I do not agree with the submission of the representatives of the petitioner that the "Current" year should be interpreted as 1-7-1986 instead of 1-1-1987 as in the instance case as the petitioner has already been given six months' benefit of promotion *w.e.f.* 1-1-1987 instead of 1-7-1987 in terms of the aforesaid settlement. Mr. Bhardwaj has further submitted that some workers were given the benefit *vide* Ex.P.2 to P.4 in terms of the settlement dated 3-12-1986. Mr. Kar representative of the respondents has submitted that in fact, the workers mentioned in the settlement were given the benefits of scales under the rationalisation policy as stipulated in the settlement. I have persued the orders and the management has placed them in the Scale of Rs. 425—800 (Revised 800—1445) to give effect to the rationalisation policy of the management as stipulated in the settlement, I, therefore, see no force in the submission of the representative of the petitioner and reject the claim petition filed by him. In view of the above discussion, I decide both these issues accordingly in favour of the respondent management and against the petitioner.

Issues No. 1 *and* 2.

6. Both these issues are inter connected and would be disposed off together in order to avoid the duplicity in discussion.

7. These issues have not been pressed by either parties during the course of arguments and evidence. Moreover, these issues are also not the subject matter of the present reference. This court enjoys the powers of the Labour Court and Industrial Tribunal and as such the poor litigant public cannot be made to suffer on account of minor technicalities in reference of the disputes by the Government of Himachal Pradesh to this court. Technicalities cannot be allowed to defeat the purpose of the substantial justice. These issues are accordingly disposed off accordingly.

8. Relief.

In view of my findings on issues and the reasons recorded, I pass an award accordingly in favour of the respondent management and against the petitioner. The petitioner is not entitled to any relief at this stage. Reference is answered accordingly in negative. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2, for its due publication in the official gazette *i.e.* H.P. Rajpatra in accordance with the law. A copy be supplied to the parties free of costs, if applied for by them, The parties are, however, left to bear their own costs of these proceedings. The file be consigned to the record room after its due completion.

Announced on this 17th day of October, 1992 in Open Court at Dalhousie.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,
Presiding Officer, Labour Court, H. P., Shimla, Camp Dalhousie-17-10-1992.

Before Shri R. K. Mahajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Ref-69/92-

Vipan Kumar ..Petitioner.

Versus

Divisional Forest Officer, Social Forestry Division, Mandi, District Mandi, Himachal Pradesh. .Respondents.

Shri Vipan Kumar, petitioner in person.
Non for the respondents.

AWARD

The Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla *vide* its notification, dated the 28th August, 1992, referred the following dispute to this court for adjudication under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act') on failure of the conciliation proceedings between the parties:—

1. Whether the termination of services of Shri Vipan Kumar by the Divisional Forest Officer, Social Forestry Division, Mandi, District Mandi (HP) *w.e.f.* January, 1991 without any notice and reasons is legal and justified ? If illegal, to what amount of compensation and service benefits, Shri Vipan Kumar is entitled.

2. The petitioner alleges that he was employed by the respondent as a daily rated worker for more than three years prior to his termination in the year, 1990. He describes his terminaton as illegal on the grounds that there is no compliance of section 25 (F) of the Act. No Notice was given. No enquiry was held regarding any chargesheet nor any chargesheet was served. No retrenchment compensation was also paid to him. He prays for his re-instatement with all consequential benefits including back wages. The respondent was proceeded *ex parte* on 12-11-1992 at Kullu. Evidence has been recorded on 2-1-1993 (*ex parte*). The petitioner made a statement on oath confirming his allegations made in the statement of claim. I believe the statement of the petitioner to be true and decide the case accordingly in favour and against the respondent management after

setting aside the impugned termination order of the petitioner. The petitioner is ordered to be re-instated as if no termination order was ever passed. He is entitled for continuity of service without back wages in lieu of which he is allowed a compensation of Rs. 4000 (Four thousand) only payable bythe respondent management to him within a period of one month from date of publication of this award in the official gazette *i.e.* H.P. Rajpatra in accordance with law.

3. Relief.

In view of my fin lings above, I pass an award accordingly in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent management holding that the termination of the petitioner is illegal and unjustified and his termination order is quashed and set aside as if no such order was ever passed. He is entitled to be re-instated with the continuity of service but without back wages in lieu of which he is ordered to be paid a sum of Rs. 4,000 (Four Thousand) only by the respondent management as compensation within a period of one month of the publication of this award in the official gazettee *i.e.* H.P. Rajpatra in accordance with law. Reference is answared accordinlgly. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2, for its due publication in the official gazette *i.e.* H. P. Rajpatra in accordance with the law. A copy be supplied to the parties free of costs, if aplied for by them. No orders as to the costs of these proceedings. The file be consigned to the record room after its due completion.

Announced on this 6th day of January, 1993 at Shimla.

Seal.

R. K. MAHAJAN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.
6-1-1993.

बहुद्देशीय परियोजना एवम् विद्युत विभाग

अधिसूचनाएं

शिमला-2, 24 अप्रैल, 1993

संख्या विद्युत-छ (5) 32/90.— हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य बोर्ड, जो कि भूमि अर्जन अधिनियम, 1894 (1894 का पहला अधिनियम) की धारा 3 के खण्ड (सी0 सी0) के अर्थान्तर्गत सरकार के स्वामित्व और नियन्त्रण के अधीन एक निगम है, को अब अपने व्यय पर निम्नलिखत विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि जैसा कि कालम 3 में दर्शाया गया है नहीं चाहिए ।

अतः अब भारत के राष्ट्रपति, भूमि अर्जन अधिनियम, 1894 की धारा 48 के अन्तर्गत प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए इस विभाग द्वारा जारी की गई अधिसूचना संख्या विद्युत-छ(5) 32/90, दिनांक 6-5-91 तथा समसंख्यक दिनांक 17-6-92 जो कि भूमि अर्जन अधिनियम, 1894 की धारा 4, 6 व 7 के अन्तर्गत ग्राम बड़ोदा, कल्याणा, मरहोल, पट्टा, कालरी, भदरोग, नस्वाल, सेऊ जरोडा, दधोल खुर्द, पडयालग, वाड़ी कलां, छजोली, डंगार, लैहड़ी सरेल हरीतलियांगर व तड़ोन, तहसील घुमारवीं, जिला बिलासपुर में 132 के0 वी0 गगल से हमीरपुर विद्युत संचार लाईन के निर्माण हेतु भूमि अर्जित करने के लिए जारी की गई में से नीचे दी गई विवरणी के कालम 3 में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि भू-अर्जन कार्यवाही को सहर्ष वापिस लेते हैं ।

विवरणी

जिला : बिलासपुर तहसील : घुमारवीं

ग्राम	खसरा नं0	क्षत्र बी0	बि0
1	2	3	
बडौटा	84/1	0	1
	85/1	0	1
	62/1	0	1
	63/1	0	1
कल्याणा	45/1	0	2
	21/1	0	1
	22/1	0	1
	82/5/1	0	1
	6/1	0	1
मरहोल	112/1	0	3
पट्टा	288/1	0	2
	259/1	0	2
	241/1	0	1
	311/1	0	2
कालरी	20/1	0	4
भदरोग	257/1	0	3
नस्वाल	26/1	0	2
सेऊ	225/1	0	2
जरोडा	296/1	0	3
दधोल खुर्द	275/1	0	2
	135/1	0	2
	174/1	0	1
	175/1	0	1
पडयालग	174/1	0	2
	120/1	0	2
	41/1	0	1
	55/1	0	2
	23/1	0	3
वाडी कलां	160/1	0	1
	161/1	0	3
छजौली	111/1	0	1
डंगार	386/1	0	2
	415/1	0	2
	486/1	0	2
लेहड़ी सरेल	1031/1	0	3
हरीतलयांगर	351/1	0	2
तडोन	893/1	0	3
	1379/891/1	0	3
	887/1	0	3
कित्ता ..	39	3	15

यतः भारत के राष्ट्रपति को यह प्रतीत होता है कि राष्ट्रीय पन विद्युत परियोजना निगम समिति (एन0 एच0 पी0 सी0), जो भूमि अर्जन अधिनियम 1894 (1894 का पहला अधिनियम) की धारा 3 के खण्ड (सी0 सी0) के अर्थान्तर्गत भारत सरकार के स्वामित्व और नियन्त्रण के अधीन एक निगम है के द्वारा अपने व्यय पर सार्वजनिक प्रयोजन नामतः* के लिए भूमि ली जानी अपेक्षित है, अतएव एतद्द्वारा यह घोषित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित विस्तृत विवरणी में वर्णित भूमि उपर्युक्त* प्रयोजन के लिए अपेक्षित है ।

2. भूमि अर्जन अधिनियम, 1894 की धारा 6 के उपबन्धों के अधीन सभी सम्बन्धित व्यक्तियों के लिये यह घोषणा की जाती है और उक्त अधिनियम, की धारा 7 के उपबन्धों के अधीन भू-अर्जन समाहर्ता, वैरा स्यूल परियोजना तीसा, जिला चम्बा को एतद्द्वारा उक्त भूमि के अर्जन के लिए आदेश लेने का निदेश दिया जाता है ।

3. भूमि का रेखांक, भू-अर्जन समाहर्ता, वैरा स्यूल परियोजना तीसा के कार्यालय में निरीक्षण किया जा सकता है ।

*ग्राम भलेई, तहसील मलूणी, जिला चम्बा में 220 के0 वी0 डी0 सी0 सचार लाईन सुरगानी से तलवाड़ा तक के निर्माण के लिए ।

संख्या विद्युत-छ(5)100/89.

शिमला-2, 7 मई, 1993

विवरणी

जिला : चम्बा तहसील : सलूणी

ग्राम	खसरा नं0	क्षेत्र बीघा	बिस्वा
1	2	3	4
भलेई (ह0 न0 42)	1158/766/1	0	11
कमान्दी ह0 ब0 नं0 (36)	724/1	0	3
	727/1	0	3
किता ..	2	0	6

*ग्राम कमान्दी ह0 ब0 नं0 36 तहसील सलूणी, जिला चम्बा में वैरा स्यूल परियोजना के 220 के0 वी0 डी0 सी0 संचार लाईन सुरगानी से तलवाड़ा तक के निर्माण के लिए ।

संख्या विद्युत-छ(5) 107/89.

शिमला-2, 7 मई, 1993

आदेशानुसार,

हस्ताक्षरित/-
वित्तायुक्त एव सचिव ।

लोक निर्माण विभाग

अधिसूचनाएं

शिमला-171002, 31 मार्च, 1993

संख्या लो0नि0 (ख0) 7(1)8/92.—यत: भारत के राष्ट्रपति को यह प्रतीत होता है कि हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार को सरकारी व्यय पर सार्वजनिक प्रयोजन हेतु नामत: गांव कुठमां, नागनपट्टू, वन्डीखास, कलयाड़ा, सवाला, धरखेहड़, झिकला ओडर, उपरला ओडर तथा बगरेहड़, तहसील व जिला कांगड़ा में धरोह-रजोल सड़क के निर्माण हेतु भूमि अर्जित करनी अपेक्षित है, अतएव एतद्द्वारा यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त परिक्षेत्र में जैसा कि निम्न विवरणी में निर्दिष्ट किया गया है, उपरोक्त प्रयोजन के लिए भूमि का अर्जन अपेक्षित है ।

2. यह अधिसूचना ऐसे सभी व्यक्तियों को, जो इससे सम्बन्धित हो सकते हैं, की जानकारी के लिए भूमि अर्जन अधिनियम, 1894 की धारा-4 के उपबन्धों के अन्तर्गत जारी की जाती है ।

3. पूर्वोक्त धारा द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए भारत के राष्ट्रपति इस समय इस उपक्रम में कार्यरत सभी अधिकारियों, उनके कर्मचारियों और श्रमिकों को इलाके की किसी भी भूमि में प्रवेश करने और सर्वेक्षण करने तथा उस धारा द्वारा अपेक्षित या अनुमत अन्य सभी कार्यों को करने के लिए सहर्ष प्राधिकार देते हैं ।

4. कोई भी हितबद्ध व्यक्ति जिसे उक्त परिक्षेत्र में कथित भूमि के अर्जन पर कोई आपत्ति हो तो वह इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशित होने के तीस (30) दिन की अवधि के भीतर लिखित रूप में भू-अर्जन समाहर्ता, लोक निर्माण विभाग, कांगड़ा के समक्ष अपनी आपत्ति दायर कर सकता है ।

विवरणी

जिला : कांगड़ा तहसील : कांगड़ा

गांव	खसरा नं0	क्षेत्र (हैक्टेयरों में)		
1	2	3	4	5
कुठमां	48/1	0	02	34
	49/1	0	02	84
	50/1	0	01	85
	51	0	00	70
	52	0	05	33
	53	0	00	36
	54	0	02	61
	55/1	0	00	48
	59/1	0	00	58
	60/1	0	00	76
	61/1	0	00	27
	72/1	0	00	10
	73/1	0	00	21
	75	0	00	42
	76	0	03	12
	77/1	0	00	66
	78	0	01	40
	79/1	0	01	40
	80/1	0	00	12
	81/1	0	00	04
	81/1/1	0	00	20
	82/1	0	00	36
	83	0	02	80
	95	0	01	94
	96	0	02	34
	97	0	02	52
	63/1	0	01	50
	64/1	0	00	92
किता ..	28	0	37	17
नागनपट्टू	273/1	0	04	74
	1010/1	0	01	19
	1012	0	72	99
	1016	0	76	59
	1022/1	0	00	33
किता ..	5	1	55	84
वन्डीखास	734	0	03	56
	735	0	00	54
	736	0	03	83
	737	0	03	67
	738	0	00	60
	739	0	00	94
	744/1	0	00	30
	745	0	02	12
	746/1	0	01	49
	750/1	0	02	72
	758/1	0	00	08
	799/1	0	00	36
	800/1	0	01	43
	801/1	0	01	10
	802/1	0	01	22
	804/1	0	01	44
	805/1	0	00	87
	809/1	0	01	02
	810	0	02	87
	923/811/1	0	00	46
	812/1	0	00	04
	813 मा0	0	02	40

	2	3	4	5
	814/1	0	00	64
	846/1	0	00	04
	870/1	0	00	14
	871/1/1	0	00	64
	868/1	0	00	20
	872	0	02	03
	869/1	0	00	25
	873/1	0	00	28
	874	0	03	75
	875	0	02	07
	878/1	0	05	89
	879/1	0	03	82
	879/1	0	01	00
	892/1/1	0	00	80
	871/1	0	01	40
किस्सा ..	37	0	56	01
याडा	1218/1	0	06	52
	1219/1	0	00	30
	1220/1	0	01	41
	1221/1	0	00	40
	1222/1	0	00	62
	1227/1	0	00	60
	1233/1	0	00	80
	1234/1	0	00	24
	1235/1	0	00	24
	1236/1	0	00	48
	1238/1	0	00	06
	1239	0	14	00
	1240/1	0	00	33
	1241/1	0	00	36
	1241/2	0	00	54
	1249/1	0	01	32
	1250/1	0	00	10
	1237/1	0	00	18
	1251	0	00	49
	1252/1	0	00	30
	1253/1	0	00	12
	1254/1	0	00	12
	1250	0	00	22
	1257/1	0	00	22
	1413/1262/1	0	02	72
किस्सा ..	26	0	33	09
ाला	1/1	0	01	69
	2	0	00	24
	3	0	00	80
	4/1	0	00	68
	5/1	0	00	16
	6/1	0	01	12
	7/1	0	00	80
	8/1	0	01	73
	9	0	03	80
	10	0	17	13
	11/1	0	03	06
	13/1	0	01	86
	14/1	0	00	12
	16/1	0	00	54
	17/1	0	00	87
	18/1	0	01	12
	19/1	0	00	76
	22/1	0	01	08
	24/1	0	01	68
	29/1	0	00	36
	30/1	0	00	69
	31/1	0	00	24
	32/1	0	00	30
	33/1	0	01	32
	52/1	0	01	80
	54/1	0	00	06
	57/1	0	00	72
	58/1	0	02	37
	59/1	0	00	09
	60/1	0	00	76
	61/1	0	00	72
	63/1	0	00	58
	64/1	0	00	06
	646/1	0	00	14
	647/1	0	00	64
	651/1	0	01	84
	652/ मा0	0	03	14
	653/1 मा0	0	00	48
	654/1	0	04	98
	656/1	0	00	84
	666/1	0	02	56
	668/1	0	01	70
	1039/669/1	0	00	42
	1038/669/1	0	00	96
	670/1	0	01	77
	671/1	0	00	26
	672	0	03	68
	673/1	0	10	80
	674/1	0	01	81
	676/1	0	00	08
	695/1	0	00	60
	696/1	0	00	66
	699/1	0	00	54
	723/1	0	02	52
	724/1	0	00	56
किस्सा ..	55	0	90	29
घरथेहड़	1/1	0	00	69
	167/1	0	01	02
	379/1	0	01	76
	380/1	0	00	20
	584/1	0	07	09
	585/1	0	00	08
	615/1	0	07	40
	621	0	01	14
	619/1	0	00	49
	622	0	37	31
	635/1	0	00	22
	660	0	01	52
	661	0	01	45
	662	0	01	24
	663	0	00	85
	665/1	0	00	65
	844	0	00	97
	1021/856	0	01	15
	857	0	00	82
	859	0	00	63
	860	0	01	46
	861	0	00	77
	862	0	03	08
	867	0	00	16
	868	0	02	96
	869	0	01	93
	873	0	00	75
	1025/874/1	0	00	60
	875/1	0	02	46
	887/1	0	01	55
	888/1	0	02	20
	888/1/1	0	00	10
	964/1	0	00	12

1	2	3	4	5
	965/1	0	00	36
	966/1	0	00	10
	967/1	0	01	25
	972/1	0	00	36
	975/1	0	00	18
	976/1	0	02	61
	977	0	01	04
	978	0	10	20
कित्ता ..	41	1	00	88
झिकला ओडर	147/1	0	00	70
	148/1	0	03	08
	149/1	0	00	44
	150/1	0	00	61
	151/1	0	00	35
	152/1	0	00	12
	153/1	0	14	72
	154/1	0	00	46
	154/2	0	02	70
	267/1	0	02	02
	268/1	0	04	31
	268/2	0	00	20
	269/1	0	01	10
	376/270/1	0	01	26
	377/270/1	0	01	57
	383/290/1	0	01	44
	291/1	0	00	12
	292/1	0	03	96
	293/1	0	05	85
	294/1	0	05	52
	295/1	0	00	08
	296/1	0	00	18
	303/1	0	04	13
	304/1	0	00	12
	384/305/1	0	01	12
	306/1	0	01	54
	307/1	0	00	16
	308/1	0	01	02
	309	0	04	24
	310/1	0	04	72
	311/1	0	01	21
	314/1	0	00	42
कित्ता ..	32	0	69	47
उपरला ओडर	38/1	0	11	62
	39	0	03	64
	41/1	0	01	36
	42/1	0	05	22
	44/1	0	01	32
	568/174/1	0	07	29
	569/174/1	0	03	01
	570/175/1	0	02	86
	571/175/1	0	03	10
	178/1	0	00	32
	572/187/1	0	02	06
	573/187/1	0	02	08
	576/199/1	0	00	83
	574/199/1	0	00	96
	200	0	08	25
	578/206/1	0	03	75
	577/206/1	0	02	54
	579/208/1	0	00	14
कित्ता ...	18	0	60	35
बगरेहड़	115/1	0	00	84
	116/1	0	00	36
	735/114	0	14	20
	738/382/1	0	01	44
	385/1	0	00	16
	742/386/1	0	04	44
	756/441/1	0	51	76
	427/1	0	02	53
	428	0	00	92
	429/1	0	02	66
	430/1	0	03	34
	749/431/1	0	02	42
	747/431	0	10	84
	432/1	0	01	32
	695/1	0	11	27
	704/1	0	00	50
	723/1	0	01	03
	724/1	0	03	89
	726/1/1	0	00	63
	762/2/1	0	00	30
	727/1	0	02	00
कित्ता ..	21	1	16	85

यतः भारत के राष्ट्रपति को यह प्रतीत होता है कि हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार को सरकारी व्यय पर सार्वजनिक प्रयोजन हेतु नामतः* भूमि अर्जित करनी अपेक्षित है, अतएव एतद्द्वारा यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त परिक्षेत्र में जैसा कि निम्न विवरणी में निर्दिष्ट किया गया है, उपरोक्त प्रयोजन के लिए भूमि का अर्जन अपेक्षित है ।

2. यह अधिसूचना ऐसे सभी व्यक्तियों को, जो इससे सम्बन्धित हो सकते हैं, की जानकारी के लिए भूमि अर्जन अधिनियम, 1894 की धारा 4 के उपबन्धों के अन्तर्गत जारी की जाती है ।

3. पूर्वोक्त धारा द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, भारत के राष्ट्रपति इस समय इस उपक्रम में कार्यरत सभी अधिकारियों, उनके कर्मचारियों और श्रमिकों को इलाके की किसी भी भूमि में प्रवेश करने और सर्वेक्षण करने तथा उस धारा द्वारा अपेक्षित या अनुमत अन्य सभी कार्यों को करने के लिए सहर्ष प्राधिकार देते हैं ।

4. कोई भी हितबद्ध व्यक्ति, जिसे उक्त परिक्षेत्र में कथित भूमि के अर्जन पर कोई आपत्ति हो तो वह इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशित होने के तीस (30) दिन की अवधि के भीतर लिखित रूप में भू-अर्जन समाहर्ता, लोक निर्माण विभाग, चम्बा के समक्ष अपनी आपत्ति दायर कर सकता है ।

*गांव मन्द्रहार, तहसील भटियात, जिला चम्बा में चुवाडी-मन्द्रहार गागल रायपुर सड़क के निर्माण हेतु ।

संख्या लो0 नि0 (ख)7 (1) 110/92.

शिमला-2, 17 अप्रैल, 1993

विवरणी

जिला : चम्बा तहसील : चुवाडी

गांव	खसरा नं0	क्षेत्र बीघा	बिस्वा
1	2	3	4
मन्द्रहार	132/1	0	02
	139/1	1	08
	140/1	0	06
	141/1	0	03
	141/2	0	04
	141/3	0	11

1	2	3	4
	148/1	0	06
	148/2	0	14
	148/3	0	04
	152/1	0	04
	153/1	0	02
	156/1	0	09
	157	0	06
	158/1	0	12
	159/1	0	02
	960/160/1	0	10
	162/1	0	06
	163/1	0	09
	397/1	0	01
	398	0	09
	399/1	0	05
	400/1	0	07
	491/1	0	08
	492/1	0	11
	493/1	0	03
	499/1	0	09
	500/1	0	07
	501/1	0	05
	522/1	0	06
	523/1	0	05
	525/1	0	01
	528/1	0	04
किल्ला ..	32	10	19

शिमला-2, 21 अप्रैल, 1993

संख्या लो0नि0 (ख) 7(1)45/92.—यतः भारत के राष्ट्रपति को यह प्रतीत होता है कि हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार को सरकारी व्यय पर सार्वजनिक प्रयोजन हेतु नामतः गांव फाटी कोटला, तहसील बन्जार, जिला कुल्लू में लारजी सैंज सड़क के निर्माण हेतु भूमि ली जानी अपेक्षित है, अतएव एतद्द्वारा यह घोषित किया जाता है कि नीचे विवरणी में वर्णित भूमि उपर्युक्त प्रयोजन के लिए अपेक्षित है ।

2. यह घोषणा भूमि अर्जन अधिनियम, 1894 की धारा 6 के उपबन्धों के अधीन इससे सम्बन्धित सभी व्यक्तियों की सूचना हेतु की जाती है तथा उक्त अधिनियम की धारा-7 के अधीन भू-अर्जन समाहर्ता, लोक निर्माण विभाग, कुल्लू को उक्त भूमि के अर्जन करने के आदेश लेने का एतद्द्वारा निदेश दिया जाता है ।

3. भूमि का रेखांक, भू-अर्जन समाहर्ता, हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक निर्माण विभाग, कुल्लू के कार्यालय में निरीक्षण किया जा सकता है ।

विवरणी

जिला : कुल्लू तहसील : बन्जार

गांव	खसरा नम्बर	क्षेत्र बी0	बि0	विस्वां
1	2	3	4	5
फाटी	27 सालम	0	03	00
कोटला	26 सालम	0	08	00
	29 सालम	0	01	00
किल्ला ..	3	0	12	00

शिमला-2, 21 अप्रैल, 1993

संख्या लो0 नि0 (ख) 7(1) 94/90.—यतः भारत के राष्ट्रपति को यह प्रतीत होता है कि हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार को सरकारी व्यय पर सार्वजनिक प्रयोजन हेतु नामतः गांव डरवाड़, तहसील मरकाघाट जिला मण्डी में भराड़ी-मढ़ी बाया गरली सड़क के निर्माण हेतु भूमि ली जानी अपेक्षित है अतएव एतद्द्वारा यह घोषित किया जाता है कि नीचे विवरणी में वर्णित भूमि उपर्युक्त प्रयोजन के लिए आपेक्षित है ।

2. यह घोषणा, भूमि अर्जन अधिनियम, 1894 की धारा-6 के उपबन्धों के अधीन इससे सम्बन्धित सभी व्यक्तियों की सूचना हेतु की जाती है तथा उक्त अधिनियम की धारा-7 के अन्तर्गत भू-अर्जन समाहर्ता लोक निर्माण विभाग, मण्डी को उक्त भूमि के अर्जन करने के आदेश लेने का एतद्द्वारा निदेश दिया जाता है।

3. भूमि का रेखांक भू-अर्जन समाहर्ता लोक निर्माण विभाग, के कार्यालय में निरीक्षण किया जा सकता है ।

विवरणी

जिला : मण्डी तहसील : मरकाघाट

गांव	खसरा नं0	क्षेत्र हैक्टेयर में		
1	2	3	4	5
डरवाड़	587	0	00	22
	600/1	0	00	24
	620/1	0	00	48
	1291	0	01	73
	1294	0	01	62
	1357/1	0	00	18
	1274/1	0	01	61
	1286	0	01	04
	1289/1	0	00	71
	1289/2	0	01	84
	1288	0	00	74
	1292	0	00	49
	1277/1	0	00	22
	1287 मा0	0	00	81
	1284	0	00	80
	1279/1	0	00	59
	1276	0	01	55
	1275	0	01	10
	1283	0	01	36
	1812/1	0	10	27
	1927	0	00	60
	1926/1	0	00	39
	1923/1/1	0	01	37
	327/1	0	01	98
	30/1	0	01	82
	1110/1	0	03	47
	111	0	00	44
	112	0	00	38
	113/1	0	00	42
	323/1	0	00	20
	323/2	0	00	20
	324	0	00	69
	108	0	00	72
	110/1	0	01	24
	104/1	0	00	20
	107/1	0	01	57
	109	0	00	70
	406/1	0	02	57
	614	0	00	88
	33/1	0	01	96
	386	0	03	88
	603	0	00	27
	604/1	0	00	41

1	2	3	4	5
	604/2	0	00	91
	380/1	0	00	57
	599/1	0	00	18
	615	0	02	67
	1068	0	00	33
	1114/1	0	01	32
	1115/1	0	03	08
	3111/1122/1	0	06	11
	5/1	0	00	90
	6/1	0	00	18
	6/2	0	00	41
	1107/1	0	01	36
	1111/1	0	00	95
	387/1	0	01	67
	381	0	01	45
	382/1	0	00	20
	613/1	0	01	96
	612	0	00	96
	74/1	0	01	30
	586	0	00	18
	601	0	01	97
	618/1	0	00	72
	602/1	0	01	80
	608	0	00	28
	605 सा0	0	00	09
	621	0	00	04
	1021/1	0	01	48
	609	0	00	30
	610	0	00	58
	19/1	0	02	59
	3104/2/1	0	09	24
	611	0	01	42
	407/1	0	01	97
	1086/1	0	03	95
	1375/1	0	02	60
	1652/1	0	00	89
	1656/1	0	01	57
	1675/1	0	00	67
	1369/1	0	02	15
	1378/1	0	03	01
	1616	0	00	88
	1617/1	0	00	15
	1659	0	01	00
	1670/1	0	01	10
	1673/1	0	00	90
	1678/1	0	00	38
	1364/1	0	00	50
	1377/1	0	01	20
	1377/2	0	00	40
	1658	0	02	06
	1666/1	0	08	22
	1673/1	0	01	38
	1674/1	0	02	25
	1676/1	0	00	53
	1654/1	0	01	92
	1655/1	0	00	39
	1663/1	0	01	70
	1671/1	0	00	84
	1699/1	0	02	04
	1653/1	0	01	42
	1698/1	0	04	14
	1707/1	0	00	48
कित ा . .	105	1	51	85

आदेश द्वारा,

एस0एस0 सिद्धू,
वित्तायुक्त एव सचिव।

भाग 2—वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़ कर विभिन्न विभागों के अध्यक्षों और जिला मैजिस्ट्रेटों द्वारा अधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE, SOLAN DISTRICT, HIMACHAL PRADESH

ORDER

Solan, the 10th May, 1993

No. HC/XII-51/72.—Whereas, the Chief Medical Officer, Solan district has reported that there is apprehension of out break of epidemic disease like Cholera, Gestroentitis, Diarrohea and Dysentry due to the sale of articles of food, sweets, rotten and cut fruits, vegetables etc., unfit human consumption and exposed to dust and flies;

And whereas, it is essential that in order to check/control the outbreak of such diseases, all preventive measures need to be taken;

Now, therefore, I, Upma Choudhary, District Magistrate, Solan district in exercise of the powers conferred upon me by Government notification No. 17-7/81 H&FPP, dated 2-7-74 under the Epidemic Diseases Act, 1897, do hereby :—

1. Prohibit the sale or distribution of over ripe under-ripe, rotten and deteriorated fruits/dry fruits, vegetables exposed to dust and flies not covered wire guaze or glass covers.

2. Prohibit the sale of sweet-meats/meat, fish, chat, biscuits and other articles of food including milk and cold drinks, unless covered for protection from dust and flies.

3. Prohibit the sale of ice-candies, ice not prepared with water analised from the public Analyst and Bacterioligist and a certificate to this effect has been detained.

4. Prohibit the use of un-tinned utensils and chipped crockery and dirty water;

And also order that in the event of suspected out break of disease, all persons living in the locality shall undergo cholera inoculation and will have to co-operate with other preventive measures and further hereby authorise the :—

1. C.M.O./M.O.H./B.M.O./Medical Officers incharge of Civil/Rural/Hospitals, PHCs civil and rural dispensaries in solan district.

2. Assistant unit officer/Health Supervisors H. Q./Senitary and Food Inspectors and Senitary Inspectors of M. C./Notified Areas Committee in Solan district.

3. All executive Magistrates in Solan district to enter into and inspect any market, building, shop or place used for storage and manufacture of the aforesaid articles and to seize/remove or to dispose off in any manner they think fit so to prevent by human being of any such articles that is manufactured or put to sale in contravention of the above and otherwise unfit for human consumption.

In case of disobedience of these orders the aforesaid officers will launch prosecution against them under Section 188 I. P. C.

This order shall come into force with immediate effect and shall be inforce till 31st December, 1993.

UPMA CHOUDHARY,
District Magistrate,
District Solan (H. P.).

भाग 3—अधिनियम, विधेयक और विधेयकों पर प्रवर समिति के प्रतिवेदन, वैधानिक नियम तथा हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट, फाईनेन्शियल कमिश्नर तथा कमिश्नर आफ इन्कम टैक्स द्वारा अधिसूचित आदेश इत्यादि ।

HOME DEPARTMENT

No. Home-B (A) 2-3/87 *Shimla-171002, the 28th April, 1993*

HIMACHAL PRADESH HOME GUARDS/CIVIL DEFENCE AMBULANCES (USE AND MAINTENANCE) RULES, 1993)

1. *Short title.*—These rules may be called Himachal Pradesh Home Guards and Civil Defence Ambulances (Use and Maintenance) Rules, 1991.

2. *Extent of Applicability.*—These rules shall apply in the towns where the Ambulance Services are managed by the Home Guards and Civil Defence Department.

3. *Commencement.*—These rules shall come into force immediately.

4. *Definitions.*—Unless the context otherwise means.—

(*i*) "Ambulance" means a vehicle used for carrying patients.

(*ii*) (Commandant General-*cum*-Director, Civil Defence) means the Commandant General, Home Guards-*cum*-Director Civil Defence appointed by the State Government.

(*iii*) (Commandant-*cum*-Deputy Controller' means Commandant Home Guards-*cum*-Deputy Controller Civil Defence of the concerned District in Himachal Pradesh.

(*iv*) Shimla town and its suburbs means the whole of Shimla Municipal area and includes places extending upto Kasumpti, Shogi, Mashobra, Kufri Ghanahatti, Bharari and Jubbar Hatti.

The Commandant General, Home Guards-*cum*-Director, Civil Defence will be the competent authority to prescribe jurisdiction of Ambulance. Service in respect of other towns whenever the Ambulance Services are taken over.

5. *No. of persons to be carried.*—The Ambulance shall normally not carry more than three persons in addition to the patient, driver and the attendant.

6. *Charges of the Ambulance.*—The charges of the ambulance will be determined by the Government from time to time, provided that the ambulance may be utilised without any charges during accidents and natural calamities under the orders of the Commandant -*cum*-Deputy Controller with the prior approval of his superior officers [Senior Staff Officer (Admn.)] in the Home Guards and Civil Defence Department.

7. *Plying of Ambulance in prohibited areas.*—The ambulance may be plied, in an emergency, any portion of the prohibited areas in Shimla:

Provided that when the Ambulance is not being plied for emergency it should not contravene the traffic restrictions on the Mall Road and prohibited areas and shall take the longer route.

8. *Parking of Ambulance.*—All the Ambulances will be parked at the Ambulances Services Headquarters or at any other place specified by the Commandant General, Home Guards-*cum*-Director, Civil Defence, Himachal Pradesh.

9. *Deployment of Drivers.*—Ambulances will be run by regular drivers appointed for this purpose and also by called out Home Guards for duty as may be authorised by the Commandant General-*cum*-Director, Civil Defence from time to time. The duty by Ambulance Staff shall be performed on rotational system on 8 hours shift duty basis as per the following timings.—

1st Shift 0600 to 1400 hours.
2nd Shift 1400 to 2200 hours.
3rd Shift 2200 to 0600 hours.

The rotation will be changed every week. The drivers and attendants will wear authorised prescribed uniforms on duty.

10. *Telephone.*—The Ambulance Headquaters shall have a hunting telephone system and preferably with a telephone number 102 which is the standard ambulance number in the country. This telephone will be disconnected for outgoing calls, but separate telephone will be provided for outgoing calls with independant telephone number.

11. *Action on receipt of request for Ambulance.*—Whenever an Ambulance is summoned by telephone by a member of public or the applicant personally call on the office for requisitioning the Ambulance, the clerk on duty will enter the requisition in Ambulance Booking Register Schedule-I and issue a slip on first come first served basis mentioning the name of the patient and other relevant particulars as given in the specimen given in Schedule-II. This slip will be handed over to the attendant who will be given instructions to go to the desired place and to the Hospital/Clinic of a Registered Medical Practitioner mentioned in the slip. The attendant shall get the signature of the patient or his attendant on the slip as a token of having transported the patient at the Hospital/Clinic, in question. The patient or his representative shall make the payament to the attendant as indicated in the duty slip and may obtain a formal receipt from Officer Incharge on the same day or on the subsequent day. The receipts issued by the Officer Incharge Ambulance services but not claimed by the patient or his representative will be retained by the Officer Incharge Ambulances. All receipts on account of realisation of the payment shall be accounted for in the cash book of the Commandant, Home Guards-*cum*-Deputy Controller and shall be deposited into the local Treasury daily on working days under appropriate head of account forthwith.

12. *Operation of Ambulance outside the Jurisdiction.*—In case there is an accident or any other emergency and the Ambulance is required to be sent out side the jurisdiction, as prescribed in these rules, but within Himachal Pradesh the same may be sent under the orders of the Commandant, Home Guards-*cum*-Deputy Controller or his Superior Officers in the department. Provided further that in other exceptional circumstances also the Ambulance may be sent out side the jurisdiction with the prior approval of the Commandant, Home Guards or his Senior Officer at the Headquarters, if adequate roadworthy Ambulances are available at the time of call. Full justification will be recorded in the register to be maintained for the purpose in such cases of extra jurisdictional operations.

13. *Detailing Sending Ambulance for the Specialised treatment.*—The Ambulance may be sent to places out side Himachal Pradesh for carying patiens for specialised treatment/services, in whose favour certificate has been issued either by the Head of Department of the speciality concerned of the Himachal Pradesh Medical College or by the Chief Medical Officer, Shimla that the patient cannot travel in an ordinary vehicle and is required to be transported in an ambulance only. The ambulance may be deputed by the Officers so authorised in Rule 12 above.

14. *Log Book.*—A separate Log Book will be maintained for each Ambulance van in the form given in Schedule-III. The Log Book will be signed by the driver of the vehicle and will be Countersigned by Officer-in-Charge Ambulance. It will be checked by the Commandant, Home Guards-*cum*-Deputy Controller atleast once in a month. All irregularities noticed by the Officer-in-charge Ambulance or the Commandant, Home Guards-*cum*-Deputy Controller in the use of the vehicle or the maintenance thereof will be taken notice of and appropriate action will be taken in the matter.

15. *Maintenance of Accounts:*—All receipts on account of the use of ambulance will be issued in a printed form and the amount will be accounted for in the cash book. All expenditure on account of maintenance of ambulance will be accounted for in the books of Commandant Home Guards-*cum*-Deputy Controller Civil Defence according to the rules applicable in this behalf.

16. *Maintenance or the Ambulance.*—All Ambulance Vehicles shall be painted white and kept in absolute hygienic conditions. Blue Emergency revolving-light to be fitted on the roof of the Vehicles will be used while transporting serious patients.

Sd/-
Financial Commissioner-cum-*Secretary.*

AMBULANCE BOOKING REGISTER

Sl. No.	Date	Patient's Name	Required date of Booking	Time of Booking	Place where Amb. is required to report	Place where patient to be transported	Veh. No. and Driver Detailed	Departure time	Arrival time	K. Mtrs. covered
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11

Amount received	Receipt No. with date	Remarks	Signature of Shift Incharge
12	13	14	15

SCHEDULE-V

DUTY SLIP

Sl. No.	Date	Name of patient	Vehcile No.	Driver Name	Time of Departure	Time of Departure from patients residence/Hospital	Time of Arrival at Hospital/ Residence	Distance covered
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

Ambulance Charges	Time of reporting back to Control Room	Remarks
10	11	12

Signature of Driver,
Ambulance Attendant.

SCHEDULE-III

LOG BOOK

Ambulance Vehcile No.....Department/Office.....

Date	TIME from	TIME To	Milomitre/k. m. reading	Miles/k. m.	Name of Driver	PLACE from	PLACE To	Purpose of Journey	Petrol drawn litres
1	2		3	4	5	6		7	8

Signature of the Shift Incharge	Remarks	Signature of Officer-in-Charge
9	10	11

विधि विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-2, 29 अप्रैल, 1993

संख्या एल0 एल0 आर0-बी0(2)-1/91.—भारत के राष्ट्रपति, भारत के संविधान के अनुच्छेद 309 के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक सेवा आयोग के परामर्श से अभियोजन विभाग, हिमाचल प्रदेश में लाईब्रेरियन (वर्ग-3 अराजपत्रित) पद के लिए इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न उपाबन्ध 'अ' के अनुसार भर्ती एवं प्रोन्नति नियम बनाते हैं, अर्थात्:—

1. संक्षिप्त नाम और प्रारम्भ.—(1) इन नियमों का नाम हिमाचल प्रदेश, अभियोजन विभाग, लाईब्रेरियन (वर्ग-3 अराजपत्रित) भर्ती एवं प्रोन्नति नियम, 1993 है।

(2) ये नियम राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश में प्रकाशित किए जाने की तारीख से प्रवृत्त होंगे।

उपाबन्ध "अ"

हिमाचल प्रदेश, अभियोजन विभाग में लाईब्रेरियन (वर्ग-3) के पद के लिए भर्ती एवं प्रोन्नति नियम

1.	पद का नाम	लाईब्रेरियन
2.	पदों की संख्या	1 (एक)
3.	वर्गीकरण	वर्ग-3 (अराजपत्रित)
4.	वेतनमान	रु0 1500-30-1560-40-2000-50-2400-60-2640.
5.	चयन पद अथवा अचयन पद	अचयन
6.	सीधी भर्ती किए जाने वाले व्यक्तियों के लिए आयु।	18 से 35 वर्ष : परन्तु सीधी भर्ती के लिए आयु सीमा तदर्थ या संविदा पर नियुक्ति सहित, पहले से ही सरकार की सेवा में रत अभ्यर्थियों पर लागू नहीं होगी : परन्तु यह और कि यदि तदर्थ आधार पर नियुक्त किया गया अभ्यर्थी इस रूप में नियुक्ति की तारीख को अधिकवय हो गया हो तो वह तदर्थ या संविदा के आधार पर नियुक्ति के कारण विहित आयु में शिथिलीकरण के लिए पात्र नहीं होगा : परन्तु यह और कि अनुसूचित जातियों/अनुसूचित जनजातियों तथा अन्य वर्गों के व्यक्तियों के लिए उच्चतम आयु में उतना ही शिथिलीकरण किया जा सकेगा जितना कि हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार के साधारण या विशेष आदेशों के अधीन अनुज्ञेय हैं : परन्तु यह और भी कि पब्लिक सैक्टर निगमों तथा स्वायत निकायों के सभी कर्मचारियों को जो कि ऐसे पब्लिक सैक्टर निगमों तथा स्वायत निकायों के गठन के समय ऐसे पब्लिक सैक्टर निगमों/स्वायत निकायों में आमेलन से पूर्व सरकारी कर्मचारी थे, सीधी भर्ती में आयु की सीमा में ऐसी ही रियायत दी जाएगी जैसी सरकारी कर्मचारियों को अनुज्ञेय है किन्तु इस प्रकार की रियायत पब्लिक सैक्टर निगमों तथा स्वायत निकायों के ऐसे कर्मचारीवृन्द को नहीं दी जाएगी जो पश्चात्वर्ती ऐसे निगमों/स्वायत निकायों द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए थे/किए गए हैं और उन पब्लिक सैक्टर निगमों/स्वायत निकायों के प्रारम्भिक गठन के पश्चात् ऐसे निगमों/स्वायत निकायों की सेवा में अन्तिम रूप से आमेलित किए गए हैं/किए गए थे। टिप्पण–1.–सीधी भर्ती के लिए आयु सीमा की गणना उस वर्ष के प्रथम दिन से की जाएगी, जिसमें आवेदन आमंत्रित करने के लिए यथास्थिति, पद विज्ञापित या नियोजनालयों को अधिसूचित किये जाते हैं। टिप्पण 2.–अन्यथा सुअर्हित अभ्यर्थियों की दशा में सीधी भर्ती के लिए आयु सीमा और अनुभव आयोग के विवेकानुसार शिथिल किया जा सकेगा।
7.	सीधी भर्ती किये जाने वाले व्यक्तियों के लिये अपेक्षित न्यूनतम शैक्षिक और अन्य अर्हतायें।	अनिवार्य : (1) किसी मान्यता प्राप्त विश्वविद्यालय से स्नातक की उपाधि या इसके समकक्ष। (2) हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा मान्यता प्राप्त किसी विश्वविद्यालय या संस्थान से पुस्तकालय विज्ञान में कम से कम एक वर्ष की अवधि की डिग्री/डिप्लोमा। वांछनीय अर्हताएं : (1) सरकारी लाईब्रेरी या ख्याती प्राप्त लाईब्रेरी में कम से कम दो वर्ष का अनुभव। (2) हिमाचल प्रदेश की रूढ़ियों, रीतियों और बोलियों का ज्ञान और प्रदेश में विद्यमान विशिष्ट दशाओं में नियुक्ति के लिए उपयुक्तता।
8.	सीधी भर्ती किए जाने वाले व्यक्तियों के लिए विहित आयु और शैक्षिक अर्हताएं प्रोन्नति की दशा में लागू होंगी या नहीं।	आयु : लागू नहीं। शैक्षिक अर्हताएं : लागू नहीं।
9.	परिवीक्षा की अवधि, यदि कोई हो।	दो वर्ष, जिसका एक वर्ष से अनधिक ऐसी और अवधि के लिए विस्तार किया जा सकेगा जैसा सक्षम प्राधिकारी विशेष परिस्थितियों और लिखित कारणों से आदेश दें।
10.	भर्ती की पद्धति— भर्ती सीधी होगी या प्रोन्नति/प्रतिनियुक्ति/स्थानान्तरण द्वारा और विभिन्न पद्धतियों द्वारा भरी जाने वाली रिक्तियों की प्रतिशतता।	शत-प्रतिशत सीधी भर्ती द्वारा।
11.	प्रोन्नति प्रतिनियुक्ति या स्थानान्तरण की दशा में वे श्रेणियां जिनसे प्रोन्नति/प्रतिनियुक्ति/स्थानान्तरण किया जायेगा।	लागू नहीं।

टिप्पण.—जब कमी नियम 2 के अनुसार पदों में बढ़ौतरी या कमी होती है तो स्तंभ 10 और 11

	के उपबन्ध सरकार द्वारा लोक सेवा आयोग के परामर्श से पुनरीक्षित किये जाएंगे।
12. यदि विभागीय प्रोन्नति समिति विद्यमान हो, तो उसकी संरचना।	लागू नहीं।
13. भर्ती करने में जिन परिस्थितियों में हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक सेवा आयोग से परामर्श किया जाए।	जैसा कि विधि द्वारा अपेक्षित हो।
14. सीधी भर्ती किए जाने वाले व्यक्तियों के लिए अपेक्षा।	किसी सेवा या पद पर नियुक्ति के लिए अभ्यर्थी निम्नलिखित अवश्य होना चाहिए:— (क) भारत का नागरिक, या (ख) नेपाल की प्रजा, या (ग) भूटान की प्रजा, या (घ) तिब्बती शरणार्थी, जो 1 जनवरी, 1962 से पूर्व भारत में स्थायी निवास के आशय से आया हो, या (ङ) भारतीय मूल का कोई व्यक्ति जिसने पाकिस्तान, बर्मा, श्रीलंका, पूर्वी अफ्रीका के देशों, कीनिया, युगांडा, यूनाईटिड रिपब्लिक आफ तंजानिया (पहले तंगानिका और ज़ंजीबार), जाम्बिया, मालावी, जेयरे तथा इथोपिया से भारत में स्थायी निवास के आशय से प्रवास किया हो: परन्तु प्रवर्ग (ख), (ग), (घ) और (ङ) के अभ्यर्थी ऐसे व्यक्ति होंगे जिनके पक्ष में भारत सरकार द्वारा पात्रता प्रमाण-पत्र जारी किया गया हो। ऐसे अभ्यार्थी को, जिसके मामले में पद पर नियुक्ति के लिए चयन मौखिक परीक्षा के आधार पर और यदि यथास्थिति हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक सेवा आयोग या अन्य भर्ती प्राधिकरण द्वारा संचालित परीक्षा/साक्षात्कार में प्रविष्ट किया जा सकेगा, किन्तु उसे नियुक्ति का प्रस्ताव, भारत सरकार द्वारा पात्रता का अपेक्षित प्रमाण-पत्र जारी किए जाने के पश्चात् ही दिया जाएगा।
15. सीधी भर्ती द्वारा पद पर नियुक्ति के लिए चयन।	सीधी भर्ती के मामले में पद पर नियुक्ति के लिए चयन मौखिक परीक्षा के आधार पर और यदि यथास्थिति, हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक सेवा आयोग या अन्य भर्ती प्राधिकरण ऐसा करना आवश्यक या समीचीन समझे, तो लिखित परीक्षा/स्क्रीनिंग परीक्षा या व्यावहारिक परीक्षा के आधार पर किया जाएगा जिसका स्तर/पाठ्यक्रम यथास्थिति आयोग/अन्य भर्ती प्राधिकरण द्वारा अवधारित किया जाएगा।
16. आरक्षण	उक्त सेवा में नियुक्ति, हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा समय-समय पर अनुसूचित जातियों/अनुसूचित जन जातियों/पिछड़े वर्गों और अन्य प्रवर्ग के व्यक्तियों के लिए आरक्षण की बाबत जारी किए गए आदेशों के अधीन होगी।
17. शिथिल करने की शक्ति	जहां राज्य सरकार की यह राय हो कि ऐसा करना आवश्यक है या समीचीन है तो वह कारणों को अभिलिखित करके और लोक सेवा आयोग के परामर्श से, आदेश द्वारा इन नियमों या नियमों के किन्हीं उपबन्धों को किसी वर्ग या व्यक्तियों के प्रवर्ग या पदों की बाबत शिथिल कर सकेगा।

आदेश द्वारा,
ए0 एल0 वैद्य,
सचिव।

[*Athoritative English text of this department Notification No. LLR-B* (2)-1/93, *dated* 29*th April*, 1993 *as required under Article* 348(3) *of the Constitution of India*].

LAW DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 29*th April*, 1993

No. LLR-B (2)-1/91.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution of India, the President of India in consultation with the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, is pleased to make the Recruitment and Promotion Rules for the post of Librarian (Class-III, Non-Gazetted) in the Department of Prosecution, Himachal Pradesh as per Annexure-A attached to this notification, namely:—

1. *Short title and commencement.*—(1) These Rules may be called the Himachal Pradesh Prosecution Department, Librarian (Class-III, Non-Gazetted) Recruitment and Promotion Rules, 1993.

(2) These Rules shall come into force from the date of its publication in the Rajpatra, Himachal Pradesh.

ANNEXURE—A

RECRUITMENT AND PROMOTION RULES FOR THE POST OF LIBRARIAN (CLASS-III, NON-GAZETTED) IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PROSECUTION IN HIMACHAL PRADESH GOVERNMENT

1.	Name of the post	*Librarian.*
2.	Number of posts	1 (One).
3.	Classification	Class-III (Non-Gazetted).
3.	Scale of pay	Rs. 1500-30-1560-40-2000-50-2400-60-2640.
5.	Whether selection post or non-selection-post.	Non Selection.
6.	Age for direct recruitment.	18 to 35 years:

Provided that the upper age limit for direct recruites will not be applicable to the candidates already in service of the Government including those who have been appointed on *ad hoc* or on contract basis:

Provided further that if a candidate appointed on *ad hoc* basis had become overage on the date when he was appointed as such he shall not be eligible for any relaxation in the prescribed age limit by virtue of his such *ad hoc* or contract appointment:

Provided further that the upper age limit is relaxable for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled

	Tribes/other categories of persons to the extent permissible under the general or special orders of the Himachal Pradesh Government :	
	Provided further that the employees of all the public sector corporations and autonomous bodies who happened to be Government servants before absorption in the public sector corporations / autonomous bodies at the time of initial constitution of such corporations / autonomous bodies, shall be allowed age concession in direct recruitment as admissible to Government servants. This concession will not, however, be admissible to such staff of the public sector corporations/autonomous bodies who were/are subsequently appointed by such corporations/autonomous bodies and are/were finally absorbed in the service of such corporations/autonomous bodies after initial constitution of the public sector corporations/autonomous bodies.	
	Note-1.—Age limit for direct recruitment will be reckoned on the first day of the year in which the post(s) are advertised for inviting applications or notified to the Employment Exchanges, as the case may be.	
	Note-2.—Age and experience in the case of direct recruitment are relaxable at the discretion of the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission in case the candidate is, otherwise, well qualified.	
7. Minimum educational and other qualifications required for direct recruits.	*Essential :* (*i*) A Bachelor's Degree or its equivalent from a recognised University, and (*ii*) Degree/Diploma (of atleast one year's graduation) in Library Science from a recognised University or an Institution recognised by the Himachal Pradesh Government. *Desirable qualifications:* (*i*) At least 2 years experience in the Government Library or Library of repute. (*ii*) Knowledge of customs, manners and dialects of Himachal Pradesh and suitability for appointment in the peculiar conditions prevailing in the Pradesh.	
8. Whether age and educational qualifications prescribed for direct recruits	*Age* : Not applicable. *Educational Qualification*: Not applicable.	
	will apply in the case of promotees.	
9. Period of probation, if any.		Two years subject to such further extension for a period not exceeding one year as may be ordered by the competent authority in special circumstances and reasons to be recorded in writing.
10. Method of recruitment, whether by direct recruitment or by promotion, deputation / transfer and the percentage of vacancies to be filled in by various methods.		100% by direct recruitment
11. In case of recruitment by promotion, deputation/ transfer, grades from which promotion, deputation/transfer is to be made.		Not applicable. *Note.*—Provisions of Rules 10 and 11 are to be revised by the Government in consultation with the Commission as and when the number of posts under Rule-2 are increased.
12. If a Departmental Promotion Committee exists, what is its composition?		Not applicable.
13. Circumstances under which the I.P.S.C. is to be consulted in making recruitment.		As required under the law.
14. Essential requirements for direct recruitment.		A candidate for appointment to any service or post must be:— (*a*) a citizen of India, or (*b*) a subject of Nepal, or (*c*) a subject of Bhutan, or (*d*) a Tibetan refugee who crossed over to India before the 1st January, 1962 with the intention of permanantly settling in India, or (*e*) a person of Indian origin, who has migrated from Pakistan, Burma, Sri-Lanka, East African countries of Kenya, Uganda, the United Republic of Tanzania (formerly Tanganiyka and Zanzibar), Zambia, Malawi, Zaire and Ethopia with the intention of permanantly settling in India: Provided that a candidate belonging to categories (*b*), (*c*), (*d*) and (*e*) shall be a person in whose favour a certificate of eligibility has been issued by the Government of India. A candidate in whose case a certificate of eligibility is

	necessary may be admitted to an examination or interview conducted by the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission or other recruiting authority, but the offer of appointment may be given only after the necessary eligibility certificate has been issued to him by the Government of India.		may be.
15.	Selection for appointment to post by direct recruitment.	Selection for appointment to the post in the case of direct recruitment shall be made on the basis of *viva voce* test; if the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission or other recruiting authority, as the case may be, so consider necessary or expedient by a written test/screening test or a practical test, the standard/syllabus of which will be determined by the Commission/other recruiting authority as the case	16 Reservation The appointment to the service shall be subject to orders regarding reservation in the service for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes/Backward Classes/ other categories of persons issued by the Himachal Pradesh Government from time to time. 17. Power to relax Where the State Government is of the opinion that it is necessary or expedient so to do, it may by order for reasons to be recorded in writing and in consultation with the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission relax any of the provisions of these rules with respect to any class or category of persons or posts.

By order,
A. L. VAIDYA,
Secretary.

भाग 4—स्थानीय स्वायत शासन, म्युनिसिपल बोर्ड, डिस्ट्रिक्ट बोर्ड, नोटिफाइड और टाउन एरिया तथा पंचायती राज विभाग

शून्य

भाग 5—वैयक्तिक अधिसूचनाएं और विज्ञापन

In the C urt of J. L. Chauhan Senior Sub-Judge, Bilaspur, Himachal Pradesh

In application No. 5/5 of 1993.

Kuldeep Singh s/o Shri Nank Chand r/o village Jhabola, Pargna Bachrettu, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, Himachal Pradesh. .. Petitioner.

Versus

General Public.

Application U/s 372 of the Indian Succession Act for grant of Succession Certificate.

To

The General Public.

Whereas in the above noted case/application the applicant Shri Kuldeep Singh s/o Shri Nank Chand r/o village Jhabola, Pargna Bachrettu, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, Himachal Pradesh and others have applied for grant of Succession Certificate in respect of the deceased Shri Nank Chand s/o Shri Ram Litta r/o village Jhabola, Pargna Bachrettu, Tehsil Ghumawin, District Bilaspur, Himachal Pradesh who died on 2-9-1990 at village Bharolia Kalan, Pargna Baseh, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur.

Notice is hereby given to the General Public/relations and kinsmen of deceased Shri Nank Chand that if any body has got any objection, the same be filed in this court on or before 3-6-1993 at 10 A.M. personally or through pleader or through an authorised agent, failing which the petition/application shall be heard and decided *ex parte.*

Given under my hand and seal of the court on this day of 24th April, 1993.

Seal.

J. L. CHAUHAN,
Senior Sub-Judge,
Bilaspur, (H. P).

In the Court of Shri S. S. Garg, Sub-Judge Ist class, Kangra, Himachal Pradesh

In case re:—

S. Act No. 4/1991.

Ashok Kumar and others .. Petitioners.

Versus

General public .. Respondent.

To

The general public,

Whereas in the above noted case, petitioners have filed a petition in this court for the grant of succession certificate in respect of the estate of Shri Chuni Lal s/o Shri Kahan Chand r/o old Kangra, Tehsil and District Kangra.

Hence, this proclamation is hereby issued to the general public of the illaqua and the kith and kins of the deceased to file objections of any, before this court on 21-6-93 at 10 A. M. personally or through an authorised agent or pleader, failing which the petition will be heard and decided *ex parte.*

Given under my hand and seal of the court today the 30th day of April, 1993.

Seal.

S. S. GARG,
Sub-Judge. Ist Class, Kangra.

In the Court of Shri S. S. Garg, Sub-Judge, 1st Class, Kangra, Himachal Pradesh

In case S. Act No. 5 of 1993.

Smt. Kanchan wd/o Mohinder Kumar Aggarwal, 2. Natish Kumar s/o Mohinder Kumar 3. Nitin minor s/o Mohinder under the guardianship of his mother petition No. 1 all rs/o Ward No. 7, Kangra Town, Tehsil and District Kangra .. Petitioners.

Versus

General Public ... Respondent.

Whereas in the above noted Succession Act Case,

petitioners named above had filed an application for the grant of Succession Certificate for the assets of late Shri Mohinder Kumar s/o Milkhi Ram r/o Ward No. 7, Kangra Bhawan, Tehsil and District Kangra. This notice by way of proclamation is hereby issued to the General public to file objections if any, on or before 28-6-1993 at 10. A. M. sharp personally or through an authorised agent or pleader, failing which the case shall be taken up *ex parte*.

Given under my hand and seal of the court today the 12th day of April, 1993.

Seal. S. S. GARG,
Sub Judge 1st Class,
Kangra.

In the court of Shri Pritam Singh, Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jogindernagar, District Mandi, H.P. Himachal Pradesh

In the Matter of:—

Shri Puran Chand Thakur, s/o Fenku Ram r/o Gadera Gram Panchayat Drubbal, Tehsil Jogindernagar, Distt. Mandi, Himachal Pradesh .. Petitioner.

Versus

General Public. .. Respondent.

Application U/s 13(3) of Birth and Death registration Act.

To

The General Public.

Whereas the above mentioned petitioner has filed an application under section 13(3) of Birth and Death Registration Act, seeking orders to Secretary, Gram Panchayat Drubbal for the registration of date of birth of his son namely Bhupinder Singh Thakur, born on 3-7-1987 at village Gadera, tehsil Jogindernagar, District Mandi, Himachal Pradesh

Hence this proclamation is hereby issued to the general public and kith and kins to file their objections, if any, in this court on or before 24-6-93 either personally or through an authorised agent, failing which the application shall be heard and allowed in favour of above petitioner.

Given under my hand and seal of the court today the 26-4-1993.

Seal. PRITAM SINGH,
Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate.
Jogindernagar, District Mandi, (H. P.)

In the Court of Shri Pritam Singh, Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jogindernagar, District Mandi, Himachal Pradesh

In the matter of :

Krishana Devi w/o Shri Gian Chand, r/o village Shanan Khera Panchayat Golwan, Tehsil Jogindernagar, District Mandi, Himachal Pradesh ..Petitioner.

Versus

General public ..Respondent.

Application U/s 13 (3) of birth and death registration Act.

To

The General public

Whereas the above mentioned petitioner has filed an application under section 13 (3) of birth and death registration Act seeking orders to secretary, gram panchayat Golwan for the registration of date of birth of his daughter and son namely Reena Devi and Vijay Kumar born on 5-12-1988 and 27-3-1989 at village Shanan Khera, Tehsil Jogindernagar, District Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.

Henee this proclamation is hereby issued to the general public and kith and kins to file their objections, if any, in this court on or before 24-6-93 either personally or through an authorised agent, failing which the application shall be heard and allowed in favour of above petitioner.

Given under my hand and seal of the court today the 26-4-1993.

Seal. PRITAM SINGH,
Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate,
Jogindernagar, District Mandi (H.P.),

In the court of Shri Pritam Singh, Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jogindernagar, District Mandi, Himachal Pradesh

In the matter of :

Shri Kanshi Ram s/o Shri Nanku r/o Mulsu, village Dalah, Tehsil Jogindernagar, District Mandi, Himachal Pradesh. ..Petitioner.

Versus

General public ..Respondent.

Application U/s 13 (3) of birth and death registration Act.

To

The General public

Whereas the above mentioned petitioner has filed an application under section 13 (3) of birth and death registration act seeking orders to Secretary, Gram panchayat Dalah for the registration of date of birth of his daughter namely Reshmu born on 6-12-88 at village Mulsu, Tehsil Jogindernagar, District Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.

Hence this proclamation is hereby issued to the general public and kith and kins to file their objections, if any, in this court on or before 26-5-93 either personally or through an authorised agent, failing which the application shall be heard and allowed in favour of above petitioner.

Given under my hand and seal of the court today the 26-4-1993.

Seal. PRITAM SINGH,
Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate,
Jogindernagar, District Mandi (H.P.).

Before the Executive Magistrate, Palampur

Smt. Judhia Devi w/o Shri Bihari Lal, resident of village Rani Sidhpur, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra Himachal Pradesh

Versus

General Public

Application under Section 13(3) of Birth & death Registration Act, 1969.

Whereas Smt. Judhia Devi w/o Shri Bihari Lal, resident of village Sidhpur Rani, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra, (H. P.) has applied for the registration of birth of Naresh Devi her daughter, who was born on 28-11-1987 but her name has not been registered in the village Panchayat Bindraban.

As such all the general public is hereby asked to file

any objection in this regard, if any on 31-5-1993 at 10.00 A. M. personally or through an agent or pleader, failing which the permission for the registration will be provided accordingly.

Given under my hand and seal today the 24th April, 1993.

Sd/-

Seal.

Executive Magistrate,
Palampur.

Before the Executive Magistrate Palampur.

Shri Parkash Chand son of Shri Sunku Ram, resident of village Rani Sidhpur, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra, Himachal Pradesh

Versus

General Public

Application under Section 13 (3) Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969.

Whereas Shri Parkash Chand son of Shri Sunku Ram, resident of Village Rani Sidhpur, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra (H. P.) has applied for the registration of birth of his daughter Nisha Kumari who was born on 15-12-1987 but her name has not been registered in the village Panchayat Bindraban.

As such all the general public is hereby asked to file any objection in this regard, if any on 31-5-1993 at 10.00 A. M. personally or throgh an agent or pleader, failing which the permission for registration will be provided accordingly.

Given under my hand and seal today the 24th April, 1993.

Sd/-

Seal.

Executive Magistrate,
Palampur.

Before the Executive Magistrate, Palampur

Shri Amin Chand son of Shri Bihari Lal, resident of Village Mehnja, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

Versus

General Public.

Application under Section 13 (3) Birth & Death Registration Act, 1969.

Whereas Shri Amin Chand son of Shri Bihari Lal, resident of Village Mehnja, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra, Himachal Pradesh has applied for the registration of birth of Mittru Ram of his son who was born on 2-6-1988 but his name has not been registred in the Village Panchayat Mehnja.

As such all the general public is hereby asked to file any objection in this regard, if any on 26-5-93 at 10.00 A.M. personally or through an agent or pleader, failing which the permission for the registration will be provided accordingly.

Given under my hand and seal today the 7th April, 1993.

Seal.

Sd/-
Executive Magistrate,
Palampur.

Before the Executive Magistrate, Palampur

Shrimati Shakuntla Devi w/o Shri Parkash Chand, resident of Village Moondhi, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

Versus

General Public.

Application under Section 13 (3) Birth & Death Registration Act, 1969.

Whereas Shrimati Shakuntla Devi w/o of Shri Parkash Chand resident of Village Moondhi, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra, Himachal Pradesh has applied for the registration of birth of Shalu Devi of her daughter who was born on 5-5-1988 but her name has not been registred in the village Panchayat Moondhi.

As such all the general public is hereby asked to file any objection in this regard, if any on 26-5-1993 at 10.00 A.M. personally or through an agent or pleader, failing which the permission for the registration will be provided accordingly.

Given under my hand and seal today the 23rd April, 1993.

Seal.

Sd/-
Executive Magistrate,
Palampur.

Before the Executive Magistrate, Palampur

Shri Bhimi Ram son of Shri Jhonda Ram, resident of Village Dadh, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

Versus

General public.

Application under Section 13 (3) Birth & Death Registration Act, 1969.

Whereas Shri Bhimi Ram son of Shri Jhonda Ram, resident of Village Dadh, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra, Himachal Pradesh has applied for the registration of birth of Navjot Kumar of his son who was born on 24-9-1987 but his name has not been registered in the Village Panchayat Dadh.

As such all the general public is hereby asked to file any objection in this regard, if any on 31-5-1993 at 10.00 A.M. personally or through an agent or pleader, failing which the permission for the registration will be provided accordingly.

Given under my hand and seal today the 23rd April, 1993.

Seal.

Sd/-
Executive Magistrate,
Palampur.

अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री गुरदास राम सुपुत्र श्री चिरागा राम, निवासी चताड़ा, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री गुरदास राम सुपुत्र श्री चिरागा राम, निवासी चताड़ा, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी सुपुत्री ऊषा देवी का जन्म दिनांक 15-3-1988 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है ।

अत: सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 27-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता

है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे ।

आज दिनांक 22-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री जोगिन्दर लाल सुपुत्र श्री गोपाल चन्द, निवासी ईसपुर, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री जोगिन्दर लाल सुपुत्र श्री गोपाल चन्द, निवासी ईसपुर, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी भानजी मिस ममता देवी का जन्म दिनांक 15-10-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है ।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 27-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिये जाएंगे ।

आज दिनांक 22-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री हरी कृष्ण सुपुत्र श्री दुर्गा दास, निवासी पंजावर, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री हरी कृष्ण सुपुत्र श्री दुर्गा दास, निवासी पंजावर, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी दोहती मिस मीना देवी सुपुत्री श्री तिलक राज का जन्म दिनांक 25-10-1989 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है ।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बार यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 27-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे ।

आज दिनांक 22-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना, (हि० प्र०) ।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री बलदेव सुपुत्र श्री किशन चन्द, निवासी ईसपुर, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री बलदेव सुपुत्र श्री किशन चन्द, निवासी ईसपुर, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री मिस पुष्पा देवी का जन्म दिनांक 22-12-1982 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है ।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 27-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिए जाएंगे ।

आज दिनांक 22-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री विजय कुमार सुपुत्र श्री प्रभ दयाल, निवासी कंटरी लिकर बोटलिंग प्लांट, मैहतपुर, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री विजय कुमार सुपुत्र श्री प्रभ दयाल, निवासी कंटरी लिकर बोटलिंग प्लांट, मैहतपुर, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री मिस स्वाती का जन्म दिनांक 1-11-1989 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है ।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 27-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिये जाएंगे ।

आज दिनांक 22-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना, (हि० प्र०) ।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री रोशन लाल सुपुत्र श्री वसन्त राम, निवासी लम्लेहड़ा, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री रोशन लाल सुपुत्र श्री वसन्त राम, निवासी लम्लेहड़ा, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी सुपुत्री मिस नेहा कुमारी का जन्म दिनांक 19-12-1988 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है ।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 29-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिये जाएंगे ।

आज दिनांक 23-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना, (हि0 प्र0) ।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

जी माना कटी सुपुत्र श्री गोविन्द स्वामी, रेलवे कलौनी, रायपुर जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री जी माना कटी सुपुत्र श्री गोविन्द स्वामी, निवासी रेलवे कलौनी रायपुर, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी सुपुत्री पल्वी बैल का जन्म दिनांक 20-2-1984 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है ।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो दिनांक 28-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव, पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 22-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,
ऊना, जिला ऊना (हि0 प्र0) ।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री गोपाल दास सुपुत्र श्री पारीश चन्द, निवासी दोवड़, डाकघर रायप मैदान, तहसील बन्गाणा, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री गोपाल दास सुपुत्र श्री पारीश चन्द, निवासी दोवड़, डाकघर रायपुर मैदान, तहसील बंगाणा, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र पीयूष का जन्म दिनांक 5-12-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है ।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 28-5-93 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव, पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिए जाएंगे ।

आज दिनांक 22-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय मे जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,
ऊना, जिला ऊना (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री अमर नाथ सुपुत्र श्री गिरधारी लाल, निवासी नारी, तहसील व जिला ऊना (हि0 प्र0) ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री अमर नाथ सुपुत्र श्री गिरधारी लाल, निवासी नारी, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र श्री विपन कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 5-4-1988 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है ।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 28-5-93 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिये जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 22-4-93 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर । अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,
ऊना, जिला ऊना (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, (हि0 प्र0)

श्री बलवीर सिंह सुपुत्र श्री गुरदास राम, निवासी चुलड़ी, तहसील बन्गाणा, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री बलवीर सिंह सुपुत्र श्री गुरदास राम, निवासी चुलड़ी, तहसील

वन्नाणा, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री मिस पुनीता कुमारी का जन्म दिनांक 1-4-1988 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 28-5-93 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति का जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिये जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 22-4-93 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना (हि0प्र0)।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री वेद प्रकाश वाली सुपुत्र श्री योग राज वाली, निवासी बसदेहड़ा तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री वेद प्रकाश वाली सुपुत्र श्री योग राज वाली, निवासी बसदेहड़ा, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री मिस इशा वाली का जन्म दिनांक 5-11-1988 को हुआ है। लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 28-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव नगरपालिका/अधिसूचित क्षेत्र समिति/पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिये जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 22-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री ओम प्रकाश सुपुत्र श्री गंगा राम, निवासी इन्गोली, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री ओम प्रकाश सुपुत्र श्री गंगा राम, निवासी इन्गोली, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पोती पूनम सुपुत्री पवन कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 2-11-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 28-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव नगरपालिका/अधिसूचित क्षेत्र समिति/पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 22-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय में जारी हुआ।

मोहर। अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना, (हि0प्र0)।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री नरेश चौधरी सुपुत्र श्री अमृतसरिया राम, निवासी सामन, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री नरेश चौधरी सुपुत्र श्री अमृतसरिया राम, निवासी सामन, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र नितीन चौधरी का जन्म दिनांक 2-11-1990 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 28-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव नगरपालिका/अधिसूचित क्षेत्र समिति/पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 22-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री किशन चन्द सुपुत्र श्री थोलू राम, निवासी ऊना, मुहल्ला पुलवाला ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री किशन चन्द सुपुत्र श्री थोलू राम, निवासी ऊना, पुलवाला ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री वीना कुमारी का जन्म दिनांक 8-1-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 28-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर हो कर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव नगरपालिका/अधिसूचित क्षेत्र समिति/पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने के आदेश दे दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 22-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना (हि0प्र0)।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री ब्रह्म दास सुपुत्र श्री गंगा राम, निवासी अम्बेहड़ा, तहसील बन्गाणा, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री ब्रह्म दास सुपुत्र श्री गंगा राम, निवासी अम्बेहड़ा, तहसील बन्गाणा, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र संजीव कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 16-10-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो दिनांक 28-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 20-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,
ऊना, जिला ऊना, (हि0प्र0)।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री ध्यान चन्द सुपुत्र श्री ताबू राम, निवासी कांगड़, सब-तहसील हरोली, जिला ऊना, (हि0प्र0)।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री ध्यान चन्द सुपुत्र श्री ताबू राम, निवासी कांगड, सब-तहसील हरोली, जिला ऊना (हि0प्र0) ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री मिस मीना कुमारी का जन्म दिनांक 11-4-1984 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो दिनांक 28-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 22-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,
ऊना, जिला ऊना, (हि0प्र0)।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

धर्म चन्द सुपुत्र श्री सोहन लाल, निवासी चोली, डाकघर सोहारी, तहसील बन्गाणा, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री धर्म चन्द सुपुत्र श्री सोहन लाल, निवासी चोली, डाकघर सोहारी, तहसील बन्गाणा, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र राजेश कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 20-12-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो दिनांक 26-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 22-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,
ऊना, जिला ऊना (हि0प्र0)।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

जोगिन्द्र पाल सुपुत्र श्री मन्शा राम, निवासी बसाल, तहसील व जिला ऊना।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री जोगिन्द्र पाल सुपुत्र श्री मन्शा राम, निवासी बसाल, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री मिस सौरभ शर्मा का जन्म दिनांक 15-3-1988 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बार यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो दिनांक 26-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 22-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना (हि0प्र0)।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री वतन सिंह सुपुत्र श्री रिखी राम, निवासी मरहूं, तहसील बन्गाणा, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री वतन सिंह सुपुत्र श्री रिखी राम, निवासी मरहूं, तहसील बन्गाणा, जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री

मिस मोनिका का जन्म दिनांक 12-6-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो दिनांक 28-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 20-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना, (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री विक्रम सिंह सुपुत्र श्री बसन्त सिंह, निवासी मकरेड़, तहसील बंगाणा, जिला ऊना, (हि0 प्र0)।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री विक्रम सिंह सुपुत्र श्री बसन्त सिंह, निवासी मकरेड़, तहसील बंगाणा, जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी सुपुत्री मिस मनोज कुमारी का जन्म दिनांक 21-9-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो दिनांक 26-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिए जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 22-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री मेहर चन्द सुपुत्र श्री सुन्दर, निवासी त्यार, डाकघर खुडाई, तहसील बंगाणा, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री मेहर चन्द सुपुत्र श्री सुन्दर, निवासी त्यार, डाकघर खुडाई, तहसील बंगाणा, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र श्री विक्रम शर्मा का जन्म दिनांक 22-12-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो दिनांक 28-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 22-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री प्रभात सिंह सुपुत्र श्री राम किशन, निवासी पालकवाह, सब-तहसील हरोली, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री प्रभात सिंह सुपुत्र श्री राम किशन, निवासी पालकवाह, सब-तहसील हरोली, जिला ऊना, ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री मिस मोनिका का जन्म दिनांक 6-1-1988 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म की तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो दिनांक 28-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर हो कर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव, पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 22-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना, (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री रमेश चन्द सुपुत्र श्री सदा राम, निवासी लोयर बढ़ेड़ा, सब-तहसील हरोली, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री रमेश चन्द सुपुत्र श्री सदा राम, निवासी लोयर बढ़ेड़ा, सब तहसील हरोली, जिला ऊना, ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र श्री यशपाल का जन्म दिनांक 15-6-1989 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 29-5-1993 को सुबह 10.00 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिए जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 23-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला, ऊना (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री ज्ञान चन्द सुपुत्र श्री रला राम, निवासी खड, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री ज्ञान चन्द सुपुत्र श्री रला राम, निवासी खड, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पोती मिस अन्जू वाला सुपुत्री अशोक कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 17-5-1988 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 29-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव नगरपालिका/अधिसूचित क्षेत्र समिति/पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 23-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना, (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री किशन चन्द सुपुत्र श्री रुलदा राम, निवासी कुठार खुर्द, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री किशन चन्द सुपुत्र श्री रुलदा राम, निवासी कुठार खुर्द, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय गुजारिश की है कि उसके सुपुत्र हरीश कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 22-4-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 29-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव नगरपालिका/अधिसूचित क्षेत्र समिति/पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 23-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना, (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री सतीश कुमार सुपुत्र श्री यशवन्त राये दत्ता, निवासी खड, तहसील व जिला ऊना।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट 1969.

श्री सतीश कुमार सुपुत्र श्री यशवन्त राये दत्ता, निवासी खड, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके सुपुत्र चन्दन कुमार दत्ता का जन्म दिनांक 10-9-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 29-5-93 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव नगरपालिका/अधिसूचित क्षेत्र समिति/पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिए जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 23-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। अनिता सिन्हा,
उप-मण्डल मजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

ब अदालत श्रीमती अनिता सिन्हा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री अशोक कुमार सुपुत्र श्री दौलत राम, निवासी देहला, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री अशोक कुमार सुपुत्र श्री दौलत राम, निवासी देहला, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके सुपुत्र सुनील कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 24-4-1988 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 29-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे सम्बन्धित सचिव नगरपालिका/अधिसूचित क्षेत्र समिति/पंचायत को उपरोक्त बताई गई तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश दे दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 23-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। अनिता सिन्हा,
उप मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना,
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

अदालती इश्तहार

ब अदालत श्री राजीव कुमार शंकर, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री भम्बू राम सुपुत्र श्री शंकर, ग्राम थानाधार, तहसील राजगढ़ जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969

श्री भम्बू राम सुपुत्र श्री शंकर, ग्राम थानाधार, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है

कि मेरे पुत्र शीतल का जन्म तिथि 10-7-1987 को हुआ है जिसका नाम पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अत: सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि उपरोक्त जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज करने बारा किसी को कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 2-6-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है वरना सम्बन्धित सचिव, ग्राम पंचायत को उक्त तिथि दर्ज करने बारा आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-3-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। राजीव कुमार शंकर,
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,
राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, (हि0 प्र0)।

अदालती इश्तहार

ब अदालत श्री राजीव कुमार शंकर, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

श्रीमती रक्षा देवी पत्नी श्री जगदीश चन्द, ग्राम राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969

श्रीमती रक्षा देवी पत्नी श्री जगदीश चन्द, ग्राम राजगढ़, तहसील राजगढ़ जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरी पुत्री पूजा का जन्म तिथि 2-2-1988 को हुआ है जिसका नाम नोटिफाइड एरिया कमेटी, राजगढ़ के रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अत: सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि उपरोक्त तिथि दर्ज करवे बारा किसी को कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 2-6-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है वरना उक्त तिथि व नाम दर्ज करने बारा सचिव, नोटिफाइड एरिया कमेटी, राजगढ़ को आदेश कर किये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 23-3-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। राजीव कुमार शंकर,
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,
राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)।

अदालती इश्तहार

ब अदालत श्री राजीव कुमार शंकर, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्रीमती रक्षा देवी पत्नी श्री जगदीश चन्द, ग्राम राजगढ़, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट 1969

श्रीमती रक्षा देवी पत्नी श्री जगदीश चन्द, ग्राम व तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरी पुत्री आरती का जन्म तिथि 13-10-1989 को हुआ जिसका नाम नोटिफाइड एरिया कमेटी, राजगढ़ में दर्ज नहीं हुआ है।

अत: सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि उपरोक्त जन्म तिथि नोटिफाइड एरिया कमेटी राजगढ़ में दर्ज करने बारा किसी को कोई एतराज हो तो वह तिथि 2-6-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे पेश कर सकता है वरना उक्त नाम व तिथि रिकार्ड में दर्ज करने बारा सचिव नोटिफाइड एरिया कमेटी राजगढ़ को आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 23-3-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। राजीव कुमार शंकर,
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, राजगढ़
जिला सिरमौर हि0 प्र0)।

अदालती इश्तहार

व अदालत श्री राजीव कुमार शंकर, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री देवी सिंह सुपुत्र जमान सिंह, ग्राम बन्टी, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु अधिनियम, 1969.

श्री देवी सिंह सुपुत्र जमान सिंह, ग्राम बन्टी, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरे पुत्र व पुत्री का जन्म निम्नलिखित तिथि को हुआ है :
1. किशन चन्द पुत्र देवी सिंह की जन्म तिथि 13-10-1987
2. निर्मला पुत्री देवी सिंह की जन्म तिथि 22-1-1990 है।

अत: सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त जन्म तिथि एन0ए0सी0 राजगढ़ के रिकार्ड में दर्ज करने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह मिति 2-6-1993 को हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकते हैं वरना सचिव एन0ए0 सी0 राजगढ़ को उपरोक्त नाम व जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश जारी कर दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 3 अप्रैल, 1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। राजीव कुमार शंकर,
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,
राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, (हि0 प्र0)।

अदालती इश्तहार

ब अदालत श्री राजीव कुमार शंकर, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री केवल राम सुपुत्र श्री मन्शा राम, ग्राम भुईरा, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु अधिनियम, 1969.

श्री केवल राम पुत्र श्री मन्शा राम, ग्राम भुईरा, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरी पुत्री का जन्म मिति 7-3-1987 को हुआ है जिसका नाम पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अत: सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि उपरोक्त तिथि दर्ज करने बारा किसी को कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 2-6-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर

पेश कर सकता है वरना सचिव ग्राम पंचायत को तिथि दर्ज करने बारा आदेश जारी कर दिए जाएंगे ।

आज दिनांक 6 अप्रैल, 1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । राजीव कुमार शंकर,
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,
राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, (हि0 प्र0) ।

अदालती इश्तहार

व अदालत श्री राजीव कुमार शंकर, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, राजग , जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री करपाल सिंह पुत्र श्री शोभा राम, ग्राम कुड़िया कंडग, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री करपाल सिंह पुत्र श्री शोभा राम, ग्राम कुडिया कंडग, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरी पुत्री ममता देवी की जन्म तिथि 15-3-1987 है जिसका नाम पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि उपरोक्त तिथि पंचायत में दर्ज करने बारा किसी को कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 2-6-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है । वरना सचिव ग्राम पंचायत को तिथि दर्ज करने बारा आदेश जारी कर दिए जाएंगे ।

आज दिनांक 6-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । राजीव कुमार शंकर,
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,
राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0) ।

व अदालत श्री राजीव कुमार शंकर, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, राजगढ़ जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री धनवीर सिंह पुत्र श्री माता राम, ग्राम देवरिया दसाना, तहसील पच्छाद, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

दरख्वास्त बाबत पिता का नाम पंचायत रिकार्ड में दरुस्ती करने बारा।

श्री धनवीर सिंह पुत्र श्री माता राम, ग्राम देवरिया दसाना तहसील पच्छाद, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरे पिता का नाम पंचायत रिकार्ड में गल्ती से देवी राम दर्ज किया गया है जबकि मेरे पिता का नाम माता राम है ।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि उपरोक्त नाम देवी राम की जगह माता राम दर्ज करने में किसी को कोई एतराज हो तो वह तिथि 2-6-93 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना सचिव ग्राम पंचायत को रिकार्ड में माता राम नाम दर्ज करने के लिए आदेश जारी कर दिए जायेंगे ।

आज दिनांक 6-4-93 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ है।

मोहर । राजीव कुमार शंकर,
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, राजगढ़,
जिला सिरमौर, (हि0 प्र0) ।

व अदालत श्री राजीव कुमार शंकर, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री जगत राम पुत्र श्री पिंजर सिंह, ग्राम डिम्बर, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

श्री जगत राम पुत्र श्री पिंजर सिंह, ग्राम डिम्बर, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरी पुत्री का जन्म मिति 24-11-1987 को हुआ है जिसका नाम पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है ।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि उपरोक्त तिथि दर्ज करने बारा किसी को कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 2-6-93 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है वरना सचिव ग्राम पंचायत को तिथि दर्ज करने बारा आदेश जारी कर दिये जायगे ।

आज दिनांक 6-4-93 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ है।

मोहर । राजीव कुमार शंकर,
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, राजगढ़,
जिला सिरमौर, (हि0 प्र0) ।

व अदालत श्री राजीव कुमार शंकर, उप मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, राजगढ़ जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्रीमती यशोदा देवी पत्नी श्री किरपु ग्राम भुईरा, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट 1969.

श्रीमती यशोदा देवी पत्नी श्री किरपू, ग्राम भुईरा, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है मेरे पुत्र सुरेश कुमार का जन्म मिति 3-9-87 को हुआ है । जिसका नाम पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं हुआ है ।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि उपरोक्त जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारा किसी को कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 2-6-93 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना सचिव ग्राम पंचायत को तिथि दर्ज करने बारा आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे ।

आज दिनांक 6-4-93 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ है ।

मोहर । राजीव कुमार शंकर,
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, राजगढ़,
जिला सिरमौर, (हि0 प्र0) ।

व अदालत उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी निचार स्थित भावानगर, जिला किन्नौर, (हि0 प्र0)

बमुकदमा :

अन्तर्गत जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु तिथि

श्री बल बहादुर सिंह पुत्र श्री लाल सिंह, निवासी ग्राम सुंगरा, तहसील निचार, जिला किन्नौर हिमाचल प्रदेश

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु

उपरोक्त मकदमा उनवान बाला में प्रार्थी श्री बलबहादुर सिंह

पुत्र लाल सिंह ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री कु0 अनीता देवी का जन्म दिनांक 12-11-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन को उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत अभिलेख में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है ।

अत : आम जनता को बजरिया राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि अगर उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारा किसी को कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-93 को अमालतन व वकालतन इस अदालत में प्रात: 10 बजे हाजिर आवें तथा अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा उपरोक्त आवेदक के बच्चे का नाम पंचायत अभिलेख में दर्ज करने बारे आदेश जारी कर दिया जायेगा ।

आज दिनांक 13-4-93 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । हस्ताक्षरित/-
उप मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,
निचार स्थित भावानगर, जिला,
किन्नौर, (हि0 प्र0) ।

ब अदालत उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, निचार स्थित भावानगर,
जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकद्दमा :—

अन्तर्गत जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

श्री पदम देव पुत्र श्री प्रताप सिंह, निवासी ग्राम कंगोस, तहसील निचार, जिला-किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान वाला में प्रार्थी श्री पदम देव पुत्र श्री प्रताप सिंह ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र चमन लाल का जन्म दिनांक 12-4-1990 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत अभिलेख में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है ।

अत: आम जनता को बजरिया राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि अगर उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारा किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1993 को अमालतन व वकालतन इस अदालत में प्रात: 10 बजे हाजिर आवें तथा अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा उपरोक्त आवेदक के बच्चे का नाम पंचायत अभिलेख में दर्ज करने बारे आदेश जारी कर दिया जायेगा ।

आज दिनांक 13-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर। हस्ताक्षरित/-
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,
निचार स्थित भावानगर,
जिला किन्नौर, (हि0 प्र0) ।

ब अदालत उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, निचार स्थित भावानगर
जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकद्दमा :—

अन्तर्गत जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

श्री तारा चन्द पुत्र श्री मेंन्टू राम, निवासी ग्राम सुंगरा, तहसील निचार, जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान वाला में प्रार्थी श्री तारा चन्द पुत्र श्री मेन्टू राम ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र विरेन्द्र कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 18-12-1988 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत अभिलेख में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है ।

अत: आम जनता को बजरिया राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि अगर उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारा किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1993 को अमालतन व वकालतन इस अदालत में प्रात: 10 बजे हाजिर आवें तथा अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा उपरोक्त आवेदक के बच्चे का नाम पंचायत अभिलेख में दर्ज करने बारे आदेश जारी कर दिया जायेगा ।

आज दिनांक 13-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । हस्ताक्षरित/-
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,
निचार स्थित भावानगर,
जिला किन्नौर, (हि0 प्र0) ।

ब अदालत उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, निचार स्थित भावानगर
जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मकद्दमा —

अन्तर्गत जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

श्री देवी राम पुत्र श्री गंगा जोत, निवासी ग्राम सुंगरा, तहसील निचार, जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान वाला में प्रार्थी श्री देवी राम पुत्र श्री गंगा जोत ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री कुमारी पूजा व पुत्र सुरेन्द्र सिंह का जन्म दिनांक 14-11-1990 व 5-12-1992 क्रमश: को हुआ है लेकिन उनकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत अभिलेख में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है ।

अत: आम जनता को बजरिया राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि अगर उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारा किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1993 को अमालतन व वकालतन इस अदालत में प्रात: 10 बजे हाजिर आवें तथा अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा उपरोक्त आवेदक के बच्चों के नाम पंचायत अभिलेख में दर्ज करने बारे आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे ।

आज दिनांक 13-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । हस्ताक्षरित/-
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,
निचार स्थित भावानगर,
जिला किन्नौर, (हि0 प्र0) ।

बअदालत उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, निचार स्थित भावानगर,
जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब मुकद्दमा अन्तर्गत जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु तिथि

श्रीमती देव ज्ञानी पत्नी श्री हेत राम, निवासी ग्राम बगी, तहसील निचार, जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान वाला में प्रार्थी श्रीमती देव ज्ञानी

पत्नी श्री हेत राम ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है उसके सुपुत्र मकन सिंह का जन्म दिनांक 12-11-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत अभिलेख में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है ।

अतः आम जनता को बजरिया राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि अगर उपरोक्त पंजीकरण बारा किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1993 को असालतन व वकालतन इस अदालत में प्रातः 10 बजे हाजिर आवे तथा अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा उपरोक्त आवेदक के बच्चे का नाम पंचायत अभिलेख में दर्ज करने बारे आदेश जारी कर दिया जाएगा ।

आज दिनांक 13-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । हस्ताक्षरित/-
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,
निचार स्थित भावानगर,
जिला किन्नौर ।

ब अदालत उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, निचार स्थित भावानगर, जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

व मुकद्दमा :

अन्तर्गत जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु तिथि ।

श्री उलफत पुत्र श्री सदा बक्श, हाल निवासी, ग्राम टापरी, तहसील निचार, जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश, स्थाई निवासी गांव ठेकी हुवा, तहसील पाकुड़िया, जिला सहाब गंज (बिहार)

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान वाला में प्रार्थी श्री उलफत पुत्र श्री सदा बक्श ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री कुमारी मन्जू देवी का जन्म दिनांक 2-1-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत अभिलेख मे पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

अतः आम जनता को बजरिया राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि अगर उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारा किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1993 को असालतन व वकालतन इस अदालत में प्रातः 10 बजे हाजिर आवें तथा अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा उपरोक्त आवेदक के बच्चे का नाम पंचायत अभिलेख में दर्ज करने बारे आदेश जारी कर दिया जायेगा ।

आज दिनांक 13-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । हस्ताक्षरित/-
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,
निचार स्थित भावानगर, जिला किन्नौर,
हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

अदालती इश्तहार

ब अदालत श्री प्रताप सिंह पठानिया, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, पांवटा, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री मुनी लाल सुपुत्र श्री समज राम, निवासी वार्ड नं0 3 बदरी नगर, पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त अधीन धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण ऐक्ट 1969.

श्री मनी लाल सुपुत्र श्री समज राम, निवासी वार्ड नं 3 बदरी नगर, तहसील पांवटा, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने दरख्वास्त गुजारी है कि उसके लड़के की जन्म तिथि निम्न प्रकार है :—

रवी शंकर पुत्र मुनी लाल की जन्म तिथि 25-1-1988 है का नाम नगर पालिका रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं हुआ है ।

अतः सर्व साधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारा यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 31-5-93 को सुबह 10.00 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश करे अन्यथा उपरोक्त जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने के लिए सम्बन्धित सचिव नगर पालिका को दर्ज करने बारे आदेश कर दिये जाएंगे ।

आज दिनांक 29-4-93 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । प्रताप सिंह पठानिया,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0) ।

अदालती इश्तहार

ब अदालत श्री प्रताप सिंह पठानियां, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री गुमान सिंह सुपुत्र श्री नरेन्द्र सिंह, निवासी देवी नगर, वार्ड नं0 9, तहसील पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदश ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म एवं मृत्यु

श्री गुमान सिंह पुत्र श्री नरेन्द्र सिंह, निवासी देवी नगर, तहसील पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने दरख्वास्त गजारी है कि उसके लड़के की जन्म तिथि निम्न प्रकार है :—

श्री हरजिन्द्र सिंह पुत्र श्री गुमान सिंह जन्म तिथि 5-1-1988 तथा उपरोक्त का नाम नगरपालिका रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं हुआ है ।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारा यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 31-5-1993 को प्रातः 10 बजे हाजिर हो कर पेश करें अन्यथा उपरोक्त जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने के लिए सम्बन्धित सचिव नगरपालिका को दर्ज करने के आदेश कर दिए जाएगे ।

आज दिनांक 30-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । प्रताप सिंह पठानियां,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, पांवटा साहिब,
जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0) ।

ब अदालत श्री सूरज मणी ठाकुर, उप-पंजीपाल (नायब तहसीलदार), पच्छाद, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मुकद्दमा नम्बर 1/93 तारीख मरजुआ 26-4-1993.

1. विजय कान्त पुत्र गुरमुख राम, निवासी टिक्कर, तहसील पच्छाद, 2. विपिन कुमार पुत्र प्रभु दत्त, निवासी सराहां, तहसील पच्छाद ... सायलान ।

बनाम

आम जनता .. प्रतिवादी

प्रार्थना-पत्र जेर धारा 40 व 41 भारतीय रजिस्ट्रेशन ऐक्ट, बराए करने पंजीकृत वसीयतनामा दिनांक 26-11-1992 श्रीमती रतनी देवी विधवा गुरमुख राम, निवासी टिक्कर, तहसील पच्छाद,

जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ..मुतवफी।

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता।

उपरोक्त विषय पर आम जनता व वारसान वसीयत कुन्दा श्रीमती रतनी देवी मजकूरा को बजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त मायलान विजय कान्त व बिपिन कुमार ने उपरोक्त वसीयतनामा दिनांक 26-4-1992 वरासत श्रीमती रतनी देवी का जेर धारा 40 व 41 भारतीय रजिस्ट्रेशन ऐक्ट के तहत पंजीकृत करने हेतु गुजारा है जिसकी आइन्दा तारीख पेशी अदालत हजा में दिनांक 31-5-1993 मुकरर हुई है। इसलिए आम जनता व श्रीमती रतनी देवी विधवा गुरमुख राम जो दिनांक 29-11-1992 को मृत्यु पा चुकी है, के वारसान को यह सूचित किया जाता है कि अगर उन्हें इस वसीयतनामा को पंजीकृत करने में कोई आपत्ति हो तो वह अदालत हजा में दिनांक 31-5-1993 को 10 बजे प्रातः असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आवें। अन्यथा कार्यवाही पंजीकरण की अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 26-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। सूरजमणी ठाकुर,
उप-पंजीपाल, पच्छाद,
जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)।

अदालती इश्तहार

जेर आर्डर 5, रूल 20, जावता दिवानी

ब अदालत श्री डी0 आर0 शर्मा, सब-रजिस्ट्रार, सब-तहसील झण्डूता, जिला बिलासपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

1. मनजीत सिंह पुत्र
2. राजेन्द्र सिंह पुत्र
3. सत्या देवी बेवा

श्री लौहका राम पुत्र सुन्दर, निवासी गांव झण्डूता, परगना गेहड़वीं, सब-तहसील झण्डूता, जिला बिलासपुर (हि0 प्र0)
..प्रार्थीगण।

बनाम

आम जनता (पब्लिक ऐट लार्ज) ...फरीकदोयम।

दरख्वास्त बराये तस्दीक किए जाने वसीयतनामा मृतक लौहका राम, तारीखी 25-8-1991 अधीन धारा 40-41, भारतीय पंजीयन अधिनियम के अन्तर्गत।

सर्वसाधारण जनता को बजरिया अदालती इश्तहार सूचित किया जाता है कि लौहका राम पुत्र सुन्दर, निवासी झण्डूता, परगना गेहड़वीं, सब-तहसील झण्डूता, जिला बिलासपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश वाला दिनांक 18-11-1991 को स्वर्गवास हो चुका है। इसने अपनी तमाम मनकूला व गैर मनकूला जायदाद की वसीयत अपने जीते जी दिनांक 25-8-1991 को उपरोक्त प्रार्थीगण के नाम पर तहरीर करवा रखी है। इस वसीयत करवाने हेतु उपरोक्त प्रार्थीगणों ने अधोहस्ताक्षरी की अदालत में दरख्वास्त गुजारखी है। यदि इस वसीयत को तस्दीक करने के बारा किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 31-5-1993 को प्रातः 10 बजे मेरी अदालत में असालतन या वकालतन पेश कर सकता है। इस तारीख के उपरान्त कोई भी एतराज काबले समायत नहीं होगा।

आज दिनांक 30-4-93 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर। डी0 आर0 शर्मा,
सब-रजिस्ट्रार,
सब-तहसील झण्डूता, जिला बिलासपुर।

ब अदालत श्री भगवान दास मदान, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, इन्दौरा जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री अमर सिंह सुपुत्र श्री राम सिंह, निवासी मलोट, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान बाला में प्रार्थी श्री अमर सिंह, निवासी मलोट, तहसील इन्दौरा ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरी पोती सविता देवी का जन्म दिनांक 28-9-1988 को हुआ है। लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

अतः आम जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारे किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1993 को असालतन या वकालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10.00 बजे हाजिर हो कर अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा यकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल मे लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 21-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। भगवान दास मदान,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा।

ब आदेश सहायक समाहर्ता, प्रथम श्रेणी, कांगड़ा

मुकद्दमा नं0 97/91

श्री संसार चन्द सुपुत्र श्री सुन्दर, निवासी ललहेसर, मौजा कवाड़ी, जिला कांगड़ा।

बनाम

1. किशोरी लाल, 2. प्रताप चन्द पुत्र सोहनु, 3. बशम्बर राम पुत्र, 4. श्रीमती रवेतू विधवा फीना राम, 5. कर्म चन्द, 6. वास देव पुत्र श्री सुन्दर, 7. रमेश चन्द पुत्र परमानन्द, निवासी महाल ललहेसर, मौजा कवाड़ी, तहसील व जिला कांगड़ा।

तकसीम भूमि खाता नं0 42, खतौनी नं0 58, खसरा नं0 120-177, किता 2, रकबा 0-15-80, खतौनी नं0 59, खसरा नं0 119-121, किता 3, रकबा, 0-13-92, खतौनी 60, खसरा 118, कुल रकबा 0-39-94, वाक्य महाल ललहेसर, मौजा कवाड़ी, तहसील कांगड़ा।

नोटिस।

उपरोक्त केस में प्रतिवादीगण को कई बार नोटिस जारी किये गये परन्तु प्रतिवादीगण साधारण तरीका से तामील करने में आनाकानी कर रहे हैं। अतः प्रतिवादीगण को बजरिया इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि वह दिनांक 2-6-1993 को असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर पैरवी मुकद्दमा करें। हाजिर न आने की सूरत में यकतरफा कार्यवाई अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 27-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर। हस्ताक्षरित/-
सहायक समाहर्ता, प्रथम श्रेणी,
जिला कांगड़ा।

ब अदालत श्री लच्छमन दास ठाकुर, नायब तहसीलदार एव सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, तहसील जैसिंहपुर, जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0)

तारीख पेशी/फैसला	किस्म मुकद्दमा
10-6-1993	बावत तस्दीक इन्तकालात

तस्दीक इन्तकाल नम्बर 32 महाल चम्बे दा लाहड़, मौजा लम्बा

गांव व तस्दीक इन्तकाल नम्बर 103, महाल ठेहड़ू वूहला, मौजा कौसरी, तहसील जयसिंहपुर, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश दर्ज रजिस्टर इन्तकाल है।

इश्तहार बनाम सर्व जनता

हरगाह मुरहमा वाला में इन्तकाल नम्बर 32, महाल चम्बे दा लाहड़ व इन्तकाल नम्बर 103, महाल ठेहड़ू वूहला, मौजा कौसरी, तहसील जयसिंहपुर, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश मक़फूद-उल-खबरी श्री प्रभा राम पुत्र लिखु राम पुत्र श्री बूटा राम, निवासी महाल ठेहड़ू वूहला, मौजा कौसरी बनाम श्रीमती भरेवती देवी पुत्री प्रभा, निवासी ठेहड़ू वूहला दर्ज रजिस्टर इन्तकालात है।

अतः इस इश्तहार द्वारा सर्व जनता को सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त श्री प्रभा राम पुत्र श्री लिखु राम पुत्र श्री बूटा राम के जीवित होने अथवा उसके किसी अते-पते बारे किसी को कोई इलम हो तो वह असालतन या वकालतन दिनांक 10-6-1993 को प्रातः 10 बजे इस न्यायालय में हाजिर आकर अपना उजर पेश कर सकता है। बाद गुजरने मियाद इन्तकालात बहक बारसान फैसला कर दिया जावेगा और कोई उजर काबिले समायत न होगा।।

आज दिनांक 27-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। लच्छमन दास ठाकुर,
नायब तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता, द्वितीय श्रेणी,
तहसील जयसिंहपुर, जिला कांगड़ा।

जेर आर्डर 5, रूल 20, सी0 पी0 सी0 जाब्ता दीवानी

व अदालत श्री सी0 एल0 चड्ढा, सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, तहसील भोरंज, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

सर्वश्री सीता राम उर्फ तोता राम पुत्र व रुपा देवी पुत्री निहाला राम, वासी दशमल, मौजा मैहलता, तहसील भोरंज, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश .. फरीक अव्वल।

बनाम

रूपा पुत्र निहाला पुत्र जौहरी, गांव दशमल, तप्पा मैहलता, तहसील भोरंज, जिला हमीरपुर .. फरीक दोयम।

दरख्वास्त बराए तस्दीक इन्तकाल नम्बर 191 (मक-फूद-उल खबरी), बाबत टीका दशमल।

सर्वसाधारण जनता व फरीकदोयम को इस विज्ञापन/अदालती इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि श्री रूपा फरीकदोयम 42 नालों से लापता है। उसकी दरास्त का इन्तकाल नम्बर 191 (मक-फूद-उल खबरी) उपरोक्त फरीक अव्वल के नाम पर बाबत टीका दशमल, दिनांक 9-10-1992 को दर्ज हो चुका है तथा बराए फैसला पड़िग पड़ा है। रूपा मालिक के जीवित होने के कोई प्रमाण नहीं मिल रहे हैं। अतः उपरोक्त इन्तकाल नम्बर 191 उक्त देहात के तस्दीक होने में अगर किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह असालतन या वकालतन दिनांक 3-6-1993 को प्रातः 10 बजे मेरी अदालत में पेश कर सकता है। स्याद गुजर जाने के बाद कोई भी एतराज सान्य नहीं होगा तथा इन्तकाल जायज बारसान के नाम पर तस्दीक कर दिया जावेगा।

आज दिनांक 26-2-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। सी0 एल0 चड्ढा,
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,
भोरंज, जिला हमीरपुर (हि0 प्र0)।

व अदालत श्री बी0 आर0 भलेक, सहायक समाहर्ता, प्रथम श्रेणी, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मिसल नं 6 दिनांक 1-11-1992 तारीख फैसला.....

1. श्रीमती प्रेमी देवी विधवा श्री प्रताप सिंह, निवासी महाल सई, इलाका शु0 पांगणा, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश व नाबालिग श्री भगवान सिंह, श्री ध्यान सिंह, श्री फिन्दर सिंह व श्री राम नन्द बजरिया वली माता प्रेमी पत्नी प्रताप सिंह, निवासी महाल सुई, इ0 शु0 पांगणा, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश .. प्रार्थीगण।

बनाम

1. श्री नरसिंधू सुपुत्र श्री मदन सिंह, निवासी महाल सूई, इलाका शु0 पांगणा, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश, 2. श्रीमती सोजी विधवा श्री चूहड़ू, निवासी सूई, इलाका शु0 पांगणा, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश .. फरीकदोयम।

प्रार्थना-पत्र तकसीम खाता मुश्तरका मूमि खेवट नं0 33, खतौनी नं0 53 ता 54, किता 6, रकबा 2-12-2 बि0 का 1/2 भाग बाबत महाल सूई, भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 193।

तलबी.—श्रीमती सोजी विधवा श्री चूहड़ू, सूई, इलाका शु0 पांगणा, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

मुकद्दमा उनवाल वाला में फरीकदोयम नं0 2 को कई बार समन जारी किये जा चुके हैं। व्यादा की रिपोर्ट से पाया गया है कि तलबी फरीकदोयम को समन की तामील साधारणतौर पर नहीं हो सकेगी। इस बारा अदालत हजा को पूर्ण विश्वास हो चुका है। अतः फरीकदोयम नं0 2 को बजरिया इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि इस तकसीम बारा किसी भी फरीकदोयम को एतराज हो तो वह अपना एतराज दिनांक 2-6-1993 को असालतन या वकालतन अदालत में सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है। अन्यथा आपको गैर हाजरी की सूरत में एकतरफा कानूनी कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 19-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत हजा से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। बी0 आर0 भलेक,
सहायक समाहर्ता, प्रथम श्रेणी,
तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)।

व अदालत श्री सुरिन्द्र कुमार, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, फतेहपुर जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

करतार सिंह बनाम आम जनता

किस्ल नं0	तारीख पेशी	किस्म मुद्दकमा
21/1993/NTF	31-5-1993	जन्म पंजीयन

श्री करतार सिंह पुत्र श्री छगंण राम, निवासी अनोह, मौजा धमेटा, उप-तहसील फतेहपुर, जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0) .. प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता .. प्रतिवादी।

दरख्वास्त बराये पंजीयन जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीयन अधिनियम, 1969.

उपरोक्त प्रार्थी ने इस अदालत में प्रार्थना-पत्र दायर किया है कि उसकी भतीजी मीनाक्षी देवी पुत्री श्री सीता राम का जन्म दिनांक 6-4-1988 को गांव अनोह, मौजा धमेटा, उप-तहसील फतेहपुर में हुआ था मगर वह उचित ज्ञान अज्ञानता से पंचायत में दर्ज नहीं करवा सका।

अतः इस इश्तहार द्वारा सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उक्त पंजीयन बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 31-5-1993 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेश करे अन्यथा जन्म पंजीयन आदेश बहक ग्राम पंचायत, पोलियां जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

यह इश्तहार आज दिनांक 21-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत सहित जारी हुआ।

मोहर। सुरिन्द्र कुमार,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
फतेहपुर, जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत श्री सुरिन्द्र कुमार, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, फतेहपुर जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0)

शकुन्तला देवी	बनाम	आम जनता
मिस्ल नं0	तारीख पेशी	किस्म मुकद्दमा
22/1993/NTF	31-5-1993	जन्म पंजीयन

श्रीमती शकुन्तला देवी पत्नी श्री ऊलिया राम, निवासी पोलियां, मौजा छब्बड़, उप-तहसील फतेहपुर, जिला कांगड़ा . . प्रार्थीया।

बनाम

आम जनता .. प्रतिवादी ।

दरख्वास्त बराये जन्म पंजीयन जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीयन अधिनियम, 1969.

उपरोक्त प्रार्थीया ने इस अदालत में प्रार्थना-पत्र दायर किया है कि उसकी पुत्री पिंकी देवी का जन्म दिनांक 19-4-1987 को गांव पोलियां, मौजा छब्बड, उप-तहसील फतेहपुर, जिला कांगड़ा में हुआ था मगर प्रार्थीया उसकी जन्म तिथि अज्ञानता से पंचायत में दर्ज नहीं करवा सकी।

अतः इस इश्तहार द्वारा सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उक्त बच्चे के जन्म पंजीयन बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 31-5-1993 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे हाजर अदालत आकर अपना एतराज पेश करे अन्यथा जन्म पंजीयन आदेश वहक ग्राम पंचायत, पोलियां जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

यह इश्तहार आज दिनांक 21-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत सहित जारी हुआ।

मोहर। सुरिन्द्र कमार,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
फतेहपुर, जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत श्री भगवान दास मदान, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, इन्दौरा जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री हरबंस लाल सुपुत्र श्री गुरदयाल, निवासी चनौर, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान वाला में प्रार्थी श्री हरबस लाल, निवासी चनौर, तहसील इन्दौरा ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरे लड़के रणजीत कुमार का जन्म 14-4-1987 को हुआ है । लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है ।

अतः आम जनता को वजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारे किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1993 को असालतन या वकालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10.00 बजे हाजिर होकर अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा यकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी ।

आज दिनांक 21-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर। भगवान दास मदान,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी इन्दौरा,
तहसील इन्दौरा; जिला कांगड़ा।

ब अदालत श्री भगवान दास मदान, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, इन्दौरा जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री श्याम लाल सुपुत्र श्री धूड़ राम, निवासी घनोल, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान वाला में प्रार्थी श्री श्याम लाल, निवासी घनोल, तहसील इन्दौरा ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरे लड़के राजेश कुमार का जन्म 17-4-1987 को हुआ है। लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है ।

अतः आम जनता को वजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारे किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 26-5-1993 को असालतन या वकालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10.00 बजे हाजिर होकर अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा यकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी ।

आज दिनांक 21-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर। भगवान दास मदान,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, इन्दौरा,
तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा ।

ब अदालत श्री भगवान दास मदान, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, इन्दौरा जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

श्रीमती साहबो देवी, निवासी भटोली, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान वाला में प्रार्थी साहबो देवी, निवासी भटोली, तहसील इन्दौरा ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरी पोती रेनू देवी का जन्म 16-3-1988 को हुआ है । लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है ।

अतः आम जनता को वजरिया राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारे किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1993 को असालतन या वकालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10.00 बजे हाजिर होकर अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा यकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी ।

आज दिनांक 21-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर। भगवान दास मदान,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, इन्दौरा,
तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा ।

ब अदालत श्री भगवान दास मदान, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, इन्दौरा जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री राजिन्द्र कुमार सुपुत्र श्री देव दत्ता, निवासी चनौर, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान वाला में प्रार्थी देव दत्ता, निवासी चनौर,

तहसील इन्दौरा ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरे लड़के सन्नी शर्मा का जन्म 26-9-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

अतः आम जनता को वजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारे किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 5-6-1993 को असालतन या वकालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10.00 बजे हाजिर होकर अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा यकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 21-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। भगवान दास मदान,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, इन्दौरा,
तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा।

ब अदालत श्री शेष राम शर्मा, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री राज कुमार सुपुत्र श्री किरू राम, निवासी सरड़ियाल, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म एवं मृत्यु।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान बाला में प्रार्थी श्री राजकुमार, निवासी सरड़ियाल, तहसील इन्दौरा ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरी लड़की सुषमादेवी का जन्म दिनांक 7-12-1985 को हुआ है। लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को बजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारे किसी को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 29-5-1993 को असालतन या वकालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा यकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 12-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। शेष राम शर्मा,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा।

ब अदालत श्री भगवान दास मदान, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री गुरचरन सिंह सुपुत्र श्री गौदू, निवासी सन्जवां, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म एवं मृत्यु।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान बाला में प्रार्थी श्री गुरचरण सिंह, निवासी सन्जवां, तहसील इन्दौरा ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरी लड़की पुष्पा देवी का जन्म दिनांक 12-3-1988 को हुआ है। लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को बजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारे किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1993 को असालतन या वकालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा यकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 21-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। भगवान दास मदान,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा।

ब अदालत श्री शेष राम शर्मा, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, इन्दौरा जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री प्रताप सिंह सुपुत्र रूमाल सिंह, निवासी शेखुपुरा, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म एवं मृत्यु।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान बाला में प्रार्थी श्री प्रताप सिंह, निवासी शेखुपुरा, तहसील इन्दौरा ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरी पुत्री नीलम कुमारी का जन्म दिनांक 10-9-1987 को हुआ है। लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

अतः आम जनता को वजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारे किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 29-5-1993 को असालतन या वकालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर होकर अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा यकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 22-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। शेष राम शर्मा,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा।

ब अदालत कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, रक्कड़, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश
ब मुकद्दमा :

श्रीमती सन्तोष कुमारी, पत्नी राम कुमार, निवासी चामुखा, सब-तहसील रक्कड, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता।

श्रीमती सन्तोष कुमारी ने इस अदालत में दरख्वास्त की है कि उसकी पुत्री नूतन कुमारी सुपुत्री राज कुमार का जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया गया है अब दर्ज किया जावे। उसकी सुपुत्री की जन्म तिथि 21-11-1987 है तथा बच्चे का जन्म गांव चामुखा में हुआ है।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इस का नाम दर्ज करने बारे कोई आपत्ति या उजर हो तो वह दिनांक 26-5-1993 समय 10 बजे प्रातः स्वयं या किसी वान्छित के माध्यम से हमारे समक्ष अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेश करें अन्यथा एक तरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 26-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। हस्ताक्षरित/-
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
सब-तहसील रक्कड़, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

ब अदालत कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, रक्कड़, जिला कांगड़ा
हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकद्दमा :

श्रीमती पुष्प लता पत्नी श्री होशियार सिंह, निवासी मरोली उपरली, सब-तहसील रक्कड़, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म व मृत्यु अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता

श्रीमती पुष्प लता पत्नी श्री होशियार सिंह ने इस अदालत में दरख्वास्त दी है कि उसके सुपुत्र सहिल ठाकुर पुत्र होशियार सिंह का जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया गया है अब दर्ज किया जावे । उसके पुत्र की जन्म तिथि 1-6-1989 है तथा बच्चे का जन्म गांव भरोली उपरली में हुआ है ।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इस का नाम दर्ज करने बारे कोई आपत्ति या उजर हो तो वह दिनांक 26-5-1993 समय 10 बजे प्रातः स्वयं या किसी वांछित के माध्यम से हमारे समक्ष अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेश करें अन्यथा एक तरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी ।

आज दिनांक 26-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर। हस्ताक्षरित/-
कार्यकारी दण्डधिकारी,
सब-तहसील रक्कड़, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

ब अदालत श्री भगवान दास मदान, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, इन्दौरा
जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्रीमती रक्षा रानी पत्नी मनोहर लाल, निवासी इन्दौरा, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0) ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म एवं मृत्यु।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान वाला में प्रार्थीया श्रीमती रक्षा रानी, निवासी इन्दौरा, तहसील इन्दौरा ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरी पुत्री सरोज वाला का जन्म दिनांक 2-3-1988 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है ।

अतः आम जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारे किसी को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 30-5-1993 को असालतन या वकालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10.00 बजे हाजिर आकर अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा एक तरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी ।

आज दिनांक 21-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर। भगवान दास मदान,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, इन्दौरा,
तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, रक्कड़, जिला कांगड़ा
हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री राम देव, निवासी चपलाह झीकला, सब-तहसील रक्कड़ ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म एवं मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान वाला में प्रार्थी श्री राम देव, निवासी चपलाह झीकला, सब-तहसील रक्कड़ ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरी भतीजी रीना देवी पुत्री सुबा राम का जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया गया है अब दर्ज किया जावे । उसकी भतीजी की जन्म तिथि 30-11-1982 है तथा बच्चे का जन्म गांव उपरली भरोली में हुआ है ।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इसका नाम दर्ज करने बारे कोई उजर हो तो वह तिथि 26-5-1993 समय 10.00 बजे प्रातः स्वयं या किसी वांछित के माध्यम से हमारे समक्ष अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेश करे अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी ।

आज दिनांक 26-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर। हस्ताक्षरित/-

कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, रक्कड़,
सब-तहसील रक्कड़ (कांगड़ा)।

ब अदालत कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, रक्कड़, जिला कांगड़ा
हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री जोगिन्द्र सिंह, निवासी राम नगर, सब-तहसील रक्कड़

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान वाला में प्रार्थी श्री जोगिन्द्र सिंह, निवासी राम नगर, सब-तहसील रक्कड़ ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरी लड़की वन्दना देवी का जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया गया है अब दर्ज किया जावे । उसकी पुत्री की जन्म तिथि 2-4-1988 है तथा बच्चे का जन्म गांव राम नगर में हुआ है ।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इस का नाम दर्ज करने बारे कोई उजर हो तो वह तिथि 26-5-1993 समय 10.00 बजे प्रातः स्वयं या किसी वांछित के माध्यम से हमारे समक्ष अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेश करें अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जायेगी ।

आज दिनांक 26-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर। हस्ताक्षरित/-

कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, रक्कड़,
सब-तहसील रक्कड़ (कांगड़ा) ।

व अदालत कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, रक्कड़, जिला कांगड़ा हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्रीमती सावित्री देवी, निवासी सोहारी, सब-तहसील रक्कड़

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान बाला में प्रार्थीया श्रीमती सावित्री देवी, निवासी सोहारी, सब-तहसील रक्कड़ ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरा भतीजा मनोज कुमार पुत्र श्री रघुवीर सिंह का जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया गया है अब दर्ज किया जावे । उसके भतीजे की जन्म तिथि 4-1-1988 है तथा बच्चे का जन्म गांव सोहारी में हुआ है ।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इस का नाम दर्ज करने बारे कोई उजर हो तो वह तिथि 26-5-1993 समय 10.00 बजे प्रातः स्वयं या किसी वांछित के माध्यम से हमारे समक्ष अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेश करें अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी ।

आज दिनांक 26-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । हस्ताक्षरित/-

कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, रक्कड़,
सब-तहसील रक्कड़ (कांगड़ा) ।

व अदालत श्री ए0 एन0 ठाकुर, नायब-तहसीलदार एवम् कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मुकद्दमा नं0 42/एन0 टी0/ई0 एम0

श्री सोनम बनाम आम जनता ।

पेशी 9-6-1993

विषय.—जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 के अन्तर्गत प्रार्थना-पत्र ।

उपरोक्त विषय के सदर्भ में श्री सोनम पुत्र सामरू, वासी मकलोड़ गंज, तहसील धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा ने इस अदालत में दावा दायर किया है कि उसकी पुत्री सेरिंग डोलमा जिसकी जन्म तिथि मुताबिक शपथ-पत्र 24-12-1983 है तथा नगरपालिका धर्मशाला के रजिस्टर में दर्ज नहीं करवाई गई है, अब करवाई जावे ।

अतः उसके शपथ-पत्र अनुसार इस इश्तहार द्वारा सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त बच्चे की जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत करवाने में किसी को कोई आपत्ति हो तो वह 9-6-1993 को दस बजे सुबह अधोहस्ताक्षरी की अदालत में अपालतन या वकालतन बराये पैरवी हाजिर आवें । अन्यथा प्रार्थी के शपथ-पत्र अनुसार नगरपालिका धर्मशाला के कार्यालय को जन्म पंजीकरण के आदेश पारित कर दिये जावेंगे तत्पश्चात् किसी प्रकार का एतराज काबले समायत न होगा ।

मोहर । ए0 एन0 ठाकुर,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, धर्मशाला,
तहसील धर्मशाला (कांगड़ा),
हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

व अदालत श्री ए0 एन0 ठाकुर, नायब-तहसीलदार एवम् कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मुकद्दमा नं0 43/एन0 टी0/ई0 एम0

श्री कैलसंग लयैदो बनाम आम जनता ।

पेशी 9-6-1993

विषय.—जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 के अन्तर्गत प्रार्थना-पत्र ।

उपरोक्त विषय के सदर्भ में श्री कैलसंग लयैदो पुत्र यशी दोरजी, वासी मकलोडगंज, तहसील धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा ने इस अदालत में दावा दायर किया है कि उसकी पुत्री सोनम डोलकार जिसकी जन्म तिथि मुताबिक शपथ-पत्र 15-12-1982 है तथा नगरपालिका, धर्मशाला के रजिस्टर में दर्ज नहीं करवाई गई है अब करवाई जावें ।

अतः उसके शपथ-पत्र अनुसार इस इश्तहार द्वारा सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त बच्चे की जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत करवाने में किसी को कोई आपत्ति हो तो वह दिनांक 9-6-1993 को दस बजे सुबह अधोहस्ताक्षरी की अदालत में असालतन या वकालतन बराये पैरवी हाजिर आवें अन्यथा प्रार्थी के शपथ-पत्र अनुसार नगरपालिका, धर्मशाला के कार्यालय को जन्म पंजीकरण के आदेश पारित कर दिये जायेंगे तत्पश्चात् किसी प्रकार का एतराज काबले समायत न होगा ।

मोहर । ए0 एन0 ठाकुर,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, धर्मशाला,
तहसील धर्मशाला (कांगड़ा),
हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत श्री विनोद गोयल, सहायक समाहर्ता, प्रथम श्रेणी, बैजनाथ

वखीरिदू पुत्र जोधू पुत्र शिविया, निवासी महाल रजोट उपरला, तहसील बैजनाथ मायल

बनाम

जीता राम, रामेश्वर, राम देव पुत्र व सर्वश्रीमती विमला देवी, चिन्ता देवी पुत्री किरपा राम पुत्र बालम प्रतिवादीगण ।

प्रार्थना-पत्र बावत तस्दीक इन्तकाल नम्बर 129, तबदीयल मलकीयत भूमि खसरा 485, रकबा तादादी 0-00-35 हैक्टेयर व खसरा 471, रकबा तादादी 0-24-20 हैक्टेयर, किस्सा 2, रकबा कुल 0-24-55 हैक्टेयर, मुहाल हरड़ी, मौजा सगूर, तहसील बैजनाथ ।

जेर धारा 104(3) H.P. Tenancy and Land Reforms Act, 1992 (Act No. 8 of 1979).

नोटस ।

इन्तकाल बावत तबदील मलकीयत श्री जीता राम बनाम वखीरिदू इत्यादि इन्तकाल नं0 129, महाल हरड़ी, मौजा सगूर के फैसले के लिए 27-5-1993 मुकाम तहसीलदार की कोर्ट में रखा है । अतः इस सम्बन्ध में किसी व्यक्ति को किसी किस्म का एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 27-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे कोर्ट में असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है । बाद गुजरने मियाद कोई उजर काबिले समायत न होगा । इन्तकाल का नियमानुसार निर्णय दिया जावेगा ।

आज दिनांक 26-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । विनोद गोयल,
सहायक समाहर्ता, प्रथम श्रेणी,
तहसील बैजनाथ, जिला कांगड़ा,
(हि0 प्र0) ।

ब अदालत श्री विनोद गोयल, सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, बैजनाथ

जीधू पुत्र गोहिया पत्र बुधू, निवासी टिकरी, मौजा सगूर, तहसील बैजनाथ मायल ।

बनाम

सर्वश्रीमती गुरदेई, रामदेई, विद्या देवी, कमला देवी व पुत्र धर्म चन्द, महाल चन्द, अमर सिंह, विजय सिंह, प्रताप सिंह पुत्र ब श्रीमती कुलावती विधवा प्रभ दयाल पुत्र सूरत, टिकरी सगूर, तहसील बैजनाथ प्रतिवादीगण ।

दरख्वास्त बावत तस्दीक इन्तकाल नम्बर 183 तबदील मलकीयत

भूमि खाता 286, रकबा तादादी 0-21-37 है0, वाकया महाल टिकरी सगूर, तहसील बैजनाथ ।

जेर धारा 104(3) H. P. Tenancy and Land Reforms Act, 1992 (Act. No. 8 of 1979).

नोटिस ।

इन्तकाल बावत तबदील मलकीयत श्रीमती गुरदेई बनाम जोगू वगैरादि इन्तकाल नम्बर 183, महाल टिकरी, मौजा सगूर के फैसले के लिए 27-5-93 मुकाम तहसीलदार की कोर्ट में रखा है। अतः इस सम्बन्ध में किसी व्यक्ति को किसी किस्म का एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 27-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे कोर्ट में असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है । बाद गुजरने मियाद कोई उजर काबिले समायत न होगा । इन्तकाल का नियमानुसार निर्णय किया जावेगा ।

आज दिनांक 26-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर द्वारा इस अदालत से जारी हुआ है ।

मोहर । विनोद गोयल,
सहायक समाहर्ता, प्रथम श्रेणी, तहसील बैजनाथ,
जिला कांगड़ा (हि0प्र0) ।

ब अदालत विनोद गोयल सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, बैजनाथ

छज्जू राम पुत्र टईया पुत्र धेलो, निवासी हरडी, तहसील बैजनाथ ... सायल ।

बनाम

श्रीमती मोनकी, कूल्की पुत्री व श्री मस्त राम, परमा, जोगु पुत्र व श्रीमती लोहूकी विधवा बैजू पुत्र किशन मल, जगर नाथ, अमर नाथ, जीवत लाल, सीता राम, हरलोक चन्द पुत्र व सर्वश्रीमती कौशल्या देवी, सत्या देवी व रोशनी देवी पुत्रियां लछमण पुत्र किशन मल, महाल जनेहड़, मौजा सागूर, तहसील बैजनाथ ... प्रतिवादीगण ।

प्रार्थना-पत्र बावत तस्दीक इन्तकाल नम्बरान 104, 105, तबदीलत मलकीयत भूमि खसरा नम्बर 163/1, रकबा तादादी 0-29-94 है0 व खसरा नम्बर 163/2, रकबा तादादी 0-31-00 है0, महाल जनेहड़, मौजा सगूर, तहसील बैजनाथ ।

जेर धारा 104(3) H. P. Tenancy and Land Reform Act, 1992 (Act No. 8 of 1979).

नोटिस ।

इन्तकाल बावत तबदील मलकीयत श्रीमती मिनकी बनाम श्री छज्जू राम आदि इन्तकाल नं0 104 व 105, महाल जनेहड़, मौजा सगूर के फैसले के लिए 27-5-1993 मुकाम तहसीलदार की कोर्ट में रखा है । अतः इस सम्बन्ध में किसी व्यक्ति को किसी किस्म का एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 27-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे कोर्ट में असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है । बाद गुजरने मियाद कोई उजर काबिले लिहाज न होगा । इन्तकाल का नियमानुसार निर्णय दिया जावेगा ।

आज दिनांक 26-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर द्वारा इस अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । विनोद गोयल,
सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,
तहसील बैजनाथ, जिला कांगड़ा (हि0प्र0) ।

ब अदालत श्री मस्त राम जसवाल, नायब तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर (हि0प्र0)

ब मुकद्दमा :

श्री अजीत कुमार पुत्र श्री दुनी चन्द, वासी सेरी, डाकघर नादौन, तहसील नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर (हि0प्र0) ... प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

आम जनता ... प्रतिवादी ।

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता ।

श्री अजीत कुमार पुत्र श्री दुनी चन्द, वासी सेरी, डाकघर नादौन, तहसील नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर ने एक दरख्वास्त इस अदालत में सपथ-पत्र सहित दी है कि मेरी लड़की अनु देवी का जन्म गांव सेरी में तिथि 30-11-1987 को हुआ है । परन्तु पंचायत रिकार्ड में जन्म तिथि गलती से समयानुसार दर्ज नहीं करवाई गई, अब दर्ज की जावे ।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता व सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इसकी जन्म तिथि को दर्ज करने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 31-5-1993 समय 10.00 बजे प्रातः स्वयं व किसी वकील के माध्यम से मेरे समक्ष हाजिर होकर पेश करे । अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी तथा जन्म पंजीकरण हेतु आदेश पारित कर दिया जावेगा ।

मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत सहित आज दिनांक 27-4-1993 को जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । मस्त राम जसवाल,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
नादौन (हि0प्र0) ।

ब अदालत श्री मस्त राम जसवाल, नायब तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर (हि0प्र0)

ब मुकद्दमा :

श्रीमती कुन्ता देवी पत्नी श्री प्रीतम चन्द, वासी पोहू, डाकघर जीहण, तहसील नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर (हि0प्र0) ... प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

आम जनता ... प्रतिवादी ।

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता ।

श्रीमती कुन्ता देवी पत्नी श्री प्रीतम चन्द, वासी पोहू, डाकघर जीहण, तहसील नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर ने इस अदालत में सपथ-पत्रों सहित दरख्वास्त दी है कि मेरे लड़कों सुशील कुमार व सुनील कुमार का जन्म गांव पोहू में तिथि 15-1-1987 व 25-2-1988 को हुआ है । परन्तु पंचायत रिकार्ड में जन्म तिथियां गलती से समयानुसार दर्ज नहीं करवाई गई, अब दर्ज की जावे ।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इनकी जन्म तिथियों को दर्ज करने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 28-5-1993 समय 10 बजे प्रातः स्वयं या किसी वकील के माध्यम से मेरे समक्ष हाजिर होकर पेश करे । अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी तथा जन्म पंजीकरण हेतु आदेश पारित कर दिए जावेंगे ।

मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत आज दिनांक 27-4-1993 को जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । मस्त राम जसवाल,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
नादौन (हि0प्र0) ।

ब अदालत श्री मस्त राम जसवाल, नायब तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकद्दमा :

श्री रोशन लाल पुत्र किशन दयाल, वासी धौलाक्वाल, डाकघर जनसूह, तहसील नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर (हि0 प्र0) .. प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

आम जनता .. प्रतिवादी ।

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता ।

श्री रोशन लाल सुपुत्र श्री किशन दयाल, वासी धौलाक्वाल, डाकघर जनसूह, तहसील नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर ने इस अदालत में शपथ-पत्र सहित दरख्वास्त दी है कि मेरे लड़के सुनील कुमार का जन्म गांव धौलाक्वाल में तिथि 14-6-1987 व लड़की रीना देवी का जन्म तिथि 11-11-1989 को हुआ है परन्तु पंचायत रिकार्ड में जन्म तिथि गलती से समयानुसार दर्ज नहीं करवाई गई, अब दर्ज की जावे ।

अतः नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता व सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इनकी जन्म तिथियों को दर्ज करने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 31-5-1993 समय 10 बजे प्रातः स्वयं या किसी वकील के माध्यम से मेरे समक्ष हाजिर हो कर पेश करें अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी तथा जन्म पंजीकरण हेतु आदेश पारित कर दिया जावेगा ।

मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत सहित आज दिनांक 27-4-1993 को जारी हुआ

मोहर । मस्त राम जसवाल,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
नादौन (हि0 प्र0) ।

ब अदालत श्री मस्त राम जसवाल, नायब तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकद्दमा :

श्री राजीव सौंधी पुत्र श्री गिरधारी लाल, वासी सेरी, डाकघर नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश .. प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

आम जनता .. प्रतिवादी ।

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता ।

श्री राजीव सौंधी सुपुत्र श्री गिरधारी लाल, वासी सेरी, डाकघर नादौन, तहसील नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर ने इस अदालत में शपथ-पत्र सहित दरख्वास्त दी है कि मेरे लड़के मितेश का जन्म गांव सेरी में तिथि 21-1-1990 को हुआ है । परन्तु पंचायत रिकार्ड में जन्म तिथि गलती से समयानुसार दर्ज नहीं करवाई गई, अब दर्ज की जावे ।

अतः नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता व रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इस की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 31-5-1993 को समय 10 बजे प्रातः स्वयं या किसी वकील के माध्यम से मेरे समक्ष हाजिर हो कर पेश करे अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी तथा जन्म पंजीकरण हेतु आदेश पारित कर दिया जावेगा ।

मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत सहित आज दिनांक 27-4-1993 को जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । मस्त राम जसवाल,
नायब तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
नादौन, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत श्री मस्त राम जसवाल, नायब तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकद्दमा :

श्रीमती सुनीता पत्नी श्री चमन लाल, वासी सेरी, डाकघर नादौन, तहसील नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश .. प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

आम जनता .. प्रतिवादी ।

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता ।

श्रीमती सुनीता पत्नी श्री चमन लाल, वासी सेरी, डाकघर नादौन, तहसील नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर ने इस अदालत में शपथ-पत्र सहित दरख्वास्त दी है कि मेरे लड़के तनुज कुमार का जन्म गांव सेरी में तिथि 20-7-1988 को हुआ है । परन्तु पंचायत रिकार्ड में जन्म तिथि गलती से समयानुसार दर्ज नहीं करवाई गई, अब दर्ज की जावे ।

अतः नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता व सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इसकी जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 28-5-1993 को समय 10 बजे प्रातः स्वयं या किसी वकील के माध्यम से मेरे समक्ष हाजिर हो कर पेश करें, अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी तथा जन्म पंजीकरण हेतु आदेश पारित कर दिया जावेगा ।

मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत सहित आज दिनांक 27-4-1993 को जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । मस्त राम जसवाल,
नायब तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
नादौन, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत श्री मस्त राम जसवाल, नायब तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकद्दमा :

श्रीमती उर्मिला देवी पत्नी श्री रणजीत चन्द, वासी लुहारखड़, डाकघर हड़ेटा, तहसील नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश .. प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

आम जनता .. प्रतिवादी ।

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता ।

श्रीमती उर्मिला देवी पत्नी श्री रणजीत चन्द, वासी लुहारखड़, डाकघर हड़ेटा, तहसील नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर ने इस अदालत में शपथ-पत्र सहित दरख्वास्त दी है कि मेरी लड़की लवली शर्मा का जन्म गांव लुहारखड़ में तिथि 1-9-1989 को हुआ है । परन्तु पंचायत रिकार्ड में जन्म तिथि गलती से समयानुसार दर्ज नहीं करवाई गई, अब दर्ज की जावे ।

अतः नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता व सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इस की जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 26-5-1993 समय 10 बजे प्रातः स्वयं या किसी वकील के माध्यम से मेरे समक्ष हाजिर होकर पेश करे अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी तथा जन्म पंजीकरण हेतु आदेश पारित कर दिया जावेगा ।

मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत सहित आज दिनांक 27-4-1993 को जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । मस्त राम जसवाल,
नायब तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
नादौन, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत श्री मस्त राम जसवाल, नायब तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकद्दमा :

श्रीमती सुमना कुमारी पत्नी श्री सुनील कुमार, वासी कोट, डाकघर नादौन, तहसील नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश .. प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

आम जनता .. प्रतिवादी ।

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता ।

श्रीमती सुमना कुमारी पत्नी श्री सुनील कुमार, वासी कोट, तप्पा कोहला, तहसील नादौन, जिला हमीरपुर ने इस अदालत में शपथ-पत्र सहित दरख्वास्त दी है कि मेरी लड़कियां डिम्पल कुमारी और पूनम कुमारी का जन्म गांव कोट में तिथि 13-9-1987 व 12-12-1991 को हुआ है, परन्तु पंचायत रिकार्ड में जन्म तिथियां गलती से समयानुसार दर्ज नहीं करवाई गई, अब दर्ज की जावे ।

अतः नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता व सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को इनकी जन्म तिथियों दर्ज करने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 28-5-1993 समय 10.00 बजे प्रातः स्वयं या किसी वकील के माध्यम से मेरे समक्ष हाजिर होकर पेश करे अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी तथा जन्म पंजीकरण हेतु आदेश पारित कर दिया जावेगा ।

मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत सहित आज दिनांक 27-4-1993 को जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । मस्त राम जसवाल,
नायब तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
नादौन, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत श्री एस0 पी0 शर्मा, नायब तहसीलदार व अखत्यारात, सब-रजिस्ट्रार, हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मुकद्दमा नं0 3 आफ 1993

श्री अरुण कुमार सुपुत्र श्री रवि कुमार, वासी हमीरपुर सीयर पंजाब नैशनल बैंक, हमीरपुर .. सायल ।

बनाम

आम जनता .. मसूलअलैह ।

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 40/41, भारतीय रजिस्ट्रेशन ऐक्ट, 1908 बावत रजिस्टर्ड करने वसीयतनामा मुतवफी श्री रवि कुमार पुत्र श्री जगदीश चन्द, वासी वार्ड नं0 6, हमीरपुर ।

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता ।

उपरोक्त विषय पर हर आम और खास को सूचित किया जाता है कि अगर किसी को उपरोक्त वसीयतनामा भारतीय रजिस्ट्रेशन ऐक्ट की जेर धारा 40/41 के अन्तर्गत रजिस्टर्ड होने में कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 31-5-1993 को सुबह 10 बजे असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर अपना उजर पेश करें अन्यथा वसीयत रजिस्टर्ड कर दी जावेगी ।

आज तिथि 29-4-1993 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । एस0 पी0 शर्मा,
नायब तहसीलदार व अखत्यारात सब-रजिस्ट्रार,
हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

अदालती इश्तहार

ब अदालत श्री भीम सैन नेगी, उप-पंजीकाध्यक्ष (तहसीलदार), मूरंग, जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

तारीख रजुआ : 24-4-1993

वसीयत नामा :

श्री राम सिंह सुपुत्र श्री गंदन डन्डुप, निवासी ग्राम खदरा, परगना शुआ, तहसील मूरंग, जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश .. सायल ।

बनाम

आम जनता

वसीयतनामा रजिस्ट्री करने हेतु ।

आम जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार सूचित किया जाता है कि श्री राम सिंह सुपुत्र श्री गदन डन्डुप, निवासी ग्राम खदरा, परगना शुआ, तहसील मूरंग, जिला किन्नौर ने खाता खतौनी नम्बर 26/57, मिन, खसरा नम्बरान 874, 876, 877, 878 व 894, किस्से 5, रकबा तादादी 1-39-19 हैक्टेयर, स्थित उप-मुहाल खदरा को उनके पुत्र श्री तारा चन्द पुत्र श्री राम सिंह के नाम दिनांक 30-4-1992 को वसीयत तहरीर करवाई है । सायल ने इसे पंजीकरण हेतु दिनांक 24-4-1993 को पेश किया है । यदि उपरोक्त वसीयत-नामा को पंजीकृत करने में किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह तारीख 31-5-1993 को असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर अदालत आकर प्रातः 10 बजे अपना उजर पेश कर सकता है वरना इसके पश्चात् कोई भी उजर समायत नहीं होगा ।

आज दिनांक 3-5-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी किया गया है ।

मोहर । भीम सैन नेगी,
उप-पंजीकाध्यक्ष (तहसीलदार), मूरंग,
जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

अदालती इश्तहार

ब अदालत श्री भीम सैन नेगी, उप-पंजीकाध्यक्ष (तहसीलदार) मूरंग, जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

रजुआ : 24-4-1993

वसीयत नामा :

श्री उदरमा सिंह सुपुत्र श्री धर्म दास, सकिना रिब्बा, परगना टुगपा, तहसील मूरंग, जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश .. सायल ।

बनाम

आम जनता

वसीयतनामा रजिस्ट्री करने हेतु ।

आम जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार सूचित किया जाता है कि श्री उदरमा सिंह सुपुत्र श्री धर्म दास, निवासी ग्राम रिब्बा, परगना

टुकरा, तहसील मूरंग, जिला किन्नौर ने वसीयत श्री आदर सिंह सुपुत्र श्री नरगु यंगचैन के नाम 3-3-1993 को तहरीर करवाई है। सायल ने इसे पंजीकरण हेतु दिनांक 23-4-1993 को पेश किया है, जिसमें खाता खतौनी नम्बर 27/43 ता० 46 स्थित उप-मुहाल बाटो, खाता खतौनी 34/51 ता० 54 स्थित उप-मुहाल रिब्बा खास व खाता खतौनी नम्बर 34/48 ता 52 स्थित उप-मुहाल होल्डंग, तहसील मूरंग, जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश अपना 1/3 भाग हिस्सा रकबा तादादी 0-57-45 हैक्टेयर, उप-मुहाल बाटो, रकबा तादादी 0-14-38 हैक्टेयर, उप-मुहाल रिब्बा खास व रकबा तादादी 0-73-66 हैक्टेयर, उप-मुहाल होल्डंग, मुहाल रिब्बा, तहसील मूरंग, जिला किन्नौर, श्री आदर सिंह सुपुत्र श्री नरगु यंगचैन के नाम पंजीकरण हेतु दिनांक 24-5-1993 को पंजीकृत किया जाना है, यदि उपरोक्त वसीयतनामा को पंजीकृत करने में किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो 24-5-1993 को अदालतन या वकालतन हाजिर अदालत आकर प्रातः 10 बजे अपना उजर पेश कर सकता है, वरना इसके पश्चात् कोई भी उजर समायत नहीं होगा।

आज दिनांक 24-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी किया गया है।

मोहर। भीम सैन नेगी,
उप-पंजीकाधपक्ष (तहसीलदार),
मूरंग, जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

ब अदालत श्री राजीव शर्मा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहड़ू
जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री गुलाब सिंह सुपुत्र श्री उत्तम सिंह, गांव हांऊचली, तहसील चढ़गांव, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ..प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान बाला में प्रार्थी श्री गुलाब सिंह सुपुत्र श्री उत्तम सिंह, निवासी हाऊचली ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी सुपुत्री रौना का जन्म तिथि 17-2-1988 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड गांव सारी में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

अतः आम जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण द्वारा किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 25-5-1993 को अदालतन व वकालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10 बजे हाजर आवे तथा अपने उजर पेश कर अन्यथा दीगर कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 29-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। राजीव शर्मा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,
रोहड़ू, जिला शिमला।

ब अदालत श्री राजीव शर्मा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहड़ू
जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री मंगल दास सुपुत्र श्री खड़की, गांव भेतयानी, तहसील चढ़गांव, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ..प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान बाला में प्रार्थी श्री मंगल दास सुपुत्र श्री खड़की, निवासी भेतयानी ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके सुपुत्र होशियार चन्द का जन्म दिनांक 15-2-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड चढ़गांव में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

अतः आम जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के द्वारा किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 28-5-1993 को अदालतन व वकालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर आवे तथा अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा दीगर कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 29-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। राजीव शर्मा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहड़ू,
जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

ब अदालत श्री राजीव शर्मा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहड़ू, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्रीमती कन्या देवी पत्नी श्री ईश्वर देव, गांव टोड़सा, तहसील चढ़गांव, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ..प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान बाला में प्रार्थिनी श्रीमती कन्या देवी पत्नी श्री ईश्वर देव, निवासी टोड़सा ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र दलीप कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 12-2-1990 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड टोड़सा में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

अतः आम जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के द्वारा किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 27-5-1993 को अदालतन व वकालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10 बजे हाजर आवें तथा अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा दीगर कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 29-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर ब मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। राजीव शर्मा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहड़ू,
जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

ब अदालत श्री राजीव शर्मा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहड़ू, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री जय राम पुत्र श्री कटनु राम, गांव मधाना हाल NAC, रोहड़ू, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ..प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान बाला में प्रार्थी श्री जय राम पुत्र श्री कटनु राम, हाल निवासी NAC, रोहड़ू ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र राकश रमन, राजेश रमन का जन्म दिनांक 13-12-1987, 24-10-1989 को हुआ है लेकिन उनकी जन्म तिथि NAC रिकार्ड, रोहड़ू में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है।

अतः आम जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण क बारा किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 28-5-1993 को अदालतन व वकालतन

इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10 बजे हाजर आवें तथा अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा दीगर कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी ।

आज दिनांक 29-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । राजीव शर्मा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहड़ू
जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत श्री राजीव शर्मा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहड़ू, जिला शिमला हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री रतन वीर सुपुत्र श्री कुशु राम, गांव भिनी, तहसील व जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ..प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान वाला में प्रार्थी श्री रतन वीर सुपुत्र श्री कुशु राम, निवासी भिनी ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी सुपुत्री राजम देवी का जन्म दिनांक 13-2-1989 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड गवास में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है ।

अतः आम जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के द्वारा किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 27-5-1993 को असालतन व वकालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10 बजे हाजर आवें तथा अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा दीगर कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी ।

आज दिनांक 29-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर। राजीव शर्मा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहड़ू,
जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत श्री राजीव शर्मा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहड़ू, जिला शिमला हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री धनी राम सुपुत्र श्री बेली राम, गांव रोहड़ू, तहसील रोहड़ू, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ..प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान वाला में प्रार्थी श्री धनी राम सुपुत्र श्री बेली राम, निवासी रोहड़ू ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी सुपुत्री त्रिपता, सुपुत्र हरिश का जन्म क्रमशः दिनांक 31-5-1987, 14-11-1989 को हुआ है लेकिन उनकी जन्म तिथि एन० ए० सी०, रोहड़ू में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है ।

अतः आम जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारा किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 27-5-1993 को असालतन व वकालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10 बजे हाजर आवें तथा अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा दीगर कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी ।

आज दिनांक 29-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर। राजीव शर्मा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहड़ू,
जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत श्री राजीव शर्मा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहड़ू, जिला शिमला हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री बन्शी लाल सुपुत्र श्री हरी चन्द, गांव आन्द्रा, तहसील चढ़गांव, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ..प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान वाला में प्रार्थी श्री बन्शी लाल सुपुत्र श्री हरी चन्द, निवासी आन्द्रा ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री कुमारी रंजना का जन्म दिनांक 15-12-1989 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड धगोली में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है ।

अतः आम जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारा किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 27-5-1993 को असालतन व वकालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10 बजे हाजर आवें तथा उजर पेश करें। अन्यथा दीगर कायवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी ।

आज दिनांक 29-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर। राजीव शर्मा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहड़ू,
जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत श्री राजीव शर्मा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहड़ू, जिला शिमला हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री राजिन्द्र सिंह सुपुत्र श्री सोहन सिंह, गांव बदशाल, उप-तहसील टिक्कर, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ..प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान वाला में प्रार्थी श्री राजिन्द्र सिंह सुपुत्र श्री सोहन सिंह, निवासी बदशाल ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी पुत्री कुमारी रेखा का जन्म दिनांक 25-1-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड धराड़ा में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है ।

अतः आम जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र सूचित कि जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारा किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 27-5-1993 को असालतन व वकालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10 बजे हाजर आवें तथा अपने उजर पेश करें। अन्यथा दीगर कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जायेगी ।

आज दिनांक 29-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । राजीव शर्मा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहड़ू,
जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

ब अदालत श्री राजीव शर्मा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहडू, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री जय चन्द पुत्र श्री शिवा नन्द, गांव चिड़ोटी, तहसील चढ़गांव जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ..प्रार्थी

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकद्मा उनवान वाला में प्रार्थी श्री जय चन्द पुत्र श्री शिवा नन्द, निवासी चिड़ोटी ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उस के पुत्र रणजीव का जन्म दिनांक 28-5-1988 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड धगोली में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है ।

अत : आम जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारा किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 28-5-1993 को असालतन व वकालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर आवें तथा अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा दीगर कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी ।

आज दिनांक 29-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । राजीव शर्मा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहडू,
जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत श्री राजीव शर्मा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहडू, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री चैत राम पुत्र श्री सुरमु, गांव डमढेड़ी उप-तहसील टिक्कर, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ..प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकद्मा उनवान वाला में प्रार्थी श्री चैत राम पुत्र श्री सुरमु, निवासी डमढेड़ी ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र जितेन्द्र कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 15-1-1988 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड धराड़ा में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है ।

अत: आम जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारा किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 28-5-1993 को असालतन या वकालतन इस कार्यालय में सबह 10 बजे हाजिर आवें तथा अपने उजर पश करें अन्यथा दीगर कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी ।

आज दिनांक 29-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । राजीव शर्मा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहडू,
जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत श्री राजीव शर्मा, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, रोहडू, जिला शिमला हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री राजिन्द्र सिंह सुपुत्र श्री श्याम लाल, गांव जगटेरली, उप-तहसील टिक्कर, जिला शिमला, हिमांचल प्रदेश .. प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्ता मुकद्मा उनवान वाला में प्रार्थी श्री राजिन्द्र सिंह पुत्र श्री श्याम लाल, निवासी जगटेरली ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसके पुत्र अजय कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 4-6-1987 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड धराड़ा में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है ।

अतः आम जनता को वजरिया इश्तहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के बारा किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 28-5-1993 को असालतन व वकालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह 10.00 बजे हाजर आवें तथा अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा दीगर कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी ।

आज दिनांक 29-4-1993 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । राजीव शर्मा,
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,
रोहडू, जिला शिमला (हि० प्र०) ।

मुकद्मा नं० 55, वर्ष 1993

सुरेन्द्र उप नाम लाल बहादुर बनाम आम जनता

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता ।

श्री सुरेन्द्र उपरोक्त ने हमारे कार्यालय स्थित धर्मशाला जिला रजिस्टर्ड वसीयत नामा महर्रा दिनांक 2-11-1992, जेर धारा 40/41, भारतीय पंजीयन अधिनियम के अन्तर्गत पजीकृत हेतु पेश किया है । यह वसीयतनामा श्री ईश्वर सिंह पुत्र श्री लाल बहादुर, वासी उपरली बडोल, मौजा घनियारा वहक सुरेन्द्र उर्फ लाल बहादुर, वासी दांड़ी ।

हरगाह व आम को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी भी व्यक्ति आम या खास को इस वसीयत को पंजीकृत करने बारे कोई उजर व एतराज होवे तो वह असालतन व वकालतन हमारे कार्यालय में दिनांक 31-5-1993 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे उपस्थित होकर पेश कर सकता है यदि इस निश्चित तिथि पर कोई उजर पेश न हुआ तो नियमानुसार वसीयत को पंजीकृत करने बारे आगामी कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी। इस तिथि के बाद कोई उजर स्वीकार नहीं किया जायेगा । इश्तहार हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । हस्ताक्षरित/-
सब-रजिस्ट्रार,
धर्मशाला ।

HIMACHAL PRADESH STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD

OFFICE ORDER

Shimla-4, the 8th September, 1992

No. 33/H. P. S. E. B. (Sectt)/92.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Indian Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948, the Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board is pleased to make the following Regulations governing the conditions of H. P. S. E. B. Supervisory Accounts Service Examination, namely:—

REGULATIONS

1. *Short Title & Commencement.*—(*i*) These regulations may be called, "the Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board Revised Supervisory Accounts Service (Examination) Regulations, 1991".

(*ii*) These regulations shall come into force with immediate effect.

Note.—The examinations held and results announced prior to the notification of these regulations shall be regulated/governed according to the old regulations which were applicable at that time.

(*iii*) These regulations shall be applicable to all the candidates appearing in the Board's Supervisory Accounts Service.

2. *Eligibility & Scope.*—(*a*) (*i*) All regular employees of the Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board borne on the ministerial cadre *viz.*, UDCs, Store Keepers, Junior Scale Stenographers (including regular, *ad hoc*/acting) shall be eligible to appear in Part-I of the S. A. S. Examition of the Board.

Apart from above, all matriculate L D Cs/ Meter Readers/Meter Ledger Clerks, Assistant Store Keepers and Steno typists having 10 years regular service and all Graduate L D C s/Meter Readers/Meter Ledger Clerks, Assistant Store Keepers and Steno-typists having 8 years regular service shall also be eligible to appear in Part-I of the H. P. S. E. B., S. A. S. Examination.

(*ii*) Head Clerks, Assistants, Internal Auditors, Sr. Scale Stenographers and Circle Scale Superintendents would also be eligible to appear in the S. A. S. Part-I Examination of the Board. No. qualifying service in respect of these categories is necessary.

(*b*) The maximum number of chances for the two parts of the Examination will be limited to ten chances of which not more than six chances may be taken for passing Part-I of the examination. Permission to an official to appear at a particular time will count as a chance unless he is allowed to withdraw his name with the approval of the Member (Finance & Accounts). Such withdrawals will not be allowed unless the circumstances of the case fully justify the concession.

(*c*) A candidate who has passed Part-I of the S. A. S. Examination shall be required to serve as Divisional Accountant in a Division/Pre-Audit office/F&A Wing for three years before he is allowed to appear in Part-II of the examination. After getting necessary training (*viz.* working as Divisional Accountant for three years) he will submit his application on the prescribed form (Appendix-C) when the date of the examination is notified. Those who successfully negotiate Part-II, shall be eligible for appointment as S. A. S. Superintendent (Non-Gazetted/S. A. S. Accountant in the regular scale in the Finance and Accounts Wing cadre of the Board, subject to the availability of posts.

(*d*) The appointment as Divisional Accountant after qualifying S. A. S. Part-I and as S. A. S. Superintendent (Non-Gazetted)/S. A. S. Accountant after qualifying Part-II of S. A. S. Examination shall be subject to the availability of posts in the regular scale of pay. The qualified candidates of previous/earlier batches shall have precedence for appointment as Divisional Accountant and S. A. S. Superintendent (Non-Gazetted)/S. A. S. Accountant as the case may be, over the qualified candidates of later/subsequent batches and so on. In a particular batch the seniority shall be determined on the basis of merit acquired in that examination.

3. *Subjects of the Examination.*—The subjects of the examination of both parts *i. e.* Part-I & Part-II and the maximum marks assigned to each subject are given in Appendix-A.

4. *Pass Marks.*—(*i*) Only those candidates shall be deemed to have passed in the Examination who obtain atleast 45% marks in each paper and 50% in aggregate for all the papers taken together in the examination.

(*ii*) The candidates who have cleared, one two or three paper (s) under old regulations will have the option to continue to be governed by those regulations and will be able to avail of two chances in the next four sessions to clear the remaining papers provided they are otherwise eligible to appear.

5. *Conduct of Examination.*—(*a*) The examination shall be held twice a year, *viz.*, in April/May and October/November for both the parts. The date of examination can be changed due to some administrative or other reasons. Applications from the eligible candidates will be invited by the Chief Accounts Officers, H. P. S. E. B., Shimla, well in time and the same will be sent to the Secretary, Himachal Pradesh Board of Departmental Examinations, Fair Lawns, Shimla (H. I. P. A.) by him. The date sheet of the examination shall be notified by the H. I. P. A. well before commencement of the examination. The eligible candidates desirous of appearing in the examination shall submit their applications on the prescribed form (Appendix-B) through the Head of Office(s) by a date not later than the date specified by Chief Accounts Officer, H.P.S.E.B. or H.I.P.A., as the case may be. No application received after the prescribed date shall be entertained. Candidates who are allowed to appear in the examination shall be assigned Roll Nos., which shall be communicated to them by H.I.P.A.

(*b*) No candidate may enter the examination hall before or after half an hour of the commencement of the examination. No candidate can leave the examination hall before the expiry of half time. In special case, the Supervisory Officer may allow any candidate to leave the examination hall even before the expiry of half time in case he is satisfied that the candidate is not feeling well. But in such circumstances, it would be obligatory on the part of the leaving candidate to ensure strict secrecy leaving the question paper with the Supervising Officer and should not dis-close the contents of the paper to any outside agency to obviate malpractices. When a candidate termp rarily leaves the hall during the official period of the examination, the Supervising Officer must take effectual means of ensuring that he makes no improper use of his absence.

(*c*) All the pages of the Answer books will bear stamped signatures of the Officer Incharge of Examination. No page of the answer book (s) should on any account be removed by the candidate.

6. *Setting of Papers & Evaluation of Answer Books.*—(*a*) The papers on all the subjects shall be set and evaluated by the H. I. P. A.

(*b*) The names of books, the use of which is permitted in the Part-I of the examination for each paper shall be mentioned in the question paper itself by the officer who sets the paper and other books shall be prohibited from use at that particular examination. The candidates shall be required to bring their own books in the examination in those subjects in which the aid of such books is allowed. These books should not contain any extraneous matter but should be bare reference books. Part-II of the examination will be without the aid of books.

7. *Instructions for the Examinees.*—(*i*) The candidates shall observe strictly the instructions printed on the blank answer books and those mentioned in Appendix-D. Violation thereof shall be viewed seriously.

(*ii*) While leaving the examination hall, the candidate shall handover his answer book(s) to the Supervising Officer even if it be blank. In no case any paper of the answer book should be torn out/removed or shall not be taken out of the examination hall. Immediately after the close of the examination, the answer books should be checked and handed over to the concerned officer/person authorised by the H. I. P. A., after crossing of the blank papers therein. The Supervising Officer shall also obtain attested specimen signatures of each candidate on the first day of entry in the hall. He shall also obtain signatures of each candidate daily in token of his presence.

8. *Use of unfair means in the examination.*—(*i*) Candidate (s) found using unfair means in the examination hall and/or in possession of un-authorised books/ready made notes shall be expelled from the examination hall and will not be allowed to attempt the remaining paper, besides their answer books shall be taken over by the Supervising Officer(s).

(*ii*) Candidate (s) as are found using unfair means in the examination hall shall not be allowed to sit in the examination on the next day of examination besides debarring them from appearing in the examination for the next four sessions and also issuing letter of warning to them, copies whereof shall also be placed in their C.R. Dossiers.

(*iii*) Candidate (s) absconding with their answer books from the examination hall, shall render themselves liable for stoppage of their one increment with future effect. Besides, they will be debarred from appearing in the examination for atleast two years of course, the penalty of stoppage of one increment with future effect, as envisaged above shall be inflicted after observing the regular procedure, *viz.*, service of show cause notice etc.

(*iv*) The Secretary, Himachal Pradesh Board of Departmental Examination, Shimla (H. I. P A.) will intimate the names of the candidates who use unfair means in the examination to the Secretary, Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board, Shimla and disciplinary action against such candidates shall be initiated by the concerned appointing authorities.

(*v*) Appeals against punishments could, however, be made to the Appellate Authority as per delegations under CCS (CC&A) Rules already notified.

9. *Result.*—The complete result of the examination shall be communicated by the Secretary, Himachal Pradesh Board of Departmental Examination, Fair Lawns, Shimla-12 (H. I. P. A.) to each candidate for his information. A copy of the result sheet shall also be invariably sent to the Secretary, Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and the Chief Accounts Officer, H. P. S. E. B.

No appeal shall lie against the result declared by the Secretary, Himachal Pradesh Board of Departmental Examination, Shimla.

10. *Re-Checking of Papers.*—No re-checking of the papers of the failed candidates will be considered.

11. *General.*—1. The grant of exemption shall be considered by the Board in exceptional cases of hardship on the following considerations:—

(*a*) the official making the request has attained the age of 50 years.
(*b*) the record of the official is consistently good and without blemish, and
(*c*) the official has atleast made four attempts to clear the examination.

2. Once exemption has been granted to an official no disability, whatsoever, shall attach with him in order to consider him for further promotion.

12. *Amendments in the Regulations.*—No change shall be made by any other authority in the regulations except by the Board. Whenever there is any such occasion, concrete proposals shall be submitted to the Board, for its approval, whereafter such a change shall be notified. As regards, any doubt to the interpretation of these regulations, the clarification issued by the Member (Finance and Accounts) shall be final.

Sd/-
Secretary.

APPENDIX-A

SYLLABUS FOR SUERVISORY ACCOUNTS SERVICE EXAMINATION

Paper No.	Description	Time Allowed	Maximum Marks
1	2	3	4
	PART-I		
Paper-I	Works and Stores Accounts (comprising the procedure for the maintenance and upkeep of initial account in the Sub-Division/Division), their compilation in the Divisional Office and the office of the Chief Accounts Officers' as well their audit.	3 hours	150
Paper-II	Revenue accounts, Commercial instructions, Sales and Tariff, Electricity Statistics etc. (comprising the procedure for the maintenance including tariff, Tables and conditions of supply commercial and financial statistics of Electricity supply).	3 Hours	150
Paper-III	Acts, Rules and Regulations (comprising of Electricity Supply) Act, 1948 excluding the techincal portion. H.P.S.E.B. Rules, Indian Electricity Act, 1910 together with rules of 1956 (excluding the technical portion), Rules under Sections 78 and various Regulations framed under Section 79 of 1948 Act.	3 Hours	100
Paper-IV	Civil Service Regulations of Board, G. P. Fund Regulations/Rules, Delegation of Powers of the Board (Financial and Administrative), Advances to employees.	3 Hours	150
	PART-II		
Paper-V	Precis and Draft, Indian Constitution (Articles pertaining to Fundamental Rights, Directive Principles, Finances and Services).	3 Hours	150
Paper-VI	Advance accounting and auditing with special reference to Accounts/Audit of Electric Supply Undertakings.	3 Hours	200

1	2	3	4
Paper-VI	Industrial & Commercial Laws : 1. Factory Act. 2. Workmen's Compensation Act. 3. Sales Tax Law. 4. Income Tax Law. 5. Contract Act. 6. Sales of Goods Act. 7. Negotiable Instrument Act. 8. Employees State Insurance Act.	3 Hours	100
Paper-VIII	Accounts, Rules and procedure, General Structure of Accounts, procedure for maintenance of Works and general accounts, formulation of Board's Budget, exercising of budgetory control and banking instructions.	3 Hours	200

APPENDIX-A (*i*)

BOOKS RECOMMENDED TO BE STUDIED IN S. A. S. PART-I AND II

PART-I

Paper-I
1. Accounts Code Vol. III.
2. Financial Hand Book No. 3-D. F. R.
3. P. W. D. Code.
4. H. P. S. E. B. Accounting Manual I&II.
5. Manual of Instructions.

Paper-II
1. Manual of Instructions.
2. Sales Manual.
3. Tariff tables.

Paper-III
1. Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948.
2. Regulations framed under Section 78 and 79 of 1948 Act.
3. Indian Electricity Act, 1910 and Rules framed thereunder.
4. P. S. E. B. Rules 1959.

Paper-IV
1. FR,s & SR's and C. S. R.
2. Punjab Financial Rules.
3. G. P. F. Regulations.
4. Delegation of Powers.

PART-II

Paper-V No Books are prescribed.

Paper-VI No Books are prescribed.

Paper-VII Detail of books given in the syllabus itself.

Paper-VIII No Books are prescribed. But H. P. S. E. B. Budget Manual, P. W. D. Code, Manual of Instructions, Accounts Code etc. are recommended for study.

APPENDIX-B

APPLICATION FOR APPEARING IN THE S. A. S. PART-I EXAMINATION OF THE HIMACHAL PRADESH STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD TO BE HELD IN.....

1. Full Name of the Candidate (in capital letters).
2. Designaiton
3. Date of Birth
4. Academic Qualification
5. Name and complete address of the office in which the candidate is presently working.
6. Date of joining the service
7. Date of appointment to the present post.
8. No. of attempts already availed/made
9. Results of the previous examination (s)

If the candidate has been exempted in any paper of the said examination, he should clearly specify the following information.

Paper (s) in which exempted.	Authority *i. e.* letter No. and date	Year/Session in which exempted.	Roll No.
1	2	3	4

10. (*a*) If you have ever been disqualified? State the Year/Session in which disqualified and the period for which disqualified.

(*b*) Whether disqualification period has since expired? If the candidate claims eligibility otherwise to appear in the examination.

11. Have you completed 10 years service as LDC/MLC/MRs and A. S. K./Steno-typist (8 years in the case of Graduates) at the time of applying to the examination ?

12. Centre of Examination.
13. Date of Application.
14. Dated signature of the applicant.

DECLARATION

I,.....employed as.....in the office of.....(Name of office) do hereby solemnly declare that the information recorded above is quite correct and that I have never been disqualified/expelled from appearing in the S. A. S. Part-I Examination of the Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board.

Also I have completed 10 or 8 years of regular service as LDC/MLC/MR/ASK/Steno-typist and possess all qualification required for appearing in the H. P. S. E. B. S. A. S. Part-I Examination.

Place.....

Date.....

Signature of the Candidate.

PART-II

(*For use in the office to which the official belongs*)

Certified that Shri/Miss/Mrs..... is eligible to appear in H.P.S.E.B., S. A. S. Part-I examination under appropriate service rules read with H.P.S.E.B. Supervisory Accounts Service (Examination) Regulations, 1991 and the entries made above are correct as verified from the Service Book of the official.

Place.....

Date.....

Signature
Designation.

APPENDIX-C

APPLICATION FORM FOR APPEARING IN S.A.S. PART-II EXAMINATION OF H.P.S.E.B. TO BE HELD IN.....—

1. Full Name of the candidate..... (in block letters)
2. Designation
3. Date of birth
4. Academic qualification
5. Name and complete address of

the office in which the candidate is presently working
6. Date of appointment to the present post
7. Date of joining the service
8. No.of attempts already availed/made
9. Result of SAS Part-I Examination

Year/Session in which cleared.	Roll No.

10. If you have ever been disqualified, State the Year/ Session:
 (*a*) in which disqualified and the period for which disqualified.
 (*b*) whether disqualification period has since expired, if the candidate claims eligibility otherwise to appear in the examination.
11. Centre of Examination
12. Date of application
13. Signature of applicant

DECLARATION

I, ...employed as in the office of..... do hereby solemnly declare that the information recorded above is quite correct and that nothing has been concealed therefrom. I have also completed three years service as Divisional Accountant after passing the SAS Part-I Examination.

[*Signature of the applicant.*

PART-II

(For use in the office to which the official belongs)

Certified that the candidate is eligible to appear in the HPSEB SAS Part-II Examination.

Place..... *Signature*
Date..... *Designation*

APPENDIX-D

(GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS)

Note:—The candiate must carefully study the following instructions, failure to observe any instruction will render him liable to such punishment as the Board may impose :—

1. The candidate will only be admitted to the examination hall on the production and delivery of admission card.
2. No candidate shall be admitted to the examination hall half an hour after the commencement of the paper.
3. The candidate must bring his own pen and ink.
4. The candidate must write answer in his own hand in ink. In no circumstances will he/she be allowed to help amanuensis (scribe) to write answere for him/her. An amanuensis is permissible only in case of blind candidate or candidate permanenly disabled from writing. Such candidates must submit application on ordinary paper with proper medical certificate for amanuensis to the Secretary, H.P. Board of Departmental Examination with the application form for appearing in the examination through his Head of office.
5. The answer must not be written in pencil or ball pen.
6. The candidate must write his/her Roll Numbar and not his/her name in the space provided for the purpose on every answerbook and continuation answer-sheet(s) he/she uses.
7. The candidate must not write any irrelevant matter *i.e.* anything other than the actual answers to questions, in the answer book.
8. The candidate must not write such things in the answer book, which may give his/her identity.
9. No candidate shall copy from the papers of any other candidate nor permit his/her own papers to be copied, nor give attempt to give, nor obtain, nor attempt to obtain irregular assistance of any description.
10. No candiate shall leave the examination hall without prior permission of the Superintendent of Examination. No candidate shall be permitted to leave the examination hall until one and half hours have elapsed after the commencement of the examination.
11. The candidate must observe silence in the Examination hall.
12. The candidate must not smoke in the hall.
13. If it is discovered at any stage that the candidate has made any wrong statement in his admission form he/she will be responsible for the consequences involving cancellation of his/her candidature and disciplinary action and the decision of the Board shall be binding on the candidate.
14. No candidate shall appear in a paper which has not been entered in his/her admission card unless previous permission has been obtained form the Secretary, H.P. Board of Dept. Examination. If a candidate appears in papers other than mentioned in his/her admission card, his/her answer books are liable to be cancelled.
15. If a candidate does not receive his/her Roll No. in time, he/she must enquire from the Secretary, H.P. Board of Deptl. Examination about the same and give his/her full address (if there is change in address) failing which it will not be the responsibility of the Board to confirm its receipt by the candidate.
16. Before submitting his/her application form, candidate must make sure that he/she is eligible to appear in the examination according to the rules.
17. Candidature of a candidate can be cancelled at any stage for any discrepancy regarding eligibility etc., that may be found even after the issue of Roll No. without any liability on the part of the Board.
18. The incomplete forms and forms not filled-in properly are liable to be rejected outright.
19. While making correspondence with the Board, the candidate must give his/her Roll Number and the name of the Examination and month/year of examination.

HIMACHAL PRADESH BOARD OF DEPARTMENTAL EXAMINATION

ADMISSION CARD

(To be filled in by the candidate)

Roll No.....
(To be assigned by the office)

(The candidate will be admitted to the examination hall on production and delivery of his/her admission card).

Admit.....son/daughter of Shri.....(Designation)..... to the Part-I/II S.A.S. Examination of the H.P. State Electricity Board to be held in.. on the dates as given in the date sheet

at Centre of Examination..... in the papers mentioned below :—

Signature of the candidate.

Secretary,
H.P.Board of Departmental Examination.

S.No.	Paper (to be filled-in by the candidate).
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	

HIMACHAL PRADESH STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171004, *the 5th May*, 1993

No. HPSEB (SECTT)/401-Ghanvi/93.—In pursuance of Section 29 of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948, it is hereby notified that the HPSEB proposes to undertake the following scheme, as per the brief description given here under:—

Name of Scheme: Ghanvi Hydro Electric Project (22.5 MW), District Shimla (HP).

Cost of Scheme: Rs. 73 Crores (Dec. 1992, Price Level)

BRIEF DESCRIPTION.—

Ghanvi Hydro Electric Project has been contemplated as a run-of-the river scheme on Ghanvi Khad, District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh. Power House of this project will be located near the confluence of Ghanvi Khad with the river Satluj utilising a drop of about ± 385m. The broad features of the project comprises of the following:

(*i*) A drop type trench weir, spanning a length of 16m across Ghanvi rivulet (near village Ghanvi) intercepting a catchment of 111.30 Sq. km.

(*ii*) An Approach Channel (Cut & Cover) 33m long from trench weir to tunnel inlet.

(*iii*) Head Race Tunnel, 220 m long (D-shaped) designed for discharge of 8.34 cumecs.

(*iv*) A power channel 55 m long, from tunnel outlet to desilting tank partly cut and cover and partly open channel.

(*v*) A desilting tank, 91 m long including inlet transition (25 m long) and outlet transition (12 m long) to exclude all silt particles greater than 0.2 mm size.

(*vi*) A power channel, 1308 m long from desilting tank to inlet of forebay tunnel.

(*vii*) Forebay tunnel, 325 m long (D-shaped).

(*viii*) A small forebay at the intake of penstock.

(*ix*) A penstock, 601 m long, 1.40 m dia, trifurcating near the power house.

(*x*) A surface power house located on the left bank of Ghanvi khad to house three pelton generating units of 7.5 MW capacity each. operating under a net head of 375 m with a common tail race channel.

(*xi*) A 10 km long 66 KV double circuit Transmission net work upto Jhakri.

For placing of any wires, poles, wall brackets, stays, apparatus and appliances for the transmission and distribution of Electricity, or for the transmission of telegraphic or telephonic communications necessary for the proper co-ordination of the works of the Board, the Board shall have, in accordance with section 42 of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1942, all the powers which the telegraphic authority possesses under Part-III of the Indian Telegraphic Act, 1885 (13 of 1885) with regard to a telegraph established or maintained by the Government or to be so established or maintained, not withstanding anything contained in Section 12 to 16, 18 and 19 of the Indian Electricity Act, 1910 (9 of 1910), but without prejudice to the requirements of Section 17 of that Act.

Notice is hereby given that any licensee or other person interested may raise any objection and/or make any representation on the above scheme within two months of publication of this notice whereafter no objection and/or representation will be entertained and the scheme shall be sanctioned, with or without notification, as approved by the Board.

Necessary plans showing the Project site etc., may be inspected on any working day in the office of Chief Engineer (P), HPSEB, Shimla-4 (H. P.). Objections and representations in respect of this scheme, if any, may be sent to the undersigned.

Er. D. R. SOOD,
Chief Engineer (P&M),
H.P.S.E.B. Vidyut Bhawan, Shimla-4.

HIMACHAL PRADESH FINANCIAL CORPORATION NEW HIMRUS BUILDING, CIRCULAR ROAD, SHIMLA-171001

NOTICE

Shimla-1, *the 10th March*, 1993

No. HPFC/26th AGM/93.—In pursuance of Regulation 24 *read* with Regulation 37 of the Himachal Pradesh Financial Corporation's General Regulations, it is hereby notified that the Twenty Sixth Annual General Meeting of the Shareholders of the Himachal Pradesh Financial Corporation will be held in the Chamber of the Chairman (Chief Secretary to the Government of Himachal Pradesh) of the Corporation, in Himachal Pradesh Secretariat, Shimla-171002 on FRIDAY the 30th July, 1993 at 11.00 A.M. (Standard Time) to transact the following business:—

(*i*) To read and consider the Balance Sheet and the Profit and Loss Account of the Corporation for the year ended 31st March, 1993 together with the report of the Board of Directors on the working of the Corporation throughout the year and the Auditor's Report on the said Balance Sheet and Accounts.

(*ii*) One director to be elected in terms of Section 10(*d*) of the State Financial Corporation's Act, 1951 by the Cooperative Banks who are shareholders of the Corporation in place of Shri Yog Raj, who ceased to be a director of the Corporation u/s 10 of the Act *ibid.*

2. It is further notified that the Share-register of the Corporation will remain closed and the registration of transfer suspended from 18th June, 1993 to 30th July, 1993 (both days inclusive).

Notes :

1. The list of Shareholders shall be available for purchase from the Head Office of the Corporation at a price of Rupee 1/- (Rupee one) only per copy from 8th July, 1993.
2. Last date for receipt of nominations for election of director shall be 15th July, 1993.
3. The last date for deposit of proxies shall be 22nd July, 1993.
4. The last date for deposit of certified copies of resolution appointing duly authorised representatives by Companies and Cooperative Banks shall be 24th July, 1993.
5. The written order of the State Government authorising any of its Officers to act as its representative at the meeting to be deposited at the Head Office of the Corporation before the time fixed for the meeting.

By order of the Board,

S. S. PARMAR,
Managing Director.

HIMACHAL PRADESH UNIVERSITY CONDUCT BRANCH, SHIMLA-5

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-5, *the 2nd April*, 1993

No. 6-24/91-HPU (Conduct).—Miss Gambhri Devi d/o Shri Devi Ram, Registration No. 85-MLS-219 has been

allowed to change her name from Gambhri Devi to Sunita Kumari. In future her name in the University record will be shown as Sunita Kumari *nee* Gambhri Devi.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (Conduct),
H.P. University Shimla-5.

अदालती इश्तहार

ब अदालत श्री राजीव कुमार शंकर, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री मुहम्मद इसरार सुपुत्र श्री मुहम्मद ईसाक, ग्राम राजगढ़, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर हि० प्र०।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री मुहम्मद इसरार पुत्र श्री मुहम्मद ईसाक, ग्राम व तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरे पुत्र श्री सलमान खान का जन्म मिति 19-7-1991 को हुआ है जिसका नाम एन० ए० सी० राजगढ़ के रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस अदालती इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त नाम व तिथि एन० ए० सी० राजगढ़ के रिकार्ड में दर्ज करने बारा कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 2-6-93 को हाजिर अदालत हो कर पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा सचिव एन० ए० सी० राजगढ़ को जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारा आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-4-93 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। राजीव कुमार शंकर,
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,
राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०)।

अदालती इश्तहार

ब अदालत श्री राजीव कुमार शंकर, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, राजगढ़ जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री मुहम्मद इसरार सुपुत्र मुहम्मद ईसाक, गांव राजगढ़, तहसील, राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री मुहम्मद इसरार पुत्र श्री मुहम्मद ईसाक, ग्राम व तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरे पुत्र इमरान खान का जन्म मिति 10-10-88 को हुआ है जिसका नाम एन० ए० सी० राजगढ़ के रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस अदालती इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त नाम व तिथि एन० ए० सी० राजगढ़ के रिकार्ड में दर्ज करने बारा कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 2-6-93 को हाजिर अदालत हो कर पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा सचिव एन० ए० सी० राजगढ़ को जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारा आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 24-4-93 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। राजीव कुमार शंकर,
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,
राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०)।

अदालती इश्तहार

ब अदालत श्री राजीव कुमार शंकर, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, राजगढ़ जिला, सिरमौर हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री नाजर सिंह सुपुत्र श्री सरनू, ग्राम जरास, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

दरख्वास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रीकरण ऐक्ट, 1969.

श्री नाजर सिंह सुपुत्र सरनू, ग्राम जरास, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि मेरे पुत्र रजनीश का जन्म मिति 14-4-1988 को हुआ है जिसका नाम पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज नहीं है।

अतः इस अदालती इश्तहार द्वारा सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि उक्त नाम व तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज करने बारा किसी को कोई एतराज हो तो वह मिति 2-6-93 को हाजिर अदालत होकर पेश कर सकता है वरना सम्बन्धित सचिव ग्राम पंचायत को तिथि दर्ज करने बारा आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 27-4-93 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। राजीव कुमार शंकर,
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी राजगढ़,
जिला, सिरमौर (हि० प्र०)।

भाग 2

उद्योग विभाग
(भौमिकीय शाखा)

नीलामी सूचना

"सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि जिला मण्डी के लघु खनिज खानों की नीलामी दिनांक 15-6-1993 को तहसील सदर, करसोग, चच्योट, थुनाग व दिनांक 16-6-1993 को तहसील जोगिन्द्रनगर, सरकाघाट तथा सुन्दरनगर, गांधी भवन मण्डी में सुबह 11 बजे की जाएगी। पूर्ण जानकारी के लिए खनन अधिकारी मण्डी से सम्पर्क स्थापित करें।

शिमला-1, 3 मई, 1993

हस्ताक्षरित/-

निदेशक उद्योग,
हिमाचल प्रदेश।

भाग 6—भारतीय राजपत्र इत्यादि में से पुनः प्रकाशन

7—भारतीय निर्वाचन आयोग (Election Commission of India) की वैधानिक अधिसूचनाएं तथा अन्य निर्वाचन सम्बन्धी अधिसूचनाएं

शून्य

अनुपूरक

शून्य

नियन्त्रक, मुद्रण तथा लेखन सामग्री, हिमाचल प्रदेश, शिमला-5 द्वारा मुद्रित तथा प्रकाशित।